Group Theory



Topic No. 1

Group Theory

Properties of Real Numbers

Number Systems ℕ ={ 1, 2, 3, ... } ℤ={..., -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, ... } $\mathbb{Q}=\{p/q \mid p, q \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and }$ q≠0} Q' = Set of Irrational Numbers $\mathbb{R}=\mathbb{Q}\cup\mathbb{Q}$

0.131313...=0.13+ 0.0013+0.000013+... =13/100+13/10000+ 13/1000000+... =(13/100)(1+1/100+ 1/10000+...) =(13/100)(100/99)=13/99

- e=2.718281828459045... ∈ Q´
- √2=1.414213562373095... ∈ ℚ ´
- √5=2.23606797749978... ∈ ℚ′
- $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a.b \in \mathbb{R}$
- $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a+b \in \mathbb{R}$
- $\forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, (a+b)+c=a+(b+c)
- For example, $(1/4+3) + \sqrt{7} = (13+4\sqrt{7})/4 = 1/4 + (3+\sqrt{7})$

- $\forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, (*ab*)c=*a*(bc)
- For instance, $((-2/3)4)\sqrt{2}=(-8/3)\sqrt{2}=(-2/3)(4\sqrt{2})$
- For every $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 \in \mathbb{R}$, a+0=a=0+a
- For every $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \in \mathbb{R}$, a.1=a=1.a
- For every $a \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists $-a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that a+(-a)=0=(-a)+a
- For every $a \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$ there exists $1/a \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$ such that a(1/a)=1=(1/a)a
- $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a+b=b+a$
- $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a.b=b.a$

Group Theory



Group Theory

Properties of Complex Numbers

- $\mathbb{C}=\{a+bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$
- $\forall a$ +bi, c+di $\in \mathbb{C}$, (a+bi)+(c+di)=(a+c)+(b+d)i $\in \mathbb{C}$
- $\forall a$ +bi, c+di $\in \mathbb{C}$, (a+bi).(c+di)=(ac-bd)+(ad+bc)i $\in \mathbb{C}$
- ∀ a+bi, c+di, e+fi ∈ C, [(a+bi)+(c+di)]+(e+fi)= [(a+c)+(b+d)i]+(e+fi)=[(a+c)+e]+[(b+d)+f]i =[a+(c+e)]+[b+(d+f)]i=(a+bi)+[(c+e)+(d+f)i]= (a+bi)+[(c+di)+(e+fi)]

- ∀ a+bi, c+di, e+fi ∈ C, [(a+bi).(c+di)].(e+fi)
 =[(ac-bd)+(bc+ad)i].(e+fi)
 - =[(ac-bd)e-(bc+ad)f]+[(bc+ad)e+(ac-bd)f]i
 - =[a(ce-df)-b(de+cf)]+[a(de+cf)]+b(ce-df)]i
 - =(a+bi).[(ce-df)+(de+cf)i]=(a+bi).[(c+di).(e+fi)]
- For every a+bi ∈ C and 0=0+0i ∈ C, (a+bi)+0= (a+bi)+(0+0i)=(a+0)+(b+0)i=a+bi=0+(a+bi)
- For every *a*+bi ∈ C and 1=1+0i ∈ C, (*a*+bi).1= (*a*+bi).(1+0i)=(*a*.1-0b)+(b.1+0.*a*)i=*a*+bi=1.(*a*+bi)

- For every $a+bi \in \mathbb{C}$ there exists $-a-bi \in \mathbb{C}$ such that (a+bi)+(-a-bi)=(a+(-a))+(b+(-b))i=0+0i=0=(-a-bi)+(a+bi)
- For every $a+bi \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ there exists $1/(a+bi)=a/(a^2+b^2)-(b/(a^2+b^2))i \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ such that $(a+bi).(a/(a^2+b^2)-(b/(a^2+b^2))i)$ $= (a^2+b^2)/(a^2+b^2)+((ab-ab)/(a^2+b^2))i=1+0i=1$ $= (a/(a^2+b^2)-(b/(a^2+b^2))i)(a+bi)$

■ \forall *a*+bi, c+di \in \mathbb{C} , (*a*+bi)+ (c+di)=(a+c)+(b+d)i=(c+a)+(d+b)i=(c+di)+(a+bi)■ \forall *a*+bi, c+di $\in \mathbb{C}$, (a+bi).(c+di)=(ac-bd)+(ad+bc)i=(ca-db)+(cb+da)i=(c+di).(a+bi)

Group Theory



Group Theory

Binary Operations

Definition

A binary operation * on a set S is a function mapping S x S into S.

For each $(a, b) \in S \times S$, we will denote the element *((a, b)) of S by a*b.

Usual addition '+' is a binary operation on the sets R, C, Q, Z, R⁺, Q⁺, Z⁺

Usual multiplication '.' is a binary operation on the sets R, C, Q, Z, R⁺, Q⁺, Z⁺

 Usual multiplication '.' is a binary operation on the sets R\{0}, C\{0}, Q\{0}, Z\{0}

Let $M(\mathbb{R})$ be the set of all matrices with real entries. The usual matrix addition is not a binary operation on this set since A+B is not defined for an ordered pair (A, B) of matrices having different numbers of rows or of columns.

Usual addition '+' is not a binary operation on the sets $\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$, $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$, $\mathbb{Q}\setminus\{0\}$, $\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}$ since $2+(-2)=0 \notin \mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}\subset \mathbb{Q}\setminus\{0\}$ $\subset \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\} \subset \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}.$

Definition

Let * be a binary operation on S and let H be a subset of S.

The subset H is closed under * if for all a, b \in H we also have a $*b \in$ H. In this case, the binary operation on H given by restricting * to H is the induced

Usual addition '+' on the set \mathbb{R} of real numbers does not induce a binary operation on the set \mathbb{R} {0} of nonzero real numbers because $2 \in \mathbb{R}$ $\{0\}$ and $-2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, but $2+(-2)=0 \notin \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$. Thus $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ is not closed under +.

Usual multiplication \therefore on the sets \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{Q} induces a binary operation on the sets $\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$, \mathbb{R}^+ and $\mathbb{Q}\setminus\{0\}$, \mathbb{Q}^+ , respectively.

Group Theory





Binary Operations

Let $\mathfrak{G}e \text{ a set and} a, b \in S.$

■Let Solve a set and $a, b \in S$. ■A binary operation on is a rSolve which assigns to any ordered pair an elem(enb) $a * b \in S$

Examples For $S = \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C},$ a * b = a + b

Examples For $S = \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C},$ a * b = a + bFor $S = \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C},$ a * b = ab

Examples•For $S = \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C},$
a * b = a + b•For $S = \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C},$
a * b = ab•For $S = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C},$
a * b = a - b

Examples $S = \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C},$ For $a \star b = a + b$ •For $S = \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C},$ $a \star b = ab$ $S = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C},$ For $a \star b = a - b$ $S = \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R},$ For $a \star b = \min(a, b)$

Examples •For $S = \{1, 2, 3\}$ a * b = b

Examples For $S = \{1, 2, 3\}$ a * b = bFor example 1*2 = 2, 1*1 = 1,2*3 = 3.

Examples

For $S = \mathbb{Q}$, a * b = a / b s not everywhere defined since no rational number is assigned by this rule to the pair (3,0).

Examples

For S =Q, a ★ b = a / b is not everywhere defined since no rational number is assigned by this rule to the pair (3,0).
For S = Z⁺, a ★ b = a /i b not a binary operation on sinc z⁺ is not closed under ★.

Definition

A binary operation aa set is commutative if and only if for all a * b = b * a $a, b \in S$.

Definition

A binary operation on a set is associative if

(a*b)*c = a*(b*c)for all $a,b,c \in S$.

Examples

The binary operation defined by $a \star b = a + b$ is commutative and associative in **C**.

Examples

The binary operation defined by

a * b = a + b
is commutative and associative in C.

The binary operation defined by

a * b = ab
is commutative and associative in C.
Binary Operations

The binary operation defined by $a \star b = a - b$ is not commutative in \mathbb{Z} .

Binary Operations

The binary operation defined by a * b = a - b is not commutative in Z.
The binary operation given by a * b = a - ib not associative in Z.

Binary Operations

The binary operation defined by a * b = a - b is not commutative in Z.
The binary operation given by a * b = a - ib not associative in Z.
For instance,

$$(a*b)*c = (4-7) - 2 = -5$$

but

$$a * (b * c) = 4 - (7 - 2) = -1.$$

Group Theory



Regards: Virtual Alerts (UTuB)

Bijective Maps

Definition •A function $f: X \to Y_S$ called injective or one-toone if $f(x_1) = f(x_2) \Rightarrow x_1 = x_2$.

Definition •A function $f: X \to Y_S$ called injective or one-toone if $f(x_1) = f(x_2) \Rightarrow x_1 = x_2$. or $x_1 \neq x_2 \Rightarrow f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$.

Definition

•A function $f: X \to \mathbb{K}$ called surjective or onto if for any $y \in Y$, there exists $x \in X$ with y = f(x).

Definition

•A function $f: X \to Y_S$ called surjective or onto if for any $y \in Y$, there exists $x \in X$ with y = f(x). i.e. if the image f(x) is Ythe whole set .

Definition

A bijective function or oneto-one correspondence is a function that is both injective and surjective.

Example

$f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+, f(x) = 10^x$

Example

$$f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+, f(x) = 10^x$$

 $f(x) = f(y) \Rightarrow 10^x = 10^y \Rightarrow x = y$
Therefore, f is one-to-one.

Example

$$f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^{+}, f(x) = 10^{x}$$

$$f(x) = f(y) \Rightarrow 10^{x} = 10^{y} \Rightarrow x = y$$
Therefore, f is one-to-one.
If $r \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$, then $\log_{10} r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(\log_{10} r) = 10^{\log_{10} r} = r$.

Example

 $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^{+}, f(x) = 10^{x}$ $f(x) = f(y) \Rightarrow 10^{x} = 10^{y} \Rightarrow x = y$ Therefore, f is one-to-one. If $r \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$, then $\log_{10} r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(\log_{10} r) = 10^{\log_{10} r} = r$. It implies that f is onto.

Example

 $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+, f(x) = 10^x$ $f(x) = f(y) \Rightarrow 10^x = 10^y \Rightarrow x = y$ Therefore, f is one-to-one. If $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, then $\log_{10} r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(\log_{10} r) = 10^{\log_{10} r} = r$. It implies that f is onto. Hence f is bijective.

Example

$$f: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}, f(m) = 3m$$

Example

$$f:\mathbb{Z}\to\mathbb{Z}, f(m)=3m$$

$$f(m) = f(n) \Rightarrow 3m = 3n \Rightarrow m = n$$

Therefore, f is one-to-one.

Example

$$f: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}, f(m) = 3m$$

 $f(m) = f(n) \Rightarrow 3m = 3n \Rightarrow m = n$
Therefore, f is one-to-one.
We assume that $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the pre-image of $4 \in \mathbb{Z}$,
then $f(m) = 3m = 4 \Rightarrow m = 4/3 \notin \mathbb{Z}$.
It implies that f is not onto.

Example

$$f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, f(x) = x^2$$

Example

$$f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, f(x) = x^2$$
.
 $f(-3) = f(3) = 9$ but $-3 \neq 3$.
Therefore, f is not one-to-one.

Example

 $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, f(x) = x^{2}.$ $f(-3) = f(3) = 9 \text{ but } -3 \neq 3.$ Therefore, f is not one-to-one. We assume that $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is the pre-image of $-5 \in \mathbb{R}$, then $f(x) = x^{2} = -5 \Rightarrow x = \sqrt{-5} \notin \mathbb{R}.$ It implies that f is not onto.

Definition

■Let $f: X \to \mathbb{V}$ be a function and let be a subset of . The image of XH under f is given by $f[H] = \{f(h) | h \in H\}$

Definition • A function $f: X \to Y_S$ called surjective or onto if f[X] = Y.

Example

 $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+, f(x) = 10^x$

Example $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+, f(x) = 10^x$ $f[\mathbb{R}] = \mathbb{R}^+$

Therefore, is onto.

Example

$$f: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}, f(m) = 3m$$

Example

 $f: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}, f(m) = 3m$ $f[\mathbb{Z}] = 3\mathbb{Z} \neq \mathbb{Z}$ fIt implies that is not onto.

Example

 $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, f(x) = x^2$

Example

 $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, f(x) = x^2$ $f[\mathbb{R}] = \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\} \neq \mathbb{R}$ So, f is not onto.

Group Theory





Inversion Theorem

Lemma

If $f: X \to Y$ and $g: Y \to Z$ are two functions, then: (i) If f and g are injective, $g \circ f$ is injective.

Lemma

If $f: X \to Y$ and $g: Y \to Z$ are two functions, then: (i) If f and g are injective, $g \circ f$ is injective. (ii) If f and g are surjective, $g \circ f$ is surjective.

Lemma

If $f: X \to Y$ and $g: Y \to Z$ are two functions, then: (i) If f and g are injective, $g \circ f$ is injective. (ii) If f and g are surjective, $g \circ f$ is surjective. (iii) If f and g are bijective, $g \circ f$ is bijective.

Proof

(i) Suppose that $(g \circ f)(x_1) = (g \circ f)(x_2)$. Then, $g(f(x_1)) = g(f(x_2)) \Rightarrow f(x_1) = f(x_2) \Rightarrow x_1 = x_2$.

Proof

(i) Suppose that $(g \circ f)(x_1) = (g \circ f)(x_2)$. Then, $g(f(x_1)) = g(f(x_2)) \Rightarrow f(x_1) = f(x_2) \Rightarrow x_1 = x_2$. (ii) Let $z \in Z$. Since g is surjective, there exists $y \in Y$ with g(y) = z.

Proof

(i) Suppose that $(g \circ f)(x_1) = (g \circ f)(x_2)$ Then, $g(f(x_1)) = g(f(x_2)) \Rightarrow f(x_1) = f(x_2) \Rightarrow x_1 = x_2$. (ii) Let $z \in Z$. Since g is surjective, there exists $y \in Y$ with g(y) = z. Since f is also surjective, there exists $x \in X$ with f(x) = y.

Proof

(i) Suppose that $(g \circ f)(x_1) = (g \circ f)(x_2)$ Then, $g(f(x_1)) = g(f(x_2)) \Rightarrow f(x_1) = f(x_2) \Rightarrow x_1 = x_2$. (ii) Let $z \in Z$. Since g is surjective, there exists $y \in Y$ with g(y) = z. Since f is also surjective, there exists $x \in X$ with f(x) = y. Hence, $(g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x)) = g(y) = z$. So, $g \circ f$ is surjective.
Proof

(i) Suppose that $(g \circ f)(x_1) = (g \circ f)(x_2)$ Then, $g(f(x_1)) = g(f(x_2)) \Rightarrow f(x_1) = f(x_2) \Rightarrow x_1 = x_2$. (ii) Let $z \in Z$. Since g is surjective, there exists $y \in Y$ with g(y) = z. Since f is also surjective, there exists $x \in X$ with f(x) = y. Hence, $(g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x)) = g(y) = z$.

So, $g \circ f$ is surjective.

(iii) This follows from parts (i) and (ii).

Theorem

The function $f: X \to Y$ has an inverse if and only if f is bijective.

Proof

Suppose that $h: Y \to X$ is an inverse of f.

Proof

Suppose that $h: Y \to X$ is an inverse of f. The function f is injective because $f(x_1) = f(x_2) \Rightarrow (h \circ f)(x_1) = (h \circ f)(x_2) \Rightarrow x_1 = x_2$.

Proof

Suppose that $h: Y \to X$ is an inverse of f. The function f is injective because $f(x_1) = f(x_2) \Rightarrow (h \circ f)(x_1) = (h \circ f)(x_2) \Rightarrow x_1 = x_2$. The function f is surjective because if for any $y \in Y$ with x = h(y), it follows that f(x) = f(h(y)) = y.

Proof

Suppose that $h: Y \to X$ is an inverse of f. The function f is injective because $f(x_1) = f(x_2) \Rightarrow (h \circ f)(x_1) = (h \circ f)(x_2) \Rightarrow x_1 = x_2$. The function f is surjective because if for any $y \in Y$ with x = h(y), it follows that f(x) = f(h(y)) = y. Therefore, f is bijective.

Proof

Conversely, suppose that f is bijective. We define the function $h: Y \to X$ as follows.

Proof

Conversely, suppose that f is bijective. We define the function $h: Y \to X$ as follows. For any $y \in Y$, there exists $x \in X$ with y = f(x).

Since f is injective, there is only one such element x.

Proof

Conversely, suppose that f is bijective. We define the function $h: Y \to X$ as follows. For any $y \in Y$, there exists $x \in X$ with y = f(x).

Since f is injective, there is only one such element x. Define h(y) = x. This function h is an inverse of f because

 $f(h(y)) = f(x) = y_{and} h(f(x)) = h(y) = x.$

Group Theory

Isomorphic BinaryStructures





Let us consider a binary algebraic structure $\langle S, * \rangle$ be a set together with a binary operation or S. •Let us consider a binary algebraic structure $\langle S, *t \rangle$ be a set tS gether with a binary operation of S. •Two binary structures $\langle S, *a \rangle$ nd $\langle S', *a \rangle$ re said to be isomorphic if there is a one-to-one correspondence between the elements of and the elements S of such that x' S'

 $x \leftrightarrow x'$ and $y \leftrightarrow y' \Rightarrow x * y \leftrightarrow x' * y'$.

Let us consider a binary algebraic structure (S,*) be a set tSgether with a binary operation on S.
Two binary structures (S,*)nd (S',*) re said to be isomorphic if there is a one-to-one correspondence between the elements of and the elements of such that x' S'

 $x \leftrightarrow x' \text{ and } y \leftrightarrow y' \Rightarrow x * y \leftrightarrow x' * y'.$

A one-to-one correspondence exists if the sets and have the same number of elements.

Definition

Let $\langle S, \star \phi \rangle$ d $\langle S', \star \phi \rangle$ binary algebraic structures. An isomorphism of with is a δ -ne-to- δ -né function mapping onto ϕ such that S S'

 $\phi(x * y) = \phi(x) * \phi(y) \quad \forall x, y \in S.$

How to show binary structures are isomorphic

Step 1. Define the function \notin hat gives the isomorphism of and S'.

How to show binary structures are isomorphic

Step 1. Define the function \oint hat gives the isomorphism of and S'.

Step 2. Show that is one-to-one.

How to show binary structures are isomorphic

- Step 1. Define the function \oint hat gives the isomorphism of and S'.
- Step 2. Show that some-to-one.
- •Step3. Show that $i \not B$ on to S'.

How to show binary structures are isomorphic

- Step 1. Define the function \notin hat gives the isomorphism of and S'.
- Step 2. Show that is one-to-one.
- •Step3. Show that $B \circ n to S'$.
- Step 4. Show that

 $\phi(x \star y) = \phi(x) \star \phi(y) \ \forall \ x, y \in S.$

Example

•We show that the binary structure $\langle \mathbb{R}, +i \rangle$ isomorphic to the structure $\langle \mathbb{R}^+, . \rangle$.

Example

•We show that the binary structure $\langle \mathbb{R}, +i \rangle$ isomorphic to the structure $\langle \mathbb{R}^+, . \rangle$.

Step 1.

$$\phi: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+, \ \phi(x) = e^x$$

Example

•We show that the binary structure $\langle \mathbb{R}, +i \rangle$ isomorphic to the structure $\langle \mathbb{R}^+, . \rangle$.

Step 1.

$$\phi: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+; \ \phi(x) = e^x$$

Step 2.

$$\phi(x) = \phi(y) \Rightarrow e^x = e^y \Rightarrow x = y.$$

Example

•We show that the binary structure $\langle \mathbb{R}, +i \rangle$ isomorphic to the structure $\langle \mathbb{R}^+, . \rangle$.

Step 1.

$$\phi: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+, \ \phi(x) = e^x$$

Step 2.

$$\phi(x) = \phi(y) \Rightarrow e^x = e^y \Rightarrow x = y.$$

■Step3. If $r \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\ln(r) \in \mathbb{R}$ d $\phi(\ln r) = e^{\ln r} = r$.

Example

■We show that the binary structure $\langle \mathbb{R}, + i \rangle$ isomorphic to the structure $\langle \mathbb{R}^+, . \rangle$. ■Step 1. $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+, \ \phi(x) = e^x$

•Step 2.
$$\phi(x) = \phi(y) \Rightarrow e^x = e^y \Rightarrow x = y.$$

■Step3. If $r \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$ hen $\ln r \in \mathbb{R}^{-}$ and $\phi(\ln r) = e^{\ln r} = r.$ ■Step 4. $\phi(x+y) = e^{x+y} = e^{x}e^{y} = \phi(x)\phi(y) \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$

Group Theory





Isomorphic BinaryStructures

Example

•We show that the binary structure $\langle \mathbb{Z}, +i \rangle$ isomorphic to the structure $\langle 2\mathbb{Z}, +i \rangle$

Example

■We show that the binary structure $\langle \mathbb{Z}, \exists i \rangle$ isomorphic to the structure $\langle 2\mathbb{Z}, \exists i \rangle$ ■Step 1. $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \to 2\mathbb{Z}, \phi(m) = 2m$

Example

•We show that the binary structure $\langle \mathbb{Z}, +i \rangle$ isomorphic to the structure $\langle 2\mathbb{Z}, + \rangle$

- •Step 1. $\phi: \mathbb{Z} \to 2\mathbb{Z}, \ \phi(m) = 2m$
- •Step 2. $\phi(m) = \phi(n) \Rightarrow 2m = 2n \Rightarrow m = n$

Example

•We show that the binary structure $\langle \mathbb{Z}, +i \rangle$ isomorphic to the structure $\langle 2\mathbb{Z}, + \rangle$

- •Step 1. $\phi: \mathbb{Z} \to 2\mathbb{Z}, \ \phi(m) = 2m$
- •Step 2. $\phi(m) = \phi(n) \Rightarrow 2m = 2n \Rightarrow m = n$
- •Step3. If $n \in 2$, Zthen $m = n/2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\phi(m) = 2(n/2) = n$.

Example

•We show that the binary structure $\langle \mathbb{Z}, +i \rangle$ isomorphic to the structure $\langle 2\mathbb{Z}, + \rangle$

- •Step 1. $\phi: \mathbb{Z} \to 2\mathbb{Z}, \ \phi(m) = 2m$
- •Step 2. $\phi(m) = \phi(n) \Rightarrow 2m = 2n \Rightarrow m = n$
- •Step3. If $n \in 2$, Zthen $m = n/2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\phi(m) = 2(n/2) = n$.

Step 4.

 $\phi(m+n) = 2(m+n) = 2m+2n = \phi(m) + \phi(n) \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$

How to show binary structures are not isomorphic

•How do we demonstrate that two binary structures $\langle S, * \rangle$ and $\langle S', *' \rangle$ are not isomorphic?

How to show binary structures are not isomorphic

■How do we demonstrate that two binary structures $\langle S, * \rangle$ and $\langle S', *' \rangle$ are not isomorphic? ■There is no one-to-one function from Sinto S' with the property $\phi(x*y) = \phi(x) *' \phi(y) \forall x, y \in S.$

How to show binary structures are not isomorphic

- ■How do we demonstrate that two binary structures $\langle S, * \rangle$ and $\langle S', *' \rangle$ are not isomorphic? ■There is no one-to-one function from Sento S' with the property $\phi(x*y) = \phi(x)*'\phi(y) \forall x, y \in S.$
- In general, it is not feasible to try every possible oneto-one function mapping onto S and test whether it has homomorphism property.

How to show binary structures are not isomorphic

A structural property of a binary structure is one that must be shared by any isomorphic structure.

How to show binary structures are not isomorphic

- A structural property of a binary structure is one that must be shared by any isomorphic structure.
- It is not concerned with names or some other nonstructural characteristics of the elements.

How to show binary structures are not isomorphic

- A structural property of a binary structure is one that must be shared by any isomorphic structure.
- It is not concerned with names or some other nonstructural characteristics of the elements.
- A structural property is not concerned with what we consider to be the name of the binary operation.

How to show binary structures are not isomorphic

- A structural property of a binary structure is one that must be shared by any isomorphic structure.
- It is not concerned with names or some other nonstructural characteristics of the elements.
- A structural property is not concerned with what we consider to be the name of the binary operation.

The number of elements in the set s a structural property of $\langle S, \star \rangle$
How to show binary structures are not isomorphic

In the event that there are one-to-one mappings of S onto S', we usually show that $\langle S, * \rangle$ is not isomorphic to $\langle S', *' \rangle$ by showing that one has some structural property that the other does not possess.

Possible StructuralPropertiesThe set has four elements.

Possible Structural Properties The set has four elements. The operation is commutative.

Possible Structural
Properties
The set has four elements.
The operation is commutative.

x * x =for all $x \in S$

Possible Structural Properties The set has four elements. The operation is commutative. $x \star x =$ for all $x \in S$ The equation $a \star x = b$ has a solution x in Sfor all $a, b \in S$.

Possible NonstructuralPropertiesThe number 4 is an element.

Possible Nonstructural
Properties
The number 4 is an element.
The operation is called
"addition".

Possible Nonstructural Properties
The number 4 is an element.
The operation is called "addition".
The elements of are

matrices. S

Possible Nonstructural Properties The number 4 is an element. The operation is called "addition". The elements of are matrices. S is a subset of C S

Example

The binary structures $\langle \mathbb{Q}, + \rangle \quad \langle \mathbb{R}, + \rangle$ and are rot isomorphic because has cardinality (algebre will) while

Example

•We prove that the binary structures $\langle \mathbb{Q}, + \rangle$ $\langle \mathbb{Z}, + \rangle$ under the usual addition are not isomorphic.

Example

•We prove that the binary structures $\langle \mathbb{Q}, + 2 \rangle$ $\langle \mathbb{Z}, + \rangle$ Qunder the usual addition are not isomorphic. Q Z • Both and have cardinality , so there are lots of one-to-one functions mapping onto .

Example

Example

•We prove that the binary structures $\langle \mathbb{Z}, + \rangle$ $\langle \mathbb{Z}, + \rangle$ $\langle \mathbb{Z}, + \rangle$ • under the usual addition are not isomorphic.• Both and have cardinality , so there are lots of one-
to-one functions mapping onto .• The equation $X + \lambda_{ASS} = 0$ • The equation $X + \lambda_{ASS} = 0$ • For example, the equation $\chi + \lambda_{ASS} = 0$

Example

The binary structures $\langle \mathbb{C},.
angle$ and $\langle \mathbb{R},.
angle$ under usual multiplication are not isomorphic because the equation x.x = chas solution for all X but $c \in \mathbb{C}$ $x \cdot x = -1$ has IR

Example

The binary structures $\langle M_2(\mathbb{R}), \dot{A}$ d $\langle \mathbb{R}, . \rangle$ under usual matrix multiplication and number multiplication, respectively because multiplication of numbers is commutative, but multiplication of matrices is not.

Group Theory

Lecture



Isomorphic BinaryStructures

Example

Is $\phi: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}, \phi(n) = 3$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ isomorphism?

Example

■Is $\phi: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}, \phi(n) = 3$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ isomorphism? • $\phi: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}, \phi(n) = 3n$

- Is $\phi: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}, \phi(n) = 3$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ isomorphism?
- $\phi: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}, \ \phi(n) = 3n$
- $\phi(m) = \phi(n) \Rightarrow 3m = 3n \Rightarrow m = n$

- Is $\phi: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}, \phi(n) = 36$ $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ isomorphism?
- $\phi: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}, \phi(n) = 3n$
- $\phi(m) = \phi(n) \Rightarrow 3m = 3n \Rightarrow m = n$

Example

- ■Is $\phi: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}, \phi(n) = 6n$ $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ isomorphism?
- $\phi: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}, \phi(n) = 3n$
- $\phi(m) = \phi(n) \Rightarrow 3m = 3n \Rightarrow m = n$
- Choose $5 \in \mathbb{Z} \phi(m) = 3m \Rightarrow bt$ $m = 5/3 \notin \mathbb{Z}$

 $\phi: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}, \ \phi(n) = 3n$ $\downarrow s \qquad homomorphism? \\ \phi(m+n) = 3(m+n) = 3m + 3n = \phi(m) + \phi(n) \ \forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$

Example

Is
$$\phi: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}, \phi(n) = 3n$$

 $\phi: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}, \phi(n) = 3n$
 $\phi(m) = \phi(n) \Rightarrow 3m = 3n \Rightarrow m = n$
Choose $5 \notin \mathbb{Z}, \phi(m) = 3m = 5$ $m = 5/3 \notin \mathbb{Z}$

■Is $\phi: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}, \phi(n) = 3\mathfrak{M}$ omorphism? $\phi(m+n) = 3(m+n) = 3m + 3n = \phi(m) + \phi(n) \forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$

• $\langle \mathbb{Z}, + \rangle \cong \langle 3\mathbb{Z}, + \rangle$

Example

Is $\phi: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}, \phi(n) = n + f d n \in \mathbb{Z}$ isomorphism?

Example

■Is $\phi: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}, \phi(n) = n + f d r$ $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ isomorphism? • $\phi: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}, \phi(n) = n + 1$

- Is $\phi: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}, \phi(n) = n + f d n \in \mathbb{Z}$ isomorphism?
- $\phi: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}, \phi(n) = n+1$
- $\phi(m) = \phi(n) \Rightarrow m+1 = n+1 \Rightarrow m = n$

■Is
$$\phi: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}, \phi(n) = n + f_0 \text{ isomorphism}$$
?
• $\phi: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}, \phi(n) = n + 1$

- $\phi(m) = \phi(n) \Rightarrow m+1 = n+1 \Rightarrow m = n$
- ■For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exists $n 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ uch that $\phi(n 1) = n 1 + 1 = n$

Example

■Is
$$\phi: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}, \phi(n) = n + 4 \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 isomorphism?
■ $\phi: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}, \phi(n) = n + 1$
■ $\phi(m) = \phi(n) \Rightarrow m + 1 = n + 1 \Rightarrow m = n$

For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exists $n - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ uch that $\phi(n-1) = n - 1 + 1 = n$ $\phi(m+n) = m + n + 1 \neq \phi(m) + \phi(n) = m + n + 2$

Example

Is $\phi: \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{Q}, \phi(x) = x/f$ $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ omorphism?

- Is $\phi: \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{Q}, \phi(x) = x/f$ $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ omorphism?
- $\phi: \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{Q}, \ \phi(x) = x/2$

- Is $\phi: \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{Q}, \phi(x) = x/f$ $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ omorphism?
- $\phi: \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{Q}, \ \phi(x) = x/2$
- $\phi(x) = \phi(y) \Rightarrow x/2 = y/2 \Rightarrow x = y$

- Is $\phi: \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{Q}, \phi(x) = x/f$ $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ omorphism?
- $\phi: \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{Q}, \ \phi(x) = x/2$
- $\phi(x) = \phi(y) \Rightarrow x/2 = y/2 \Rightarrow x = y$
- ■For every $y \in \mathbb{Q}$ there exists $2y \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\phi(2y) = 2y/2 = y$

- Is ϕ : Q → Q, $\phi(x) = x/f$ $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ omorphism? • ϕ : Q → Q, $\phi(x) = x/2$ • $\phi(x) = \phi(y) \Rightarrow x/2 = y/2 \Rightarrow x = y$
- ■For every $y \in \mathbb{Q}$ there exists $2y \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\phi(2y) = 2y/2 = y$ $\phi(x+y) = \frac{x+y}{2} = \frac{x}{2} + \frac{y}{2} = \phi(x) + \phi(y)$.

Example

•We prove that the binary structures $\langle \mathbb{Z}, \rangle$ and $\langle \mathbb{Z}^+, . \rangle$ under the usual multiplication are not isomorphic.

Example

We prove that the binary structures ⟨Z, ⟩and ⟨Z⁺, .⟩
under the usual multiplication are not isomogohic. Z⁺
Both and have cardinality , so Zhere ar Z lots of one-to-one functions mapping onto .

Example

We prove that the binary structures



 $\langle \mathbb{Z}^+, . \rangle$ under the usual multiplication are not

isomorphic.

• Both and have cardinality , so there are lots of one-to-one functions mapping onto . \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Z}^+ •In $\langle \mathbb{Z}_{th} \rangle$ re are two elements such that ,x.x = x namely, 0 and 1.
Isomorphic Binary Structures

Example

•We prove that the binary structuresand X, λ $\langle \mathbb{Z}^+$, under the usual multiplication are notisomorphic.• Both and have cardinality , so there are lots of one-to-one functions matrix onto . \mathbb{N}_0 •In there are two elements such that \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Z}^+ $\operatorname{nan}(\mathbb{Z}_r, \mathbb{Q})$ and 1.X* However, in , there is only the single element 1.

$$\langle \mathbb{Z}^+, . \rangle$$

Isomorphic BinaryStructures



Groups

Associative Binary Operation • A binary operation is called associative if (a*b)*c = a*(b*c).

Example •Can we solve 3+x=2in IN ? •The equation is unsolvable in since $-3 \notin IN$.

Example Can we solve 3+x=2in \mathbb{Z} ?

Example Can we solve 3+x=2in \mathbb{Z} ? add $-\Im$ both sides -3+(3+x) = -3+2

Example Can we solve 3+x=2in \mathbb{Z} ? add $-\partial n$ both sides -3+(3+x) = -3+2(-3+3)+x = -3+2

Example •Can we solve 3+x=2in \mathbb{Z} ? •add • on both sides -3+(3+x) = -3+2 (-3+3)+x = -3+2•Thus

0 + x = -3 + 2

Example Can we solve 3 + x = 2in \mathbb{Z} ? ■add = on both sides -3+(3+x) = -3+2(-3+3) + x = -3+2Thus 0 + x = -3 + 2x = -1.

Example 3 + x = 2Can we solve in \mathbb{Z} ? add on both sides 1. We use associative -3+(3+x) = -3+2property \rightarrow (-3+3) + x = -3+2 2. Existence of 0Thus with 0 + x = x-30 + x = -3 + 23. Existence of -3 $\rightarrow x = -1.$ with -3+3=0

Group(Definition)

A group $\langle G, \star \rangle$ is a set G with binary operation \star satisfying the following axioms for all $a, b, c \in G$:

Group(Definition)

A group (G, *) is a set G with binary operation * satisfying the following axioms for all $a, b, c \in G$:

1.For $a,b \in G$ $a * b \in G$ (closure)

Group(Definition)

A group (G, *) is a set G with binary operation * satisfying the following axioms for all $a, b, c \in G$:

1.For
$$a,b \in G$$
 $a * b \in G$ (closure)2. $(a * b) * c = a * (b * c)$ (associative)

Group(Definition)

A group $\langle G, \star \rangle$ is a set G with binary operation \star satisfying the following axioms for all $a, b, c \in G$:

1.For $a,b \in G$ $a * b \in G$ (closure)2. (a * b) * c = a * (b * c)(associative)3.There exists $e \in G$ such that(identity)e * a = a * e = a

Group(Definition)

A group (G, \star) is a set G with binary operation \star satisfying the following axioms for all $a, b, c \in G$:

1.For $a,b \in G$ $a * b \in G$ (closure)2. (a * b) * c = a * (b * c)(associative)3.There exists $e \in G$ such that(identity)e * a = a * e = a(identity)4. For every $a \in G$ there exists $a^{-1} \in G$ such that $a^{-1} * a = a * a^{-1} = e$ (inverse)

Example

Can we solve equations of the form a * x = b in a group $\langle G, * \rangle$?

Example

Can we solve equations of the form a * x = b in a group $\langle G, * \rangle$? a'*(a*x) = a'*b

Example

Can we solve equations of the form a * x = b in a group $\langle G, * \rangle$? a'*(a*x) = a'*b(a'*a)*x = a'*b

Example

Can we solve equations of the form a * x = b in a group $\langle G, * \rangle$? a'*(a*x) = a'*b (a'*a)*x = a'*be*x = a'*b

Example

Can we solve equations of the form a * x = b in a group $\langle G, * \rangle$? a' * (a * x) = a' * b (a' * a) * x = a' * b e * x = a' * bx = a' * b





Examples of Groups

Example $\langle \mathbb{Z}, + \rangle$

Example $\langle \mathbb{Z}, + \rangle$

Closure

$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, m + n \in \mathbb{Z}$

Example $\langle \mathbb{Z}, + \rangle$

Closure $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, m + n \in \mathbb{Z}$

Associative

 $\forall m, n, p \in \mathbb{Z}, (m+n) + p = m + (n+p)$

Example $\langle \mathbb{Z}, + \rangle$

Closure $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, m + n \in \mathbb{Z}$

Associative

 $\forall m, n, p \in \mathbb{Z}, (m+n) + p = m + (n+p)$ Identity

For every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, 0 + m = m = m + 0.

Example $\langle \mathbb{Z}, + \rangle$

Closure $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, m + n \in \mathbb{Z}$

Associative

 $\forall m, n, p \in \mathbb{Z}, (m+n) + p = m + (n+p)$ Identity

For every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, 0 + m = m = m + 0. • inverse

For every $m \in \mathbb{Z} \exists - m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that m + (-m) = 0 = (-m) + m.



Example $\langle \mathbb{Z}, - \rangle$ • closure $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, m - n \in \mathbb{Z}$

Example $\langle \mathbb{Z}, - \rangle$ • closure $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, m - n \in \mathbb{Z}$ • associative $(2 - 3) - 4 = -5 \neq 3 = 2 - (3 - 4)$



Example $\langle \mathbb{Z}, . \rangle$ closure

 $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, m.n \in \mathbb{Z}$

Example
 $\langle \mathbb{Z}, . \rangle$ closure $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, m.n \in \mathbb{Z}$ associative

 $\forall m, n, p \in \mathbb{Z}, (m.n).p = m.(n.p)$

Example $\langle \mathbb{Z}, . \rangle$ •closure $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, m.n \in \mathbb{Z}$ •associative $\forall m, n, p \in \mathbb{Z}, (m.n). p = m.(n.p)$ •identity For every $m \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \in \mathbb{Z}, 1.m = m = m.1$.

Example $\langle \mathbb{Z}, .
angle$ closure $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, m.n \in \mathbb{Z}$ associative $\forall m, n, p \in \mathbb{Z}, (m.n).p = m.(n.p)$ identity For every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, 1.m = m = m.1. Inverse $2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ but $\frac{1}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}$

Example $\langle \mathbb{Q}, + \rangle$
Example $\langle \mathbb{Q}, + \rangle$

Closure

 $\forall r, s \in \mathbb{Q}, r + s \in \mathbb{Q}$

Example $\langle \mathbb{Q}, + \rangle$

Closure $\forall r, s \in \mathbb{Q}, r + s \in \mathbb{Q}$

Associative

 $\forall r, s, t \in \mathbb{Q}, (r+s)+t = r+(s+t)$

Example $\langle \mathbb{Q}, + \rangle$ •Closure $\forall r, s \in \mathbb{Q}, r + s \in \mathbb{Q}$ •Associative $\forall r, s, t \in \mathbb{Q}, (r + s) + t = r + (s + t)$ •Identity For every $r \in \mathbb{Q}, 0 + r = r = r + 0, 0 \in \mathbb{Q}$.

```
Example
\langle \mathbf{Q}, + \rangle
                    \forall r, s \in \mathbb{Q}, r + s \in \mathbb{Q}
Closure
Associative
          \forall r, s, t \in \mathbb{Q}, (r+s)+t = r+(s+t)
Identity
     For every r \in \mathbb{Q}, 0 + r = r = r + 0, 0 \in \mathbb{Q}.
inverse
     For every r \in \mathbb{Q} \exists - r \in \mathbb{Q} such that
         r + (-r) = 0 = (-r) + r.
```



Example $\langle \mathbb{Q}, . \rangle$ closure

 $\forall r, s \in \mathbb{Q}, r.s \in \mathbb{Q}$

Example
 $\langle \mathbb{Q}, . \rangle$ closure $\forall r, s \in \mathbb{Q}, r.s \in \mathbb{Q}$ associative

 $\forall r, s, t \in \mathbb{Q}, (r.s).t = r.(s.t)$

Example $\langle \mathbb{Q}, . \rangle$ • closure $\forall r, s \in \mathbb{Q}, r.s \in \mathbb{Q}$ • associative $\forall r, s, t \in \mathbb{Q}, (r.s).t = r.(s.t)$ • identity For every $r \in \mathbb{Q}, 1.r = r = r.1, 1 \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Example $\langle extbf{Q},.
angle$ $\forall r, s \in \mathbb{Q}, r.s \in \mathbb{Q}$ closure associative $\forall r, s, t \in \mathbb{Q}, (r.s) = r.(s.t)$ identity For every $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, 1.r = r = r.1, $1 \in \mathbb{Q}$. Inverse Inverse of $0 \in \mathbb{Q}$ does not exist



• $\langle \mathbb{Q} - \{0\}, i \rangle$ a group.

Examples

• $\langle \mathbb{Q} - \{0\}, i \rangle$ a group.

• $\langle \mathbb{R} - \{0\}, i_{s} \rangle$ a group.

Examples

• $\langle \mathbb{Q} - \{0\}, i \rangle$ a group.

• $\langle \mathbb{R} - \{0\}, i_{s} \rangle$ a group.

• $\langle \mathbb{C} - \{0\}, i \rangle$ a group.





Uniqueness of Identity and Inverse

Proposition Let $\langle G, * \rangle$ e a group. Then

Proposition
■Let (G,*)e a group.
Then
1) Ghas exactly one identity element

Proposition •Let $\langle G, \star \rangle$ e a group. Then 1) Chas exactly one identity element 2)Each element of Chas exactly one inverse.

Proof
1) Suppose *e*, *e* are identity elements.

Proof

1) Suppose e, e' are identity elements. So e * x = x * e = x

Proof

1) Suppose e, e' are identity elements. So e * x = x * e = x

$$e' * x = x * e' = x$$

Proof

1) Suppose e, e' are identity elements. So e * x = x * e = xe' * x = x * e' = xholds for all $x \in G$

Proof

1) Suppose *e*, *e*[′] are identity elements. So *e***x* =*x***e* =*x e*[′]**x* =*x***e*[′] =*x*holds for all *x*∈*G*In particular

$$e = e \star e' = e'$$

Proof2) Let $x \in G$ andsupposex' areinverses ofx.

Proof 2) Let $x \in G$ and suppose x' are inverses of x = x + x' = e

Proof 2) Let $x \in G$ and suppose x' are inverses of x o x' * x = x * x' = ex'' * x = x * x'' = e

Proof 2) Let $x \in G$ and suppose x' are inverses of x o x' * x = x * x' = ex'' * x = x * x'' = e

Then

x′ =*x*′**e*

Proof 2) Let $x \in G$ and suppose x' are inverses of x o x' * x = x * x' = ex'' * x = x * x'' = e

Then

$$x' = x' * e$$

= x'*(x*x'')

Proof 2) Let $x \in G$ and suppose x' are inverses of x o x' * x = x * x' = ex'' * x = x * x'' = e

Then

$$x' = x' * e = x' * (x * x'') = (x' * x) * x''$$

Proof 2) Let $x \in G$ and suppose x' are inverses of x o x' * x = x * x' = ex'' * x = x * x'' = e

Then

$$x' = x' * e
 = x' * (x * x'')
 = (x' * x) * x''
 = e * x'' = x''.$$





An Interesting Example of Group

Example Let $G = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \neq 1\}$

and define

x * y = xy - x - y + 2.

Prove that (G, *) is a

group.

Solution **Closure:** Let $a, b \in G$, so $a \neq 1$ and $b \neq 1$. Suppose a * b = 1. Then ab - a - b + 2 = 1and so (a - 1)(b - 1) = 0which implies that a = 1or b = 1, a contradiction.

Associative: (a * b) * c=(a * b)c - (a * b) - c + 2= (ab - a - b + 2)c -(ab - a - b + 2) - c + 2= abc - ac - bc + 2c - ab+a+b-2-c+2= abc - ab - ac - bc + a +b+cSimilarly $a \cdot (b \cdot c)$ has the same value.

Identity: An identity, e, would have to satisfy: e * x = x = x * e for all x $\in G$, that is, ex - e - x + 2 = x, or (e - 2)(x - 1) = 0 for all x. Clearly e = 2 works.

Inverses: If x * y = 2, then xy - x - y + 2 = 2. So y(x - 1) = x and hence y = x/(x - 1).

This exists for all $x \neq 1$, i.e. for all $x \in G$. But we must also check that it is itself an element of G. This is so because x/(x - 1) / 1for all $x \neq 1$.



Regards: Virtual Alerts (UTuB)

Topic No. 14
Elementary Properties of Groups

Theorem

If G is a group with binary operation * then the left and right cancellation laws hold in G, that is, a * b = a * c implies b = c, and b * a = c * a implies b = c for all $a, b, c \in G$.

Proof

Suppose a * b = a * c. Then, there exists $a' \in G$, and a'* (a * b) = a'*(a * c). (a'* a) * b = (a'* a) * c. So, e * b = e * c implies b = c. Similarly, from b * a = c * aone can deduce that b = cupon multiplication by $a' \in G$ on the right.

Theorem

If G is a group with binary operation *, and if a and b are any elements of G, then the linear equations a * x=band y * a=b have unique solutions x and y in G.

Proof

First we show the existence of at least one solution by just computing that a' * b is a solution of a * x = b. Note that $a^* (a' * b) = (a * a') * b = a * b = b$

$$a^* (a'^* b) = (a^* a')^* b = e^* b = b$$

Thus x = a' * b is a solution of a * x = b. In a similar fashion, y = b * a' is a solution of y * a = b.



Theorem

Let G be a group. For all $a, b \in G$, we have $(a^*b)' = b'^*a'$.

Proof

Note that in a group G, we have $(a^* b)^* (b'^* a')$ = $a^* (b^* b')^* a'$ = $(a^* e)^* a'$ = $a^* a' = e$.

It shows that b' * a' is the unique inverse of a * b. That is, (a * b)' = b' * a'.

Theorem

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(a^n)^{-1} = (a^{-1})^n$.

Proof

By definition, $(a^n)^{-1}$ is the unique element of G whose product with a^n in any order is e.

But by associativity,

$$a^{n} * (a^{-1})^{n} = (a^{n-1} * a) * (a^{-1} * (a^{-1})^{n-1})$$

= $a^{n-1} * (a * (a^{-1} * (a^{-1})^{n-1}))$
= $a^{n-1} * ((a * a^{-1}) * (a^{-1})^{n-1})$
= $a^{n-1} * (e * (a^{-1})^{n-1}))$
= $a^{n-1} * (a^{-1})^{n-1}$,

which by induction on n equals e (the cases n = 0and n = 1 are trivial).

Similarly, the product of a^n and $(a^{-1})^n$ in the other order is e.

This proves that $(a^{-1})^n$ is the inverse of a^n .





- Is $\langle M_{mn}(\mathbb{R}), + \rangle$ group?
- $\forall [a_{ii}], [b_{ii}] \in M_{mn}(\mathbb{R}), [a_{ii}] + [b_{ii}] = [a_{ii} + b_{ii}] \in M_{mn}(\mathbb{R})$
- \forall [a_{ii}], [b_{ii}], [c_{ii}] \in $M_{mn}(\mathbb{R})$, $([a_{ii}] + [b_{ii}]) + [c_{ii}] = [a_{ii} + b_{ii}] + [c_{ii}]$
 - $=[(a_{ii} + b_{ij}) + c_{ij}]$
 - $=[a_{ij}+(b_{ij}+c_{ij})]$
 - $= [a_{ii}] + [b_{ii} + c_{ii}]$

 - $= [a_{ii}] + ([b_{ii}] + [c_{ii}])$

- For every $[a_{ij}] \in M_{mn}(\mathbb{R})$ and $[0] \in M_{mn}(\mathbb{R})$, $[a_{ij}] + [0] = [a_{ij} + 0] = [a_{ij}] = [0] + [a_{ij}]$
- For every $[a_{ij}] \in M_{mn}(\mathbb{R})$ there exists $[-a_{ij}] \in M_{mn}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $[a_{ij}] + [-a_{ij}] = [a_{ij} + (-a_{ij})] = [0] = [-a_{ij}] + [a_{ij}]$





■ \forall $[a_{ii}], [b_{ii}] \in M_{mn}(\mathbb{R}),$ $[a_{ii}] + [b_{ii}] = [a_{ii} + b_{ii}]$ $=[b_{ii}+a_{ii}]=[b_{ii}]+[a_{ii}]$ Therefore, $\langle M_{mn}(\mathbb{R}), + \rangle$ is abelian group. • Similarly, $\langle M_{mn}(\mathbb{Z}), +$ λ, $\langle M_{mn}(\mathbb{Q}), + \rangle$ and $\langle M_{mn}(\mathbb{C}), + \rangle$ are also abelian groups.

Is $\langle M_{nn}(\mathbb{R}), . \rangle$ group? $\forall A, B \in M_{nn}(\mathbb{R}),$ $AB \in M_{nn}(\mathbb{R})$

- \forall A, B, C \in M_{nn}(\mathbb{R}), (AB)C=A(BC)
- For every $A \in M_{nn}(\mathbb{R})$ and $I_n \in M_{nn}(\mathbb{R})$, $AI_n = A = I_n A$
- A^{-1} does not exist for all those $A \in M_{nn}(\mathbb{R})$ having det(A)=0

Field

(F,+,.)

- (F,+) is abelian group
- (F\{0},.) is abelian group
 - $\forall a, b, c \in F$,
- a(b+c)=ab+ac
 - (a+b)c=ac+bc

$$\langle \mathbb{Z}, + \rangle \\ \langle \mathbb{Q}, + \rangle \\ \langle \mathbb{Q}, + \rangle \\ \langle \mathbb{R}, + \rangle \\ \langle \mathbb{R}, + \rangle \\ \langle \mathbb{R}, - \{0\}, . \rangle \\ \langle \mathbb{C}, + \rangle \\ \langle \mathbb{C}, - \{0\}, . \rangle$$





Abelian Groups



•Let $F = \mathbb{B}_{r} \mathbb{C}$ •Let $\begin{bmatrix} a_{ij} \\ b \\ e \\ a \\ matrix \\ F \\ e \\ a_{ij} \\ \in F \end{bmatrix}$

•Let $F = \mathbb{B}r$ \mathbb{C}

Let $\begin{bmatrix} a_{ij} b e \text{ a matrix} \\ \text{over} & i E e. all \\ a_{ij} \in F \end{bmatrix}$

Let GL(n, Fd) enotes the set of all $n \times n$ invertible matrices over . F

In general set of all n×n matrices is not a group under matrix multiplication.

In general set of all n×n matrices is not a group under matrix multiplication.

But $GL(n, F_i)$ a group under matrix multiplication.

Axioms Let G = GL(n, F)

Axioms •Let G = GL(n, F)•Closure: For all $A, B \in G$ $AB \in G$

Axioms

- •Let G = GL(n, F)
- Closure: For all $A, B \in \mathcal{G} AB \in \mathcal{G}$
- Associative property also holds in *G*.

Axioms

- •Let G = GL(n, F)
- Closure: For all $A, B \in \mathcal{G}$ $AB \in \mathcal{G}$
- Associative property also holds in *G*.
- Iş the identity matrix.

Axioms

- •Let G = GL(n, F)
- Closure: For all $A, B \in G$ $AB \in G$
- Associative property also holds in *G*
- Is the identity matrix.
- •Since both A and A^{-1}

are invertible so inverse exists.

Example Let $G = GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ $A, B \in G$ such that $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

•Let $G = GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ $A, B \in Guch$ that $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ •then $AB = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Example Let $G = GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ and $A, B \in G$ such that $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ then $AB = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $BA = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$

Definition •Let $\langle G, \ast \rangle$ is a group. If for all $a, b \in G$, $a \ast b = b \ast a$ We call G an abelian group.

Definition Let $\langle G, * \phi e a \text{ group. If}$ for all $a, b \in G$, a * b = b * aWe call G an abelian group. Examples $\langle n\mathbb{Z}, + \rangle$
Definition Let $\langle G, * \rangle$ e a group. If for all $a, b \in G$, for all a * b = b * aWe call G an abelian group. Examples $\langle n\mathbb{Z}, + \rangle$ $\langle \mathbb{Q} - \{0\}, . \rangle$























Abelian Groups

Abelian Groups

Theorem

If a * b = b * a, then for all/any one $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(a * b)^n = a^n * b^n$.

Abelian Groups

Proof

If n = 0 or n = 1, this holds trivially. Now let n > 1.

By commutativity, $b^m * a = a * b^m$ for all $m \ge 0$.

Then by induction on n,

 $(a * b)^{n} = (a * b)^{n-1} * (a * b) = (a^{n-1} * b^{n-1}) * (a * b)$ = $((a^{n-1} * b^{n-1}) * a) * b = (a^{n-1} * (b^{n-1} * a)) * b$ = $(a^{n-1} * (a * b^{n-1})) * b = (a^{n-1} * a) * b^{n-1}) * b$ = $a^{n} * (b^{n-1} * b) = a^{n} * b^{n}$. Thus the result holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Abelian Groups

If n<0, then by the positive case and commutativity,

 $(a * b)^{n}$ = $(b * a)^{n}$ = $((b * a)^{-n})^{-1}$ = $(b^{-n} * a^{-n})^{-1}$ = $(a^{-n})^{-1} * (b^{-n})^{-1}$ = $a^{n} * b^{n}$





Modular Arithmetic

Definition
Let n be a fixed positive integer and a and b any two integers.
We say that a is congruent to b modulo n if n divides a-b.
We denote this by a ≡ b mod n.

Theorem

Show that the congruence relation

modulo n is an equivalence relation

on.

Proof

Write "n|m" for "n divides m," which means that there is some integer k such that m = nk. Hence $a \equiv b \mod n$ if and only if n | (a-b). (i) For all $a \in , n \mid (a-a), so$ $a \equiv a \mod n$ and the relation is reflexive.

n|-(a -b). Hence $n \mid (b-a)$ and $b \equiv a \mod n$. (iii) If $a \equiv b \mod n$ and $b \equiv c \mod n$, then n|(a-b) and n|(b-c), so n|(a-b)+(b-c). Therefore, n|(a-c) and $a \equiv c \mod n$. Hence congruence modulo n is an equivalence relation on .

(ii) If $a \equiv b \mod n$, then $n \mid (a-b)$, so

The set of equivalence

classes is called the set of

integers modulo n and is

denoted by .

In the congruence relation modulo 3, we have the following equivalence classes:

 $[0]=\{\dots,-3,0,3,6,9,\dots\} \qquad [1]=\{\dots,-2,1,4,7,10,\dots\} [2]=\{\dots,-1,2,5,8,11,\dots\}$ $[3]=\{\dots,0,3,6,9,12,\dots\}=[0]$

Any equivalence class must be one of [0], [1], or [2], so ={[0],[1],[2]}.

In general, $=\{[0], [1], [2], ..., [n-1]\}$, since any integer is congruent modulo n to its remainder when divided by n.





Order of a Group

Definition

The number of elements of a
group G is called the order of G.
We denote it as |G|.
We call G finite if it has only
finitely many elements; otherwise
we call G infinite.

Definition

Let G be a group and a \in G. If there is a positive integer n such that aⁿ = e, then we call the smallest such positive integer the order of a. If no such n exists, we say that a has infinite order. The order of *a* is denoted by a.

In the congruence relation modulo 4, we have the

following equivalence classes:

 $[0]=\{...,-4,0,4,8,12,...\}$ $[1]=\{...,-3,1,5,9,13,...\}$ $[2]=\{...,$

-2,2,6,10,14,... [3]={...,-1,3,7,11,15,...}

Any equivalence class must be one of [0], [1], [2] or [3],

so ={[0],[1],[2],[3]}.

Let $+_4$ be addition modulo 4. Then, $2 +_4 3 = 1$.

We can write out its Cayley table:

+4	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]
[0]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]
[1]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[0]
[2]	[2]	[3]	[0]	[1]
[3]	[3]	[0]	[1]	[2]

Therefore, $(\mathbb{Z}_4, +_4)$ is a group.

- |ℤ₄|=4
- $1+_41+_41+_41=4(1)=0 \implies |[1]|=4$
- $^{\bullet}2+_{_{4}}2=2(2)=0 \Longrightarrow |[2]|=2$
- $3+_43+_43+_43=4(3)=0 \implies |[3]|=4$
- 1(0)=0 ⇒ |[0]|=1
- ℤ₄=⟨1⟩=⟨3⟩
- Let $\mathbb{Z}_n = \{[0], [1], [2], ..., [n-1]\}$. Then, $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +_n)$ is a group.

$$\begin{array}{l} \left\langle \mathbb{Z}, + \right\rangle \\ \left\langle \mathbb{Q}, + \right\rangle \\ \left\langle \mathbb{Q} - \{0\}, . \right\rangle \\ \left\langle \mathbb{R}, + \right\rangle \\ \left\langle \mathbb{R}, + \right\rangle \\ \left\langle \mathbb{R} - \{0\}, . \right\rangle \\ \left\langle \mathbb{C} - \{0\}, . \right\rangle \end{array}$$





Finite Groups

Let $U_4 = \{1, -1, i, -i\}$, and let "." be multiplication. Then U_4 is a group, and we can write out its multiplication table (Cayley table):

•	1	-1	i	-i
1	1	-1	i	-i
-1	-1	1	-i	i
i	i	-i	-1	1
-i	-i	i	1	-1

- |U₄|=4
- $\bullet (-1)(-1)=(-1)^2=1 \Longrightarrow |-1|=2$
- i.i.i.i=i⁴=1 \implies |i|=4
- $(-i)(-i)(-i)(-i)=(-i)^4=1 \implies |-i|=4$
- 1¹=1 ⇒ |1|=1
- U₄=⟨i⟩=⟨-i⟩

Is
$$\langle U_4, .. \rangle \cong \langle \mathbb{Z}_4, +_4 \rangle$$
?
 $1 \longleftrightarrow [0]$
 $-1 \longleftrightarrow [2]$
 $i \longleftrightarrow [1]$
 $-i \longleftrightarrow [3]$

Let $U_n = \{e^{i2k\pi/n: k=0, 1, ..., n-1}\}$. Then, $\langle U_n, . \rangle$ is a group. $\langle U_n, . \rangle \cong \langle \mathbb{Z}_n, +_n \rangle$



Finite Groups

Since a group has to have at least one element, namely, the identity, a minimal set that might give rise to a group is a one-element set { e}. The only possible binary operation on $\{e\}$ is defined by e * e = e. The three group axioms hold. The identity element is always its own inverse in every group.

Let us try to put a group structure on a set of two elements.

Since one of the elements must play the role of identity element, we may as well let the set be $\{e, a\}$.

Let us attempt to find a table for a binary

structure on { e, a}.

Since *e* is to be the identity, so e * x = x * e = xfor all $x \in \{e, a\}$. Also, a must have an inverse a' such that a * a' = a' * a = e. In our case, a' must be either e or a. Since a' =e obviously does not work, we must have
Finite Groups

So, we have to complete the table as follows:

E	*	е	а
	е	е	а
	а	а	е

Finite Groups

+2	[0]	[1]
[0]	[0]	[1]
[1]	[1]	[0]

We know that $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{ [0], [I] \}$ under addition modulo 2 is a group, and by our arguments, its table must be the one above with *e* replaced by [0] and *a* by [1].

Group Theory



Suppose that G is any group of three elements and imagine a table for G with identity element appearing first. Since our filling out of the table for $G = \{e, a, b\}$ could be done in only one way, we see that if we take the table for G and rename the identity e, the next element listed a, and the last element b, the resulting table for G gives an isomorphism of the group G with the group $G' = \{[0], [1], [2]\}$.

Finite Groups

*	е	а	b	+3
е	е	а	b	[0]
а	а	b	е	[1]
b	b	е	а	[2]
pðss	ible	\implies	a=e	not
pðss	sībl€	\rightarrow	b=e	not
pðss	ible	\implies	a=e	not
þ*bs	ible	\implies	b=e	not

+3	[0]	[1]	[2]
[0]	[0]	[1]	[2]
[1]	[1]	[2]	[0]
[2]	[2]	[0]	[1]

Т

Our work above can be summarized by saying that all groups with a single element are isomorphic, all groups with just two elements are isomorphic, and all groups with just three elements are isomorphic.

We may say:

There is only one group of single element (up to Isomorphism), there is only one group of two elements (up to isomorphism) and there is only one group of three elements (up to isomorphism). There are two different types of group structures of order 4.

- The group $\langle \mathbb{Z}_4, +_4 \rangle$ is isomorphic to the group $U_4 = \{ 1, i, -1, -i \}$ of fourth roots of unity under multiplication.
- The group V=(a,b | a²=b²=(ab)²=e) is the Klein 4-group, and the notation V comes from the German word Vier for four.

Finite Groups

We describe Klein 4-group by its group table.

*	е	а	b	С
е	е	а	b	С
а	а	е	С	b
b	b	С	е	а
С	С	b	а	е

Group Theory



Finite Groups

Is $\langle \mathbb{Z}_6 \setminus \{[0]\}, ._6 \rangle$ a group?

•6	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]
[1]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]
[2]	[2]	[4]	[0]	[2]	[4]
[3]	[3]	[0]	[3]	[0]	[3]
[4]	[4]	[2]	[0]	[4]	[2]
[5]	[5]	[4]	[3]	[2]	[1]

Finite Groups

Is $\langle \mathbb{Z}_5 \setminus \{[0]\}, ._5 \rangle$ a group?

•5	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]
[1]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]
[2]	[2]	[4]	[1]	[3]
[3]	[3]	[1]	[4]	[2]
[4]	[4]	[3]	[2]	[1]

 $\langle \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{[0]\}, ._p \rangle$ is a group, where p is a prime number

Group Theory





Subgroups

SubgroupsLet $\langle G, * \rangle$ e a group. Asubgroup of is Gsubset of Which isitself a group under . *

Examples

• $\langle \mathbb{Z}, \mathsf{H} \rangle$ a subgroup of $\langle \mathbb{R}, \mathsf{+} \rangle$

Examples

- $\langle \mathbb{Z}, + \rangle$ a subgroup of $\langle \mathbb{R}, + \rangle$
- $\langle \mathbf{Q} \{0\}, i \rangle$ not a subgroup of $\langle \mathbf{R}, + \rangle$

Examples

- $\langle \mathbb{Z}, + \rangle$ a subgroup of $\langle \mathbb{R}, + \rangle$
- $\langle \mathbf{Q} \{0\}, i \rangle$ not a subgroup of $\langle \mathbf{R}, + \rangle$
- $(\{1, -1\}, .)$ s a subgroup of $(\{1, -1, i, -i\}, .)$

Examples

- $\langle \mathbb{Z}, + \rangle$ a subgroup of $\langle \mathbb{R}, + \rangle$
- $\langle \mathbb{Q} \{0\}, i \rangle$ not a subgroup of $\langle \mathbb{R}, + \rangle$
- $\langle \{1, -1\}, . \rangle$ s a subgroup of $\langle \{1, -1, i, -i\}, . \rangle$
- $\langle \{1, i\}, . \rangle$ s not a subgroup of $\langle \{1, -1, i, -i\}, . \rangle$

PropositionLetGe a group. Let $H \subseteq G$. Then H is asubgroup ofGe thefollowing are true:

Proposition •Let Ge a group. Let $H \subseteq G$. Then H is a subgroup of iG the following are true: 1) $e \in H$

Proposition Let Ge a group. Let $H \subseteq G$. Then H is a subgroup of iGthe following are true: $e \in H$ 1) $h_{k}, k \in H$ 2) then $hk \in H$

Proposition Let Ge a group. Let $H \subseteq G$. Then H is a subgroup of iGthe following are true: $e \in H$ 1) $h_{k}, k \in H$ 2) then $hk \in H$ $h \in H$ then 3) $h^{-1} \in H$

Example Let $G = GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ Let $H = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} | n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$

Example Let $G = GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ Let $H = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} | n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$

1) $e \in H$

Example Let $G = GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ Let $H = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} | n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$

1) $e \in H$ 2) $\det h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & p \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ then $hk = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & p+n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in H.$



Example 3) $let \\ h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $h^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in H.$

Hence H is a subgroup of G.

Group Theory





Examples of Subgroups

If *F* is a field **GL**(*n*, *F*) denotes the group of all invertible $n \times n$ matrices over *F* under multiplication. This group is called the **general inear group of degree** *n* **over** *F*.

We know that the associative law holds for matrix multiplication. Checking the closure law requires us to know that the product of two invertible matrices is invertible. And we need to know more than just the fact that every invertible matrix has an inverse. We need to observe that such an inverse is itself invertible.

An interesting subgroup of GL(n, F) is **T**⁺(*n*, *F*) the set of all $n \times n$ **upper- triangular matrices** over *F*, that is, $n \times n$ matrices of the form:

where each diagonal component is non-zero.

Then there are the **lower triangular matrices** $T^{-}(n, F)$ which are the transposes of the upper triangular ones.

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & a_{33} & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{1n} & a_{2n} & a_{3n} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

Diagonal matrices D(n, F). It's closed under multiplication, identity and inverses simply because each of T⁺(n, F) and T⁻(n, F) are.

This is a special case of the general fact that: **The intersection of any collection of subgroups is itself a subgroup.**

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33} & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

Within D(n, F) we have the non-zero scalar matrices S(n, F). These are simply the diagonal matrices that have the same non-zero entry down the diagonal, that is, non-zero scalar multiples of the identity matrix.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda \end{bmatrix} = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \lambda I_n, \ \lambda \neq 0$$

Another interesting subgroup of $T^+(n, F)$ is the group of **uni-upper-triangular matrices UT**⁺(*n*, *F*). These are the upper-triangular matrices with 1's down the diagonal:

And inside T(n, F) we have the **uni-lower-triangular matrices UT** (*n*, *F*).

$$egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 \ a_{12} & 1 & 0 & ... & 0 \ a_{13} & a_{23} & 1 & ... & 0 \ ... & ... & ... & ... & ... \ a_{1n} & a_{2n} & a_{3n} & ... & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can summarize the connections between these subgroups in a "lattice diagram":



Another very important subgroup of GL(n, F) is SL(n, F) consisting all the matrices with determinant 1. It's called **the** linear group of n over F.


Topic No. 28

The Two Step Subgroup Test

The Two Step Subgroup Test

Theorem

A subset H of a group G is a subgroup of G if and only if

- 1. H is closed under the binary operation * of G,
- 2. for all $a \in H$ it is true that $a^{-1} \in H$ also.

The Two Step Subgroup Test

Proof

The fact that if H is subgroup of G then conditions 1 and 2 must hold follows at once from the definition of a subgroup.

Conversely, suppose H is a subset of a group G such that conditions 1 and 2 hold.

By 1 we have at once that closure property is satisfied. The inverse law is satisfied by 2. Therefore, for every $a \in H$ there exists $a^{-1} \in H$ such that $e=a*a^{-1} \in H$ by 1. So, e*a=a*e=a by 1.

It remains to check the associative axiom. But surely for all $a, b, c \in$ H it is true that (ab)c = a(bc)in H, for we may actually view this as an equation in G, where the associative law holds.



Examples on Subgroup Test

Recall

Let **G** be a group and **H** a nonempty subset of **G**. If a * b is in **H** whenever *a* and *b* are in **H**, and a^{-1} is in **H** whenever *a* is in **H**, then **H** is a subgroup of **G**.

To Apply the Two Step Subgroup Test:

- Note that H is nonempty
- Show that H is closed with respect to the group operation
- Show that H is closed with respect to inverses.
- Conclude that H is a subgroup of G.

Example

Show that $\mathbf{3Q}^*$ is a subgroup of \mathbf{Q}^* , the non-zero rational numbers.

3Q^{*} is non-empty because 3 is an element of **3Q**^{*}. For a, b in **3Q**^{*}, a=3i and b=3j where i, j are in **Q**^{*}. Then *ab*=3*i*3*j*=3(3*ij*), an element of **3Q**^{*} (closed) For *a* in **3Q**^{*}, *a*=3*i* for *i* an element in **Q**^{*}. Then a⁻¹=(i⁻¹3⁻¹), an element of **3Q**^{*}. (inverses) Therefore **3Q**^{*} is a subgroup of **Q**^{*}.



The One Step Subgroup Test

The one Step Subgroup Test

Theorem If S is a subset of the group G, then S is a subgroup of G if and only if S is nonempty and whenever $a, b \in S$, then $ab^{-1} \in S$.

The one Step Subgroup Test

Proof

If S is a subgroup, then of course S is nonempty and whenever a, $b \in S$, then $ab^{-1} \in S$.

- Conversely suppose S is a nonempty subset of the Group G such that whenever a, $b \in S$, then $ab^{-1} \in S$. Let $a \in S$, then $e = aa^{-1} \in S$ and so $a^{-1} = ea^{-1} \in S$.
- Finally, if a, $b \in S$, then $b^{-1} \in S$ by the above and so $ab = a(b^{-1})^{-1} \in S$.



Examples on Subgroup Test

Recall

Suppose **G** is a group and **H** is a non-empty subset of **G**. If, whenever a and b are in **H**, ab⁻¹ is also in **H**, then **H** is a subgroup of **G**.

Or, in additive notation: If, whenever a and b are in H, a - b is also in H, then H is a subgroup of G.

To apply this test:Note that H is a non-empty subset

- of **G**.
- Show that for any two elements
 a and b in H, ab⁻¹ is

also in H.Conclude that H is a subgroup of G.

Example

Show that the even integers are a subgroup of the Integers.

Note that the even integers is not an empty set because 2 is an even integer.

Let a and b be even integers.

Then a = 2j and b = 2k for some integers j and k.

a + (-b) = 2j + 2(-k) = 2(j-k) = an even integer

Thus a - b is an even integer

Thus the even integers are a subgroup of the integers.

Example For a, b in $3Q^*$, a=3i and b=3j where i, j are in Q^* Then $ab^{-1}=3i(3j)^{-1}=3i(j^{-1}3^{-1})=3(ij^{-1}3^{-1})$, an element of $3Q^*$



The Finite Subgroup Test

The finite Subgroup Test

Theorem If S is a subset of the finite group G, then S is a subgroup of G if and only if S is nonempty and whenever $a, b \in S$, then $ab \in S$.

The finite Subgroup Test

Proof

If S is a subgroup then obviously S is nonempty and whenever a, b \in S, then ab \in S. Conversely suppose S is nonempty and whenever a, b \in S, then ab \in S. Then let a \in S. The above property says that $a^2=aa\in$ S and so $a^3=aa^2\in$ S and so $a^4=aa^3\in$ S and so on and on and on.

The finite Subgroup Test

That is $a^n \in S$ for all integers n > 0. But G is finite and thus so is S. Consequently the sequence, a, a², a³, a⁴,...,aⁿ,... cannot continue to produce new elements. That is there must exist m<n such that $a^{m}=a^{n}$. Thus $e = a^{n-m} \in S$.

Therefore for all $a \in S$, there is a smallest integer k > 0such that $a^{k} = e$. Moreover, $a^{-1} = a^{k-1} \in S$. Finally if a, $b \in S$, then $b^{-1} \in S$ by the above and so by the assume property we have $a b^{-1} \in S$. Therefore S is a subgroup as desired.



Examples on Subgroup Test

Example

- ({1,-1, i,-i}, ·)
- •{1,i}
- {1,-i}
- {1,-1}
- **{**1,-1,i**}**
- {1,-1,-i}

Example

- ({[0], [1], [2], [3], [4], [5]}, +₆)
- {[0], [1]} or {[0], [4]} or {[0], [5]} or {[0], [2]}
- **[**[0], [3]
- {[0], [2], [4]}
- {[0], [2], [3], [4]}

....

Cyclic Groups





Definition Let G be a group and let $a \in G$. Then the subgroup $H=\{a^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of G is called the cyclic subgroup of G generated by a, and denoted by $\langle a \rangle$.

Definition

- An element a of a group G generates G and is a generator for G if (a)=G.
- A group G is cyclic if there is some element a in G that generates G.

- Let a be an element of a group G.
- If the cyclic subgroup (a) is finite, then the order of a is the order | (a) | of this cyclic subgroup.
- Otherwise, we say that a is of infinite order.

Example

- For each positive integer n, let U_n be the multiplicative group of the nth roots of unity in \mathbb{C} .
- These elements of U_n can be represented geometrically by equally spaced points on a circle about the origin.

•
$$U_n = \left\langle \omega \mid \omega = \cos \frac{2\pi}{n} + i \sin \frac{2\pi}{n} \right\rangle < U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$$




Examples of Cyclic Groups

Examples of Cyclic Groups

Cyclic groups may be finite:

- $\ln \mathbb{Z}_4, \langle \overline{1} \rangle = \{\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \overline{3}, \overline{0}\} = \mathbb{Z}_4 = \langle \overline{3} \rangle \neq \langle \overline{2} \rangle$
- \mathbb{Z}_4 is cyclic.
- $\ln \mathbb{Z}_5, \langle \overline{1} \rangle = \{\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \overline{3}, \overline{4}, \overline{0}\} = \mathbb{Z}_5 = \langle \overline{2} \rangle = \langle \overline{3} \rangle = \langle \overline{4} \rangle$
- \mathbb{Z}_5 is cyclic.
- $\ln \mathbb{Z}_6, \langle \overline{1} \rangle = \{\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \overline{3}, \overline{4}, \overline{5}, \overline{0}\} = \mathbb{Z}_6 = \langle \overline{5} \rangle$
- \mathbb{Z}_6 is cyclic.
- $\ln \mathbb{Z}_n, \langle \overline{1} \rangle = \{\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \dots, \overline{n-1}, \overline{0}\} = \mathbb{Z}_n = \langle \overline{m} \rangle$ if g. c. d(m, n) = 1 for $m = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

Examples of Cyclic Groups

Cyclic groups may be infinite:

• In
$$\mathbb{Z}$$
, $\langle 1 \rangle = \{ ..., -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, ... \} = \mathbb{Z} = \langle -1 \rangle$

- ..., -2(1) = -2, -1(1) = -1, 0(1) = 0, 1(1) = 1, 2(1) = 2, ...
- ..., -2(-1) = 2, -1(-1) = 1, 0(-1) = 0, 1(-1) = -1, 2(-1) = -2, ...
- In \mathbb{Z} , $\langle 2 \rangle = \{ \dots, -4, -2, 0, 2, 4, \dots \} = 2\mathbb{Z} = \langle -2 \rangle$
- In \mathbb{Z} , $\langle n \rangle = \{\dots, -2n, -n, 0, n, 2n, \dots\} = n\mathbb{Z} = \langle -n \rangle$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

Examples of Cyclic Groups

Cyclic groups may be infinite:

•
$$\ln \mathbb{Q} - \{0\}, \langle 2 \rangle = \left\{ \dots, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, 1, 2, 4, 8, \dots \right\} = \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle$$

• $\ln \mathbb{Q} - \{0\}, \langle r \rangle = \left\{ \dots, \frac{1}{r^3}, \frac{1}{r^2}, \frac{1}{r}, 1, r, r^2, r^3, \dots \right\} = \left\langle \frac{1}{r} \right\rangle$

for $r \in \mathbb{Q}$. • In $GL(2, \mathbb{R}), \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} : n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$





Elementary Properties of Cyclic Groups

Theorem

Every cyclic group is abelian.

Proof

Let G be a cyclic group and let a be a generator of G so that

$$G = \langle a \rangle = \{a^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

If g_1 and g_2 are any two elements of G, there exists integers r and s such that $g_1 = a^r$ and $g_2 = a^s$.

Then

$$g_1g_2 = a^r a^s = a^{r+s} = a^{s+r} = a^s a^r = g_2g_1$$
.

So, G is abelian.

- *U*_n
- \mathbb{Z}_n
- $n\mathbb{Z}$

In
$$\mathbb{Q} - \{0\}, \langle r \rangle = \{\dots, \frac{1}{r^3}, \frac{1}{r^2}, \frac{1}{r}, 1, r, r^2, r^3, \dots\} = \langle \frac{1}{r} \}$$
for $r \in \mathbb{Q}$.
In $GL(2, \mathbb{R}) / \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & n \\ n \end{bmatrix} : n \in \mathbb{Z} \}$

• In $GL(2, \mathbb{R}), \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$

$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Elementary Properties of Cyclic Groups



Elementary Properties of Cyclic Groups

Definition: G is cyclic if $G = \langle a \rangle$ for some a in G. **Theorem**

If
$$|a| = \infty$$
, $a^i = a^j$ iff $i = j$

•If |a| = n, $a^{i} = a^{j}$ iff n | i - j

■<a> = { $a, a^2, ... a^{n-1}, e$ }

Corollary 1: $|a| = |\langle a \rangle|$

Corollary 2: $a^{k} = e$ implies |a| | k

Example: $U_5 = < \omega | \omega^5 = 1 > = < \omega^2 > = < \omega^3 > = < \omega^4 >, \omega = e^{i(2\pi/5)}$

 $\omega^2 \neq \omega^4$ 5?4 - 2 ; $\omega^5 = \omega^{10}$ 5 10 - 5

Example

$$U_{6} = \langle \omega | \omega^{6} = 1 \rangle = \{ \omega, \omega^{2}, \omega^{3}, \omega^{4}, \omega^{5}, 1 \} \text{ with } \omega = e^{i(2\pi/6)^{6}}$$
$$(\omega^{5})^{2} = \omega^{10} = \omega^{6} \omega^{4} = \omega^{4}$$
$$(\omega^{5})^{3} = \omega^{15} = (\omega^{6})^{2} \omega^{3} = \omega^{3}$$
$$(\omega^{5})^{4} = \omega^{20} = (\omega^{6})^{3} \omega^{2} = \omega^{2}$$
$$(\omega^{5})^{5} = \omega^{25} = (\omega^{6})^{4} \omega = \omega$$
$$(\omega^{5})^{6} = \omega^{30} = (\omega^{6})^{5} = 1$$
$$U_{6} = \langle \omega^{5} \rangle = \{ \omega^{5}, \omega^{4}, \omega^{3}, \omega^{2}, \omega, 1 \}$$

Example

 $U_{6} = < \omega | \omega^{6} = 1 > = \{\omega, \omega^{2}, \omega^{3}, \omega^{4}, \omega^{5}, 1\} \text{ with } \omega = e^{i(2\pi/6)}$ $< \omega^{2} > = \{\omega^{2}, \omega^{4}, 1\} < U_{6}$ $< \omega^{4} > = \{\omega^{4}, \omega^{2}, 1\} = < \omega^{2} >$

Elementary Properties of Cyclic Groups

Theorem 1 If |a| = n, then

 $\triangleleft < a^k > = < a^{gcd(n,k)} >$

 $|a^{k}| = n/gcd(n,k)$

To prove the $|a^k| = n/gcd(n,k)$, we begin with a little lemma.

Prove: If d | n = |a|, then $|a^d| = n/d$. **Proof**: Let n = dq. Then $e = a^n = (a^d)^q$.

> So $|a^d| \le q$. If 0 < i < q, then 0 < di < dq = n = |a|so $(a^d)^i \ne e$ Hence, $|a^d| = q$ which is n/d as required.

Now, we prove that $|a^k| = n/gcd(n,k)$. Let d = gcd(n,k). Then, we have $|a^k| = |\langle a^k \rangle|$ by Corollary 1 $= |\langle a^d \rangle|$ by Part 1 of Theorem 1 $= |a^d|$ by Corollary 1 = n/d by above Lemma.

This concludes the proof.

Example

- Suppose G = $\langle a \rangle$ with |a| = 30. Find $|a^{21}|$ and $\langle a^{21} \rangle$.
- ■By Theorem 1, |*a*²¹| = 30/gcd(30,21) = 10
- Also $< a^{21} > = < a^{3} >$

= { a^3 , a^6 , a^9 , a^{12} , a^{15} , a^{18} , a^{21} , a^{24} , a^{27} , e}

Elementary Properties of Cyclic Groups

Theorem 1

If |a| = n, then $\langle a^k \rangle = \langle a^{gcd(n,k)} \rangle$ and $|a^k| = n/gcd(n,k)$.

Corollaries to Theorem 1

1.In a finite cyclic group, the order of an element divides the order of the group.

2.Let |a| = n in any group. Then

a)
$$\langle a^i \rangle = \langle a^j \rangle$$
 iff gcd(n,i) = gcd(n,j)

b) $|a^{i}| = |a^{j}|$ iff gcd(n,i) = gcd(n,j)

Corollaries to Theorem 1

3. Let |a| = n.

Then $\langle a^i \rangle = a^j$ iff gcd(n,i) = gcd(n,j)

4. An integer k in \mathbb{Z}_n is a generator of \mathbb{Z}_n iff gcd(n,k)

=1

Example

Find all the generators of $U(50) = \langle 3 \rangle$.

- 37,39,41,43,47,49} |U(50)| = 20

The numbers relatively prime to 20 are 1, 3, 7, 9, 11, 13, 17, 19

The generators of U(50) are therefore

 3^1 , 3^3 , 3^7 , 3^9 , 3^{11} , 3^{13} , 3^{17} , 3^{19}

i.e. 3, 27, 37, 33, 47, 23, 13, 17

FundamentalTheorem of CyclicGroups

Fundamental Theorem of Cyclic Groups

Fundamental Theorem of Cyclic Groups

- a)Every subgroup of a cyclic group is cyclic.
- b)If |a| = n, then the order of any subgroup of $\langle a \rangle$ is a divisor of n
- c)For each positive divisor k of n, the group $\langle a \rangle$ has exactly one subgroup of order k, namely $\langle a^{n/k} \rangle$

Fundamental Theorem of Cyclic Groups

Subgroups are cyclic

Proof: Let G = $\langle a \rangle$ and suppose H \leq G. If H is trivial, then H is cyclic.

Suppose H is not trivial.

Let m be the smallest positive integer with a^{m} in H.

(Does m exist?)

By closure, $\langle a^m \rangle$ is contained in H. We claim that H = $\langle a^m \rangle$. To see this, choose any b = a^k in H. There exist integers q,r with $0 \le r < m$ such that $a^k = a^{qm+r}$ (Why?)

Fundamental Theorem of Cyclic Groups

Since $b = a^{k} = a^{qm}a^{r}$, we have $a^{r} = (a^{m})^{-q} b$ Since b and a^{m} are in H, so is a^{r} . But r < m (the smallest power of a in H) so r = 0. Hence $b = (a^{m})^{q}$ and b is in H. It follows that $H = \langle a^{m} \rangle$ as required.

|H| is a divisor of |a|

Proof: Given $|\langle a \rangle| = n$ and $H \leq \langle a \rangle$. We showed $H = \langle a^m \rangle$ where m is the smallest positive integer with a^m in H.

Now $e = a^n$ is in H, so as we just showed, n = mq for

some q.

Now $|a^m| = q$ is a divisor of n as required.

Exactly one subgroup for each divisor k of n •(Existence) Given $|\langle a \rangle| = n$. Let k | n. Say n = kq. Note that gcd(n,q) = qSo $|a^q| = n/gcd(n,q) = n/q = k$. Hence there exists a subgroup of order k, namely $\langle a^{n/q} \rangle$

Fundamental Theorem of Cyclic Groups

(Uniqueness) Let H be any subgroup of <a> with order k. We claim H = <a^{n/k}>
From (a), H = <a^m> for some m.
From (b), m | n so gcd(n,m) = m.
So k = |a^m| = n/gcd(n,m) by Theorem 1

= n/m

Hence m = n/k

So H = $\langle a^{n/k} \rangle$ as required.

Subgroups of FiniteCyclic Groups

Theorem

Let G be a cyclic group with n elements and generated by a. Let $b \in G$ and let $b=a^k$. Then b generates a cyclic subgroup H of G containing n/d elements, where d = gcd (n, k).

Also $\langle a^k \rangle = \langle a^s \rangle$ if and only gcd (k, n) = gcd (s, n).

Example

using additive notation, consider in \mathbb{Z}_{12} , with the generator *a*=1.

- 3 = 3 · 1, gcd(3, 12)=3, so < 3 > has 12/3=4 elements.
 < 3 >={0, 3, 6, 9}
- Furthermore, $\langle 3 \rangle = \langle 9 \rangle$ since gcd(3, 12)=gcd(9, 12).

Example

- 8= 8 · 1, gcd (8, 12)=4, so < 8 > has 12/4=3 elements.
 < 8 >={0, 4, 8}
- 5= 5 · 1, gcd (5, 12)=1, so < 5 \rangle has 12 elements. < 5 $\rangle = \mathbb{Z}_{12.}$

Corollary

If *a* is a generator of a finite cyclic group G of order n, then the other generators of G are the elements of the form *a*^r, where r is relatively prime to n.
Subgroups of Finite Cyclic Groups

Example

Find all subgroups of \mathbb{Z}_{18} and give their subgroup diagram.

- All subgroups are cyclic
- By above Corollary is the generator of Z₁₈, so is 5, 7, 11, 13, and 17.
- Starting with 2, < 2 > ={0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16 }is of order 9, and gcd(2, 18)=2=gcd(k, 18) where k is 2, 4, 8, 10, 14, and 16. Thus 2, 4, 8, 10, 14, and 16 are all generators of <2>.

Subgroups of Finite Cyclic Groups

Example

- <3>={0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 15} is of order 6, and gcd(3, 18)=3=gcd(k, 18) where k=15
- <6>={0, 6, 12} is of order 3, so is 12
- <9⟩={0, 9} is of order 2

Subgroups of Finite Cyclic Groups



Group Theory





Theorem on Cyclic Group

Theorem Let G be a cyclic group with generator a. If the order of G is infinite, then G is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$. If G has finite order n, then G is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +_n).$

Theorem on Cyclic Group

Proof

- Case 1
- For all positive integers m, $a^m \neq e$.
- In this case we claim that no two distinct exponents h and k can give equal elements a^h and a^k of G.
- Suppose that $a^h = a^k$ and say h > k.
- Then $a^h a^{-k} = a^{h-k} = e$, contrary to our Case 1 assumption.

Case 1

Hence every element of G can be expressed as a^m for a unique m $\in \mathbb{Z}$.

The map $\phi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $\phi(a^i) = i$ is thus well defined, one to one, and onto \mathbb{Z} .

Case 1 Also, $\phi(a^i a^j) = \phi(a^{i+j})$ =i+j $= \phi(a^i) + \phi(a^j),$ so the homomorphism property is satisfied and ϕ is an isomorphism.

Theorem on Cyclic Group

Case 2

 $a^m = e$ for some positive integer m.

Let n be the smallest positive integer such that

 $a^n = e$.

If $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and s = nq + r for 0 < r < n, then

 $a^{s} = a^{nq+r} = (a^{n})^{q} a^{r} = e^{q} a^{r} = a^{r}$.

As in Case 1, if 0 < k < h < n and

a^h = a^k, then a^{h-k} = e and 0 < h-k < n, contradicting our choice of n.

Case 2 Thus the elements $a^{0}=e, a, a^{2}, a^{3}, \dots, a^{n-1}$ are all distinct and comprise all elements of G. The map $\mathbb{P}: \mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{Z}_n$ given by $\Psi(a^i) = i$ for i = 0, 1, 2, ..., n - 1 is thus well defined, one to one, and onto \mathbb{Z}_{p} .

Theorem on Cyclic Group

Case 2 Because $a^n = e$, we see that $a^i a^j = a^k$ where $k = i +_n j$. Thus $\Psi(a^i a^j) = i +_n j$ $=\Psi(a^{i})+_{n}\Psi(a^{j}),$ so the homomorphism property is satisfied and Ψ is an isomorphism.

Group Theory



Permutation Groups

Definition

A permutation of a set A is a function from A to A that is both one to one and onto.

Array Notation

- Let A = {1, 2, 3, 4}
- Here are two permutations of A:

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\alpha(2) = 3$ $\beta(4) = 3$ $\alpha(4) = 4$ $\beta(1) = 2$ $\beta\alpha(2) = \beta(3) = 4$



$$\beta \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$



$$\beta \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 4 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\beta \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 4 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Definition

A permutation group of a set A is a set of permutations of A that forms a group under function composition.

Example

- The set of all permutations on {1,2,3} is called the symmetric group on three letters, denoted S₃
- There are 6 permutations possible:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ - & - & - \end{pmatrix}$$
$$3 \times 2 \times 1 = 6$$

Group Theory

Examples of Permutation Groups



Is S_3 a group?

- Composition of functions is always associative.
- Identity is ε.
- Since permutations are one to one and onto, there exist inverses (which are also permutations).
- Therefore, S_3 is group.

Computations in S₃ $\alpha^{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = \varepsilon$ $\beta^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = \varepsilon$ $\beta \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \alpha^2 \beta$

Simplified Computations in S₃

- $\begin{aligned} \bullet \alpha \beta \alpha^2 \beta &= \alpha(\beta \alpha) \alpha \beta = \alpha(\alpha^2 \beta) \alpha \beta \\ &= \alpha^3(\beta \alpha) \beta = \varepsilon(\alpha^2 \beta) \beta \\ &= \alpha^2 \beta^2 \\ &= \alpha^2 \end{aligned}$
- **Double the exponent of** α when switching with β .
- We can simplify any expression in S₃!

Group Theory

Examples of Permutation Groups

Symmetric Groups, S_n

- Let A = {1, 2, ... n}. The symmetric group on n letters, denoted S_n, is the group of all permutations of A under composition.
- S_n is a group for the same reasons that S_3 is group.
- $|S_n| = n!$

Symmetries of a Square, D₄ $R_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ $R_{90} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix} V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^3$ $R_{180} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ $R_{270} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} D' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$ $D_4 \leq S_4$

Why do we care?

- Every group turns out to be a permutation group on some set!
 - (To be proved later).

Group Theory



Permutation Groups

Definition

Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a function and let H be a subset of A. The **image** of H **under** f is {f (h) I h \in H} and is denoted by f[H].

Lemma

Let G and G' be groups and let $\phi : G \rightarrow G'$ be a one-to-one function such that $\phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y)$ for all x, y \in G.

Then ϕ [G] is a subgroup of G' and ϕ provides an isomorphism of G with ϕ [G].

Proof

Let x', y' $\in \phi[G]$. Then there exist x, y $\in G$ such that $\phi(x) = x'$ and $\phi(y) = y'$.

By hypothesis, $\phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y) = x'y'$, showing that x'y' $\in \phi[G]$.

We have shown that $\phi[G]$ is closed under the operation of G'.

Let e' be the identity of G'. Then $e'\phi(e) = \phi(e)$ $= \phi(ee)$ $= \phi(e)\phi(e)$. Cancellation in G' shows that e' = $\phi(e)$ so e' $\in \phi[G]$.

For $x' \in \phi[G]$ where x' = $\phi(x)$, we have $e'=\phi(e)$ $= \phi(xx^{-1})$ $= \phi(x) \phi(x^{-1})$ $= x' \varphi(x^{-1})$ which shows that $x'^{-1} = \varphi(x^{-1}) \in \varphi[G].$ Therefore, $\phi[G] < G'$.
Note that ϕ provides an isomorphism of G with $\phi[G]$ follows at once because ϕ provides a oneto-one map of G onto $\phi[G]$ such that $\phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y)$ for all x, y \in G.

Cayley's Theorem

Cayley's Theorem

Theorem

Every group is isomorphic to a group of permutations.

Cayley's Theorem

Proof Let G be a group. We show that G is isomorphic to a subgroup of S_c. We Need only to define a one-to-one function $\varphi: G \rightarrow S_G$ such that $\phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y)$ for all $x, y \in G$.

For $x \in G$, let $\lambda_x : G \to G$ be defined by $\lambda_x (g) = xg$ for all $g \in G$. (We think of λ_x as performing left multiplication by x.)

The equation $\lambda_x(x^{-1}c) = x(x^{-1}c) = c$ for all $c \in G$ shows that λ_x maps G onto G. If $\lambda_x(a) = \lambda_x(b)$, then xa = xb so a= b by cancellation. Thus λ_x is also one to one, and is a permutation of G.

- We now define $\phi: G \to S_G$ by defining $\phi(x) = \lambda_x$ for all $x \in G$.
- To show that ϕ is one to one, suppose that $\phi(x) = \phi(y)$.
- Then $\lambda_x = \lambda_y$ as functions mapping G into G. In particular $\lambda_x(e) = \lambda_y(e)$, so xe = ye and x = y. Thus ϕ is one to one.

It only remains to show that $\phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y)$, that is, $\lambda_{xy} = \lambda_x \lambda_y$. Now for any $g \in G$, we have $\lambda_{xy}(g) = (xy)g$. Permutation multiplication is function composition, so $(\lambda_x \lambda_y)(g) = \lambda_x(\lambda_y(g)) = \lambda_x(yg) = x(yg)$.

Thus by associativity, $\lambda_{xy} = \lambda_x \lambda_y$.

Examples of Permutation Groups

There is a natural correspondence between the elements of S_3 and the ways in which two copies of an equilateral triangle with vertices 1, 2, and 3 can be placed, one covering the other with vertices on top of vertices.

For this reason, S_3 is also the group D_3 of symmetries of an equilateral triangle. We used , for rotations and μ ; for mirror images in bisectors of angles. The notation D_3 stands for the third dihedral group.

The **nth dihedral group** D_n is the group of symmetries of the regular n-gon.



$(1 \ 2 \ 3)$								
$ \mu_0 = 1 2 3 $								
$\rho_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$		ρ	ρ	ρ ₂	μ_1	μ_2	$\mu_{_3}$	<
$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$	ρ	ρ	ρ	ρ ₂	μ_1	μ_2	μ_3	
$\rho_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & - & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$	ρ	ρ	ρ ₂	ρ	μ_{3}	μ_1	μ_2	
$u_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$	ρ ₂	ρ ₂	ρ	ρ_1	μ_2	μ_3	μ_1	
$ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} $	μ ₁	μ_1	μ_2	μ_3	ρ	ρ	ρ	
$\mu_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	μ ₂	μ_2	μ_{3}	μ_1	ρ	ρ	ρ	
$(1 \ 2 \ 3)$	μ ₃	μ_3	μ_1	μ_2	ρ	ρ ₂	ρ	
$\mu_3 = (2 \ 1 \ 3)$								

Examples of Permutation Groups

Recall

We form the dihedral group D_4 of permutations corresponding to the ways that two copies of a square with vertices 1, 2, 3, and 4 can be placed, one covering the other with vertices on top of vertices.

D₄ is the group of symmetries of the square.It is also called the octic group.

Symmetries of a Square, D₄ $\rho_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mu_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ $\rho_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mu_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^3$ $\rho_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \delta_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \overset{}_{4}$ $\rho_{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \delta_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \quad D_{4} \leq S_{4}$





Definition

An orbit of a permutation p is an equivalence class under the relation: $a \sim b \Leftrightarrow b = p^n(a)$, for some n in \mathbb{Z} .

Find all orbits of
$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 5 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Method:

Let S be the set that the permutation works on.

0) Start with an empty list

1) If possible, pick an element of the S not already visited and apply permutation repeatedly to get an orbit.

2) Repeat step 1 until all elements of S have been visited.

Look at what happens to elements as a permutation is applied.

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 5 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\alpha(1)=2, \alpha^2(1)=3, \alpha^3(1)=1 \{1,2,3\}$

 $\alpha(4)=5, \alpha^2(4)=4$

{4,5}



Theorem Let p be a permutation of a set S. The following relation is an equivalence relation: $a \sim b \Leftrightarrow b = p^n(a),$ for some n in Z.

Proof 1) reflexive: $a = p^{o}(a) \Rightarrow a \sim a$ 2) symmetric: $a \sim b \Rightarrow b = p^{n}(a)$, for some n in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow a = p⁻ⁿ(b), with -n in \mathbb{Z} ⇒ b~a

3) transitive: a~b and b~c \Rightarrow b = (a) and c = (b), for some n₁ and n₂ in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow c = ((a)), for some n₁ and n₂ in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow c = (a), with n₂ + n₁ in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow a~c



Cycles

Definition

A permutation is a cycle if at most one of its orbits is nontrivial (has more than one element).

Cycles

Definition A cycle of length 2 is called a transposition.

Cycles

Example

 $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 5 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$

=(1, 2, 3)(4, 5) =(1,3)(1,2)(4,5)

Cycles

Composition in cycle notation $\alpha\beta = (1 \ 2 \ 3)(1 \ 2)(3 \ 4)$ = (1 3 4)(2)= (1 3 4) $\beta \alpha = (1 \ 2)(3 \ 4)(1 \ 2 \ 3)$ = (1)(2 4 3)= (2 4 3)

Disjoint Cycles

Disjoint Cycles

Definition

Two permutations are disjoint if the sets of elements moved by the permutations are disjoint. Symmetries of a Square, $D_4 \le S_4$

$$\rho_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} = (1 \ 2)(1 \ 2)$$

$$\rho_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4) = (1 \ 4)(1 \ 3)(1 \ 2)$$

$$\rho_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = (1 \ 3)(2 \ 4)$$

$$\rho_{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = (1 \ 4 \ 3 \ 2) = (1 \ 2)(1 \ 3)(1 \ 4)$$

Symmetries of a Square, $D_4 \le S_4$

$$\mu_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = (1 \ 2)(3 \ 4)$$
$$\mu_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (1 \ 4)(2 \ 3)$$
$$\delta_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = (2 \ 4)$$
$$\delta_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix} = (1 \ 3)$$

Cycle Decomposition

Cycle Decomposition

Theorem:

Every permutation of a finite set is a product of disjoint cycles.

Cycle Decomposition

Proof: Let σ be a permutation. Let B₁, B₂, ..., B_r be the orbits. Let μ_i be the cycle defined by $\mu_i(x) = \sigma(x)$ if x in B_i and x otherwise. Then $\sigma = \mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_r$. Note: Disjoint cycles

Cycle Decomposition

Lemma

Every cycle is a product of transpositions.

Proof

Let $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$ be a cycle, then $(a_1, a_n) (a_1, a_{n-1}) ... (a_1, a_2)$ = $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$.
Cycle Decomposition

Theorem

Every permutation can be written as a product of transpositions.

Proof

Use the lemma plus the previous theorem.

Group Theory

Parity of Permutation

Parity of a Permutation

Definition

The parity of a permutation is said to be even if it can be expressed as the product of an even number of transpositions, and odd if it can be expressed as a product of an odd number of transpositions.

Parity of a Permutation

Theorem The parity of a permutation is even or odd, but not both.

Parity of a Permutation

Proof

We show that for any positive integer n, parity is a homomorphism from S_n to the group \mathbb{Z}_2 , where 0 represents even, and 1 represents odd. These are alternate names for the equivalence classes $2\mathbb{Z}$ and $2\mathbb{Z}+1$ that make up the group \mathbb{Z}_2 . There are several ways to define the parity map. They tend to use the group {1, -1} with multiplicative notation instead of {0, 1} with additive notation.

One way uses linear algebra: For the permutation π define a map from Rⁿ to Rⁿ by switching coordinates as follows

$$L_{\pi}(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n) = (X_{\pi(1)}, X_{\pi(2)}, ..., X_{\pi(n)}).$$

Then L_{π} is represented by a n x n matrix M_{π} whose rows are the corresponding permutation of the rows of the n x n identity matrix.

The map that takes the permutation π to Det (M_{π}) is a homomorphism from S_n to the multiplicative group Another way uses the action of the permutation on the polynomial

 $P(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = Product\{(x_i - x_j) | i < j \}.$

Each permutation changes the sign of P or leaves it alone.

This determines the parity: change sign = odd parity,

leave sign = even parity.

Group Theory

Alternating Group

Definition

The alternating group on n letters consists of the even permutations in the symmetric group of n letters.

Definition

The alternating group on n letters consists of the even permutations in the symmetric group of n letters.

Theorem If $n \ge 2$, then the collection of all even permutations of {1, 2, ..., n} forms a subgroup of order n!/2 of the symmetric group S_n.

3)

$$\rho_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = (12)(12)$$

$$\rho_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (123) = (13)(12)$$

$$\rho_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = (132) = (12)(13)$$

$$\mu_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = (23)$$

$$\mu_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (13)$$

$$\mu_{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = (12)$$

$A_3 = \{(1), (1 2 3), (1 3 2)\}$

	(1)	(1 2 3)	(1 3 2)
(1)	(1)	(1 2 3)	(132)
(1 2 3)	(1 2 3)	(1 3 2)	(1)
(1 3 2)	(1 3 2)	(1)	(1 2 3)

Group Theory



Direct Products

Definition The Cartesian product of

n. The Cartesian product is denoted by either Let G₁, …, G_n be groups, and let us use multiplicative notation for all the group operations. Regarding the G as sets, we can form $\prod_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}$. Let us show that we can make $\prod_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}$ into a group by means of a binary operation of multiplication by components.

Theorem

Let $G_1, ..., G_n$ be groups. For $(a_1, ..., a_n)$ and $(b_1, ..., b_n)$ in $\prod_{i=1}^n G_i$, define $(a_1, ..., a_n)(b_1, ..., b_n)$ to be the element $(a_1, b_1, ..., a_n, b_n)$.

Then $\prod_{i=1}^{n} G_i$ is a group, the direct product of the groups G_i , under this binary operation.

Proof

Note that since $a_i, b_i \in G$, and G_i is a group, we have $a_i b_i \in G$.

Thus the definition of the binary operation on $\prod_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}$ given in the statement of the theorem makes sense, that is, $\prod_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}$ is closed under the binary operation.

The associate law in $\prod_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}$ is thrown back onto the associative law in each component as follows: $(a_1, \dots, a_n)[(b_1, \dots, b_n)(c_1, \dots, c_n)]$ = $(a_1, \dots, a_n)(b_1c_1, \dots, b_nc_n) = (a_1(b_1c_1), \dots, a_n(b_nc_n))$ = $((a_1b_1)c_1, \dots, (a_nb_n)c_n) = (a_1b_1, \dots, a_nb_n)(c_1, \dots, c_n)$ =[$(a_1,...,a_n)(b_1,...,b_n)$] $(c_1,...,c_n)$

If e_i is the identity element in G_i , then clearly, with multiplication by components, (e_1, \dots, e_n) an identity in $\prod_{i=1}^{n} G_i$. Finally, an inverse of (a_1, \dots, a_n) is $(a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_n^{-1})$; compute the product by components. Hence $\prod_{i=1}^{n} G_i$ is a group.

Group Theory

Direct Products

In the event that the operation of each G_i is commutative, we sometimes use additive notation in $\prod_{i=1}^{n} G_i$, and refer to $\prod_{i=1}^{n} G_i$ as the direct sum of the groups G_i. The notation $\bigoplus_{i=1} G_i$ is sometimes used in this case in place of $\prod_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}$, especially with abelian groups with operation +. The direct sum of abelian groups G_1 , G_2, \dots, G_n may be written as $G_1 \bigoplus \dots \bigoplus G_n$.

Proposition A direct product of abelian groups is abelian.

Proof

Let G₁, ..., G_n be abelian groups. For $(a_1, ..., a_n)$ and (b_1, \dots, b_n) in $\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{G}_{i},$ $(a_1, ..., a_n)(b_1, ..., b_n)$ $=(a_1 b_1, ..., a_n b_n)$ $=(b_1a_1,...,b_na_n)$ $=(b_1,...,b_n)(a_1,...,a_n).$

If the S_i has r_i elements for $i = 1, \dots, n$, then $\prod_{i=1}^{n} S_i$ has r₁...r_n elements, for in an n-tuple, there are r₁ choices for the first component from S₁, and for each of these there are r₂ choices for the next component from S₂, and so on.

Group Theory

Direct Products

Example

Consider the group $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$, which has 2·3=6 elements, namely (0, 0), (0, 1), (0, 2), (I, 0), (1, 1), and (1, 2). We claim that $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ is cyclic. It is only necessary to find a generator. Let us try (1, 1). Here the operations in \mathbb{Z}_2 and \mathbb{Z}_3 are written additively, so we do the same in the direct product $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$.

•
$$1(1, 1) = (1, 1)$$

•
$$2(1. 1) = (I, I) + (1, 1) = (0, 2)$$

- 3(1, 1) = (1, 1) + (1, 1) + (1, 1) = (1, 0)
- 4(1, 1) = 3(1, 1) + (1, 1) = (1, 0) + (1, 1) = (0, 1)
- 5(1, 1) = 4(1, 1) + (1, 1) = (0, 1) + (1, 1) = (1, 2)
- 6(1, 1) = 5(1, 1) + (1, 1) = (1, 2) + (1, 1) = (0, 0)

Thus (1, 1) generates all of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$. Since there is, up to isomorphism, only one cyclic group structure of a given order, we see that $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_6 .

Example

Consider $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$. This is a group of nine elements. We claim that $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ is *not* cyclic.

Since the addition is by components, and since in \mathbb{Z}_3 every element added to itself three times gives the identity, the same is true in $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$. Thus no element can generate the group, for a generator added to itself successively could only give the identity after nine summands. We have found another group structure of order 9. A similar argument shows that $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ is not cyclic. Thus $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ \mathbb{Z}_2 , must be isomorphic to the Klein 4-group.

Group Theory

Direct Products

Theorem

The group $\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ is cyclic and is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_{mn} if and only if *m* and *n* are relatively prime, that is, the gcd of *m* and *n* is 1.

Proof

Consider the cyclic subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ generated by (1,1). The order of this cyclic subgroup is the smallest power of (1,1) that gives the identity (0,0). Here taking a power of (1,1) in our additive notation will involve adding (1,1) to itself repeatedly. Under addition by components, the first component $1 \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ yields 0 only after m summands, 2m summands, and so on, and the second component $1 \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ yields 0 only after n summands, 2n summands, and so on.

For them to yield 0 simultaneously, the number of summands must be a multiple of both m and n. The smallest number that is a multiple of both m and n will be mn if and only if the gcd of m and n is 1; in this case, (1,1) generates a cyclic subgroup of order mn, which is the order of the whole group. This shows that $\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ is cyclic of order mn, and hence isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_{mn} if m and n are relatively prime.

For the converse, suppose that the gcd of m and n is d > 1. The mn/d is divisible by both m and n. Consequently, for any (r, s) in $\mathbb{Z}_m x \mathbb{Z}_n$, we have $(r,s) + \dots + (r,s) = (0,0)$.

mn/d summands

Hence no element (r, s) in $\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ can generate the entire group, so $\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ is not cyclic and therefore not isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_{mn} .

Corollary

The group $\prod_{i=1}^{n}$ is cyclic and isomorphic to if and only if the numbers m_i for i = 1,..., n are such that the gcd of any two of them is 1.

Example

If *n* is written as a product of powers of distinct prime numbers, as in n=... then \mathbb{Z}_n is isomorphic to $x \dots x_n$ In particular, \mathbb{Z}_{72} is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_9$.
Direct Products

We remark that changing the order of the factors in a direct product yields a group isomorphic to the original one. The names of elements have simply been changed via a permutation of the components in the ntuples.

It is straightforward to prove that the subset of \mathbb{Z} consisting of all integers that are multiples of both r and s is a subgroup of \mathbb{Z} , and hence is cyclic group generated by the least common multiple of two positive integers r and s. Likewise, the set of all common multiples of n positive integers r_1, \dots, r_n is a subgroup of \mathbb{Z} , and hence is cyclic group generated by the least common multiple of n positive integers r_1, \dots, r_n .

Definition

Let r_1, \dots, r_n be positive integers. Their least common multiple (abbreviated lcm) is the positive generator of the cyclic group of all common multiples of the r_i , that is, the cyclic group of all integers divisible by each r_i , for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Theorem

Let $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \prod_{i=1}^n G_i$. If a_i is of finite order r_i in G_i , then the order of (a_1, \dots, a_n) in $\prod_{i=1}^n G_i$ is equal to the least common multiple of all the r_i .

Proof

This follows by a repetition of the argument used in the proof of previous Theorem. For a power of (a_1, \dots, a_n) to give (e_1, \dots, e_n) , the power must simultaneously be a multiple of r_1 so that this power of the first component a_1 will yield e_1 , a multiple of r_2 , so that this power of the second component a_2 will yield e_2 , and so on.

Direct Products

Example

Find the order of (8, 4, 10) in the group $\mathbb{Z}_{12} \times \mathbb{Z}_{60} \times \mathbb{Z}_{24}$.

Solution

Since the gcd of 8 and 12 is 4, we see that 8 is of order 3 in \mathbb{Z}_{12} . Similarly, we find that 4 is of order 15 in \mathbb{Z}_{60} and 10 is of order 12 in \mathbb{Z}_{24} . The lcm of 3, 15, and 12 is $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 4 = 60$, so (8, 4,10) is of order 60 in the group $\mathbb{Z}_{12} \times \mathbb{Z}_{60} \times \mathbb{Z}_{24}$.

Example

The group $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ is generated by the elements (1, 0) and (0, 1). More generally, the direct product of n cyclic groups, each of which is either \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{Z}_m for some positive integer m, is generated by then n-tuples

(1, 0,..., 0), (0, 1,..., 0),...,(0, 0,..., 1). Such a direct product might also be generated by fewer elements. For example, $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_{35}$ is generated by the single element (1, 1, 1).

Fundamental Theorem of Finitely Generated Abelian Groups

Theorem

Every finitely generated abelian group G is isomorphic to a direct product of cyclic groups in the form

$\mathsf{x} \dots \mathsf{x} \mathsf{x} \mathbb{Z} \mathsf{x} \dots \mathsf{x} \mathbb{Z}$

where the p_i are primes, not necessarily distinct, and the r_i are positive integers. The direct product is unique except for possible rearrangement of the factors; that is, the number (Betti number of G) of factors \mathbb{Z} is unique and the prime powers are unique.

Example

Find all abelian groups, up to isomorphism, of order 360. The phrase *up to isomorphism* signifies that any abelian group of order 360 should be structurally identical (isomorphic) to one of the groups of order 360 exhibited.

Solution

Since our groups are to be of the finite order 360, no factors \mathbb{Z} will appear in the direct product shown in the statement of the fundamental theorem of finitely generated abelian groups.

First we express 360 as a product of prime powers 2³.3².5.

Then, we get as possibilities **1.** $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$ **2.** $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$ **3.** $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$ **4.** $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_9 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$ **5.** $\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$ **6.** $\mathbb{Z}_{\circ} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\circ} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\circ}$

Thus there are six different abelian groups (up to isomorphism) of order 360.



Definition

A group G is **decomposable** if it is isomorphic to a direct product of two proper nontrivial subgroups. Otherwise G is **indecomposable**.

Theorem

The finite indecomposable abelian groups are exactly the cyclic groups with order a power of a prime.

Proof

Let G be a finite indecomposable abelian group. Then, G is isomorphic to a direct product of cyclic groups of prime power order. Since G is indecomposable, this direct product must consist of just one cyclic group whose order is a power of a prime number.

Conversely, let p be a prime. Then $\mathbb{Z}_{p'}$ is indecomposable, for if $\mathbb{Z}_{p'}$ were isomorphic to x, where i + j = r, then every element would have an order at most $p^{\max\{i,j\}} < p^r$.



Theorem

If m divides the order of a finite abelian group G, then G has a subgroup of order m.

Proof

We can think of G as being

X ... Xwhere not all primes p_i need be distinct. Since ... is the order of G, then m must be of the form ..., where $0 \le s_i \le r_i$.

generates a cyclic subgroup of of order equal to the quotient of by the gcd of and . But the gcd of and is . Thus generates a cyclic subgroup of order []/[]= .

Recalling that <a> denotes the cyclic subgroup generated by a, we see that

< > x ... x < >

is the required subgroup of order m.



Theorem

If m is a square free integer, that is, m is not divisible by the square of any prime, then every abelian group of order m is cyclic.

Proof

Let G be an abelian group of square free order m. Then, G is isomorphic to

х...х,

where m = Since m is square free, we must have all $r_i = 1$ and all p_i distinct primes. Then, G is isomorphic to , so G is cyclic.



Cosets

Cosets

Definition

Let H be a subgroup of a group G, which may be of finite

or infinite order and *a* in G.

The left coset of H containing a is the set

 $aH = \{ah \mid h \text{ in } H\}$

The right coset of H containing a is the set

 $Ha = \{ha \mid h \text{ in } H\}$

In additive groups, we use *a*+H and H+*a* for left and right cosets, respectively.

Cosets

Example

We exhibit the left cosets and the right cosets of the subgroup $3\mathbb{Z}$ of \mathbb{Z} . $0+3\mathbb{Z}=3\mathbb{Z}=\{..., -6, -3, 0, 3, 6, ...\}$ $1+3\mathbb{Z}=\{..., -5, -2, 1, 4, 7, ...\}$

2+3**ℤ**={..., -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, ... }

 $\mathbb{Z} = 3\mathbb{Z} \sqcup 1 + 3\mathbb{Z} \sqcup 2 + 3\mathbb{Z}$

So, these three left cosets constitute the partition of \mathbb{Z} into left cosets of $3\mathbb{Z}$.

Cosets

Example

 $3\mathbb{Z}+0=3\mathbb{Z}=\{\dots, -6, -3, 0, 3, 6, \dots\}=0+3\mathbb{Z}$ $3\mathbb{Z}+1=\{\dots, -5, -2, 1, 4, 7, \dots\}=1+3\mathbb{Z}$ $3\mathbb{Z}+2=\{\dots, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, \dots\}=2+3\mathbb{Z}$ $\mathbb{Z}=3\mathbb{Z}\sqcup 3\mathbb{Z}+1\sqcup 3\mathbb{Z}+2$ So, the partition of \mathbb{Z} into right cosets is the

same.





Partitions of Groups

Let H be a subgroup of a group G, which may be of finite or infinite order. We exhibit two partitions of G by defining two equivalence relations, \sim_{L} and \sim_{R} on G.

Theorem

Let H be a subgroup of a group G.

- Let the relation \sim_{L} be defined on G by $a \sim_{L}$ b iff $a^{-1}b \in H$.
- Let \sim_{R} be defined by $a \sim_{R} b$ iff $ab^{-1} \in H$.
- Then \sim_{L} and \sim_{R} are both equivalence relations on G.

Proof Reflexive Let $a \in G$. Then $a^{-1}a = e \in H$ since H is a subgroup. Thus $a \sim a$.

Symmetric Suppose $a \sim b$. Then $a^{-1}b \in H$. Since H is a subgroup, $(a^{-1}b)^{-1}=b^{-1}a \in H$. It implies that $b \sim a$.
Partitions of Groups

Transitive Let $a \sim_{l} b$ and $b \sim_{l} c$. Then $a^{-1}b \in H$ and $b^{-1}c \in H$. Since H is a subgroup, $(a^{-1}b)(b^{-1}c)=a^{-1}c \in H$. So, $a \sim_{l} c$.

Partitions of Groups

a is called the coset representative of *a*H.

Similarly, aHa⁻¹ = {aha⁻¹ | h in H}

Topic No. 68

Examples of Cosets

Examples of Cosets

Vectors under addition are a group:

- ■(a,b) + (c,d) = $(a+c,b+d) \in \mathbb{R}^2$
- •Identity is (0,0) $\in \mathbb{R}^2$
- Inverse of (*a*,b) is (-*a*,-b) in \mathbb{R}^2
- ■((a,b)+(c,d))+(e,f)=(a+c,b+d)+(e,f)=((a+c)+e,(b+d) +f)=(a+(c+e),b+(d+f))=(a,b)+(c+e,d+f)=(a,b)+((c,d)+ (e,f))
- $H = \{(2t,t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a subgroup of \mathbb{R}^2 .

Proof: (2*a*,*a*) - (2*b*,*b*) = (2(*a*-*b*),*a*-*b*) ∈ H

Examples of Cosets



Cosets of H={ $(2t,t) | t \in \mathbb{R}$ } (a,b) + H = {(a+2t,b+t)} Set x = a+2t, y = b+t and eliminate t: y = b + (x-a)/2 The subgroup H is the line y = x/2. The cosets are lines parallel to y = x/2 !

Examples of Cosets



Examples of Cosets



Examples of Cosets

Examples of Cosets

Left Cosets of $\langle (23) \rangle$ in S₃ Let H = $\langle (23) \rangle \{ \epsilon, (23) \}$ $\epsilon H = \{ \epsilon, (23) \} = H$ (123)H = $\{ (123), (12) \}$ (132)H = $\{ (132), (13) \}$ S₃ = H $\sqcup (123)H \sqcup (132)H$

Examples of Cosets

Right Cosets of $<(23) > \text{ in S}_{3}$ Let H = $<(23) > \{\epsilon, (23)\}$ H $\epsilon = \{\epsilon, (23)\} = H$ H(123) = $\{(123), (13)\}$ H(132) = $\{(132), (12)\}$ S₃ = H \sqcup H(123) \sqcup H(132) Left Cosets of <(123)> in A₄ Let H = <(123)> { ϵ , (123), (132)} ϵ H = { ϵ , (123), (132)} (12)(34)H = {(12)(34), (243), (143)} (13)(24)H = {(13)(24), (142), (234)} (14)(23)H = {(14)(23), (134), (124)}

Examples of Cosets



Properties of Cosets

Proposition
Let H be a subgroup of G, and a, b in G.
1. a belongs to aH
2. aH = H iff a belongs to

Н

a belongs to aH
 Proof: a = ae belongs to aH.
 aH=H iff a in H
 Proof: (⇒) Given aH = H.
 By (1), a is in aH = H.

 (\Leftarrow) Given *a* belongs to H. Then (i) *a*H is contained in H by closure. (ii) Choose any h in H. Note that a^{-1} is in H since a is. Let $b = a^{-1}h$. Note that b is in H. So $h = (aa^{-1})h = a(a^{-1}h) = ab$ is in aHIt follows that H is contained in aHBy (i) and (ii), aH = H

Properties of Cosets



Properties of Cosets

Proposition

Let H be a subgroup of G, and a,b in G.

- 3. aH = bH iff a belongs to bH
- 4. *a*H and bH are either equal or disjoint
- 5. $aH = bH \text{ iff } a^{-1}b \text{ belongs to } H$

3. aH = bH iff a in bH **Proof:** (\Rightarrow) Suppose aH = bH. Then a = ae in aH = bH. (\Leftarrow) Suppose a is in bH. Then a = bh for some h in H. so aH = (bh)H = b(hH) = bH by (2). 4. *a*H and bH are either disjoint or equal.

Proof: Suppose *a*H and bH are not disjoint. Say x is in the intersection of *a*H and bH.

Then aH = xH = bH by (3).

Consequently, *a*H and bH are either disjoint or equal, as required.

5. $aH = bH \text{ iff } a^{-1}b \text{ in } H$ **Proof:** aH = bH $\Leftrightarrow b \text{ in } aH by (3)$ $\Leftrightarrow b = ah \text{ for some } h \text{ in } H$ $\Leftrightarrow a^{-1}b = h \text{ for some } h \text{ in } H$

Properties of Cosets

Topic No. 72

Properties of Cosets

Proposition Let H be a subgroup of G, and a in G. 6. |aH| = |bH|7. aH = Ha iff $H = aHa^{-1}$ 8. $aH \le G$ iff a belongs to H

6. |*a*H| = |bH| **Proof:** Let \emptyset : $aH \rightarrow bH$ be given by $\phi(ah) = bh$ for all h in H. We claim ø is one to one and onto. If $\phi(ah_1) = \phi(ah_2)$, then $bh_1 = bh_2$ so $h_1 = h_2$. Therefore $ah_1 = ah_2$. Hence ø is one-to-one. ø is clearly onto.

It follows that |aH| = |bH| as required.

```
7. aH = Ha iff H = aHa^{-1}

Proof: aH = Ha

\Leftrightarrow each ah = h'a for some h' in H

\Leftrightarrow aha^{-1} = h' for some h' in H

\Leftrightarrow H = aHa^{-1}.
```

8. $aH \le G$ iff a in H **Proof:** (\Rightarrow) Suppose $aH \le G$. Then e in aH.

But e in eH, so eH and aH are not disjoint. By (4), aH =eH =H.

(⇐) Suppose a in H.

Then $aH = H \leq G$.

Properties of Cosets



Lagrange's Theorem
Lagrange's Theorem

Lagrange's Theorem Statement If G is a finite group and H is a subgroup of G, then | H| divides |G|.

Lagrange's Theorem

Proof

The right cosets of H in G form a partition of G, so G can be written as a disjoint union

 $G = Ha_1 \cup Ha_2 \cup \cdots \cup Ha_k$

for a finite set of elements $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k \in G$. The number of elements in each coset is |H|. Hence, counting all the elements in the disjoint union above, we see that |G| = k|H|. Therefore, |H| divides |G|.

Lagrange's Theorem

Subgroups of \mathbb{Z}_{12} $|\mathbb{Z}_{12}|=12$ The divisors of 12 are 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 and 12. The subgroups of \mathbb{Z}_{12} are $H_1 = \{[0]\}$ $H_2 = \{[0], [6]\}$ $H_3 = \{[0], [4], [8]\}$ $H_4 = \{[0], [3], [6], [9]\}$

Group Theory

Applications of Lagrange's Theorem

Corollary Every group of prime order is cyclic.

Proof

- Let G be of prime order p, and let a be an element of G different from the identity.
- Then the cyclic subgroup <a> of G generated by *a* has at least two elements, a and e.
- But the order m \geq 2 of <a> must divide the prime p.
- Thus we must have m = p and <a>=G, so G is cyclic.

Since every cyclic group of order p is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_p , we see that there is only one group structure, up to isomorphism, of a given prime order p.

Theorem The order of an element of a finite group divides the order of the group.

Proof

Remembering that the order of an element is the same as the order of the cyclic subgroup generated by the element, we see that this theorem follows directly from Lagrange's Theorem.

Group Theory

Indices of Subgroups

Indices of Subgroups

Definition Let H be a subgroup of a group G. The number of left (or right) cosets of H in G is the index (G:H) of H in G.

The index (G:H) just defined may be finite or infinite.

If G is finite, then obviously (G:H) is finite and (G:H)=IGI/IHI, since every coset of H contains IHI elements.

Example $\mu = (1, 2, 4, 5)(3, 6)$ $\mu^2 = (2,5)(1,4)$ $\mu^{3} = (1, 5, 4, 2)(3, 6)$ $\mu^4 = \epsilon$ $<\mu> < S_6$ $(S_6:<\mu>)=|S_6|/|<\mu$ > =6!/4 = 6.5.3.2 = 180.

Indices of Subgroups

Example Find the right cosets of $H = \{e, g^4, g^8\}$ in $C_{12} = \{e, g, g^2, \dots, g^{11}\}.$

Indices of Subgroups

Solution

- $H=\{e, g^4, g^8\}$ itself is one coset.
- Another is $Hg = \{g, g^5, g^9\}$.

These two cosets have not exhausted all the elements of C_{12} , so pick an element, say g^2 , which is not in H or Hg.

A third coset is $Hg^2 = \{g^2, g^6, g^{10}\}$ and a fourth is

 $Hg^3 = \{g^3, g^7, g^{11}\}.$

Since $C_{12} = H \cup Hg \cup Hg^2 \cup Hg^3$, these are all the cosets. Therefore, $(C_{12}:H)=12/3=4$.

Theorem

Suppose H and K are subgroups of a group G such that $K \le H \le G$, and suppose (H:K) and (G:H) are both finite. Then (G:K) is finite, and (G:K)=(G:H)(H:K).

Group Theory

Converse of Lagrange's Theorem

Lagrange's Theorem shows that if there is a subgroup H of a finite group G, then the order of H divides the order of G.

Is the converse true? That is, if G is a group of order n, and m divides n, is there always a subgroup of order m? We will see next that this is true for abelian

groups.

However, A_4 can be shown to have no subgroup of order 6, which gives a counterexample for nonabelian groups.

 $A_{4} = \{(1), (1, 2)(3, 4), \\(1, 3)(2, 4), (1, 4)(2, 3), \\(1, 2, 3), (1, 3, 2), \\(1, 3, 4), (1, 4, 3), \\(1, 2, 4), (1, 4, 2), \\(2, 3, 4), (2, 4, 3)\}$

Group Theory





An Interesting Example

Example

A translation of the plane R^2 in the direction of the vector (a, b) is a function $f: R^2 \rightarrow R^2$ defined by f(x, y) = (x + a, y + b).

The composition of this translation with a translation g in the direction of (c, d) is the function f g: $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, where fg(x, y) = f(g(x, y))= f (x + c, y + d)= (x + c + a, y + d + b).This is a translation in the direction of (c + a, d + b).

It can easily be verified that the set of all translations in R² forms an abelian group, under composition.

A translation of the plane R² in the direction of the vector (0, 0) is an identity function $1_R^2: R^2 \rightarrow R^2$ defined by $1_R^2(x, y) = (x+0, y+0) = (x, y).$

The inverse of the translation of the plane R² in the direction of the vector (a, b) is an inverse function f⁻¹ : $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by $f^{-1}(x, y) = (x - a, y - b)$ such that $f f^{-1}(x, y) = (x, y) = f^{-1} f(x, y).$

The inverse of the translation in the direction (a, b) is the translation in the opposite direction (-a, -b).

Group Theory



Homomorphism of Groups

Structure-Relating Maps

Let G and G' be groups. We are interested in maps from G to G' that relate the group structure of G to the group structure of G'. Such a map often gives us information about one

of the groups from known structural properties of the other.

Structure-Relating Maps

An isomorphism $\phi: G \rightarrow$ G', if one exists, is an example of such a structure-relating map. If we know all about the group G and know that ϕ is an isomorphism, we immediately know all about the group structure of G', for it is structurally just a copy of G.

Structure-Relating Maps

We now consider more general structure-relating maps, weakening the conditions from those of an isomorphism by no longer requiring that the maps be one to one and onto. We see, those conditions are the purely *set-theoretic portion* of our definition of an isomorphism, and have nothing to do with the binary operations of G and of G'.

Definition

If (G, •) and (H, *) are two groups, the function $f:G \rightarrow H$ is called a group homomorphism if $f(a \cdot b)=f(a)*f(b)$

for all a, $b \in G$.

Homomorphism of Groups

- We often use the notation
 f:(G, •) → (H, *)
 for such a homorphism.
- Many authors use morphism instead of homomorphism.

Homomorphism of Groups

Definition

A group isomorphism is a bijective group homomorphism.

If there is an isomorphism between the groups (G, •) and (H,*), we say that

(G, •) and (H,★) are isomorphic and write

 $(\mathsf{G}, \cdot) \simeq (\mathsf{H}, \star).$

Homomorphism of Groups

Example

Let $\phi: G \to G'$ be a group homomorphism of G onto G'. We claim that if G is abelian, then G' must be abelian. Let $a', b' \in G'$. We must show that a' b' = b' a'. Since ϕ is onto G', there exist a, b \in G such that $\phi(a)$ = a' and $\phi(b)$ = b', Since G is abelian,

we have ab = ba. Using homomorphism property, we have $a'b' = \phi(a) \phi(b) = \phi(ab) = \phi(ba) =$

 $\phi(b) \phi(a) = b' a'$, so G' is indeed abelian.
Examples of Group Homomorphisms

Homomorphism of Groups

Example The function $f: Z \rightarrow Z_n$, defined by f(x) = [x] is the group homomorphism, for if i, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, then f(i+j)=[i+j] $=[i]+_{n}[j]$ $=f(i)+_{n}f(j).$

Examples of Group Homomorphisms

Example

Let be R the group of all real numbers with operation addition, and let R⁺ be the group of all positive real numbers with operation multiplication. The function f : R \rightarrow R⁺, defined by f (x) = e^x, is a homomorphism, for if x, y \in R, then f(x + y) = e^{x+y} = e^x e^y = f (x) f (y). Now f is an isomorphism, for its inverse function $g: R^+ \rightarrow R$ is ln x.

Therefore, the additive group $\,R$ is isomorphic to the multiplicative group $\,R^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$.

Note that the inverse function g is also an isomorphism:

 $g(x y) = \ln(x y) = \ln x + \ln y = g(x) + g(y).$

Examples of Group Homomorphisms

Examples of Group Homomorphisms

Example

Let S_n be the symmetric group on n letters, and let : $\phi: S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ be defined by $\phi(\sigma) = 0$ if σ is an even permutation, = 1 if σ is an odd permutation. Show that ϕ is a homomorphism.

Examples of Group Homomorphisms

Solution

We must show that $\phi(\sigma, \mu) = \phi(\sigma) + \phi(\mu)$ for all choices of $\sigma, \mu \in S_n$. Note that the operation on the right-hand side of this equation is written additively since it takes place in the group \mathbb{Z}_2 . Verifying this equation amounts to checking just four cases:

- σ odd and μ odd,
- ${}^{\bullet}\sigma\,$ odd and $\mu\,$ even,
- $^{\bullet}\,\sigma\,$ even and μ odd,
- ${}^{\bullet}\sigma\,$ even and μ even.

Checking the first case, if σ and μ can both be written as a product of an odd number of transpositions, then $\sigma\mu$ can be written as the product of an even number of transpositions. Thus $\phi(\sigma, \mu) = 0$ and $\phi(\sigma) + \phi(\mu) = 1 + 1 = 0$ in \mathbb{Z}_2 . The other cases can be checked similarly.

Properties of Homomorphisms

Proposition Let $\phi : G \to H$ be a group morphism, and let e_{G} and e_{H} be the identities of G and H, respectively. Then (i) ϕ (e_G) = e_H. (ii) $\phi(a^{-1}) = \phi(a)^{-1}$ for all $a \in G$.

Theorems on Group Homomorphisms

Proof (i) Since ϕ is a morphism, ϕ (e_G) ϕ (e_G) $= \phi (e_G e_G)$ $= \phi (e_{G})$ $= \phi (e_G)e_H$ Hence (i) follows by cancellation in H.

Theorems on Group Homomorphisms

Proof (ii) ϕ (a) ϕ (a⁻¹) $= \phi (a a^{-1})$ $= \phi (e_{G})$ $= e_{H} by (i).$ Hence ϕ (a⁻¹) is the unique inverse of ϕ (a); that is $\phi(a^{-1}) = \phi(a)^{-1}$.

Properties of Homomorphisms

We tum to some structural features of G and G' that are preserved by a homomorphism $\phi: G \rightarrow G'.$ First we review settheoretic definitions.

Definition

Let ϕ be a mapping of a set X into a set Y, and let $A \subseteq X$ and $B \subseteq Y$. The image $\phi[A]$ of A in Y under ϕ is { $\phi(a)$ | $a \in A$. The set $\phi[X]$ is the range of ϕ . The inverse image $\phi^{-1}[B]$ of B in X is $\{x \in X \mid x \in X \mid x$ $\phi(x) \in B$.

Theorem Let ϕ be a homomorphism of a group G into a group G'. 1. If H is a subgroup of G, then ϕ [H] is a subgroup of G'. 2. If K' is a subgroup of G', then $\Phi^{-1}[K']$ is a subgroup of G.

Proof

(1) Let H be a subgroup of G, and let $\phi(a)$ and $\phi(b)$

be any two elements in $\phi[H]$. Then $\phi(a) \phi(b) = \phi(ab)$, so we see that $\phi(a) \phi(b) \in \phi[H]$; thus, $\phi[H]$ is closed under the operation of G'. The fact that $\phi(e_G) = \text{ and } \phi(a^{-1}) = \phi(a)^{-1}$ completes the proof that $\phi[H]$ is a subgroup of G'.

Proof

(2) Let K' be a subgroup of G'. Suppose a and b are in $\phi^{-1}[K']$. Then $\phi(a)\phi(b)\in K'$ since K' is a subgroup. The equation $\phi(ab) = \phi(a) \phi(b)$ shows that $ab\in \phi^{-1}[K']$. Thus $\phi^{-1}[K']$ is closed under the binary operation in G. Also, K' must contain the identity element = $\phi(e_G)$, so $e_G \in \phi^{-1}[K']$. If $a \in \phi^{-1}[K']$, then $\phi(a) \in K'$, so $\phi(a)^{-1} \in K'$. But $\phi(a)^{-1} = \phi(a^{-1})$, so we must have $a^{-1} \in \phi^{-1}[K']$. Hence $\phi^{-1}[K']$ is a subgroup of G.



Properties of Homomorphisms

Theorem: Let h be a homomorphism from a group G into a group G'. Let K be the kernel of h. Then a K = {x in G | h(x) = h(a)} = h⁻¹[{h(a)}] and also

K a = {x in G | h(x) = h(a)} = $h^{-1}[{h(a)}]$

Proof

 $h^{-1}[{h(a)}] = {x in G | h(x) = h(a)} directly from the$ definition of inverse image. Now we show that: $a K = \{x in G \mid h(x) = h(a)\}$: x in a K \Leftrightarrow x = a k, for some k in K \Leftrightarrow h(x) = h(a k) = h(a) h(k) = h(a), for some k in K \Leftrightarrow h(x) = h(a) Thus, $a K = \{x \text{ in } G \mid h(x) = h(a)\}.$ Likewise, K a = {x in G | h(x) = h(a)}.

Suppose: h: X \searrow Y is any map of sets. Then h defines an equivalence relation \sim_h on X by:

 $x \sim_h y \Leftrightarrow h(x) = h(y)$

The previous theorem says that when h is a homomorphism of groups then the cosets (left or right) of the kernel of h are the equivalence classes of this equivalence relation.

Properties of Homomorphisms

Definition

If $\phi: G \to G'$ is a group morphism, the *kernel* of ϕ , denoted by Ker ϕ , is defined to be the set of elements of G that are mapped by f to the identity of G'. That is, Ker f ={g \in G|f (g) = e' }.

Corollary

Let ϕ : G \rightarrow G' be a group morphism. Then, ϕ is injective if and only if Ker $\phi = \{e\}$.

Proof

If Ker(ϕ) = {e}, then for every $a \in G$, the elements mapped into $\phi(a)$ are precisely the elements of the left coset a { e} = {a}, which shows that ϕ is one to one.

Conversely, suppose ϕ is one to one. Now, we know that $\phi(e)=e'$, the identity element of G'. Since ϕ is one to one, we see that *e* is the only element mapped into *e'* by ϕ , so Ker(ϕ)= {e}.

Definition To Show $\phi: G \rightarrow G'$ is an Isomorphism Step 1 Show ϕ is a homomorphism. Step 2 Show $Ker(\phi) =$ {e}. Step 3 Show ϕ maps G onto G'.

Normal Subgroups

Normal Subgrops

Let G be a group with subgroup H. The right cosets of H in G are equivalence classes under the relation $a \equiv$ b mod H, defined by $ab^{-1} \in H$. We can also define the relation L on G so that a L b if and only if $b^{-1}a \in H$. This relation, L, is an equivalence relation, and the equivalence class containing a is the left coset aH = $\{ah \mid h \in H\}$. As the following example shows, the left coset of an element does not necessarily equal the right coset.

Example

Find the left and right cosets of $H = A_3$ and $K = {(1), (12)}$ in S_3 .

Solution

We calculated the right cosets of $H = A_3$.

Right Cosets

H = {(1), (123), (132)}; H(12) = {(12), (13), (23)} Left Cosets

H = {(1), (123), (132}; (12)H = {(12), (23), (13)} In this case, the left and right cosets of H are the same. However, the left and right cosets of K are not all the same.

Right Cosets

 $K = \{(1), (12)\}; K(13) = \{(13), (132)\}; K(23) = \{(23), (123)\}$

Left Cosets

 $K = \{(1), (12)\}; (23)K = \{(23), (132)\}; (13)K = \{(13), (123)\}$

Normal Subgroups

Definition A subgroup H of a group G is called a *normal subgroup* of G if $g^{-1}hg \in H$ for all $g \in G$ and $h \in H$.

Proposition Hg = gH, for all $g \in G$, if and only if H is a normal subgroup of G.
Normal Subgroups

Proof

Suppose that Hg = gH. Then, for any element $h \in$ H, hg \in Hg = gH. Hence $hg = gh_1$ for some $h_1 \in H$ and $g^{-1}hg = g^{-1}gh_1 = h_1 \in H.$ Therefore, H is a normal subgroup.

Conversely, if H is normal, let $hg \in Hg$ and $g^{-1}hg = h_1 \in H$. Then $hg = gh_1 \in gH$ and $Hg \subseteq gH$. Also, $ghg^{-1} = (g^{-1})^{-1}hg^{-1} = h_2 \in H$, since H is normal, so $gh = h_2g \in Hg$. Hence, $gH \subseteq Hg$, and so Hg = gH.

Group Theory

Theorem on Normal Subgroup

Theorem on Normal Subgroup

If N is a normal subgroup of a group G, the left cosets of N in G are the same as the right cosets of N in G, so there will be no ambiguity in just talking about the cosets of N in G.

Theorem on Normal Subgroup

Theorem

If N is a normal subgroup of (G, \cdot) , the set of cosets $G/N = \{Ng | g \in G\}$ forms a group (G/N, \cdot), where the operation is defined by $(Ng_1) \cdot (Ng_2) = N(g_1 \cdot g_2).$ This group is called the quotient group or factor group of G by N. 22

Proof. The operation of multiplying two cosets, Ng₁ and Ng₂, is defined in terms of particular elements, g_1 and g_2 , of the cosets. For this operation to make sense, we have to verify that, if we choose different elements, h_1 and h_2 , in the same cosets, the product coset N($h_1 \cdot h_2$) is the same as

 $N(g_1 \cdot g_2)$. In other words, we have to show that multiplication of cosets is well defined.

Since h_1 is in the same coset as g_1 , we have $h_1 \equiv g_1 \mod N$. Similarly, $h_2 \equiv g_2 \mod N$. We show that $Nh_1h_2 = Ng_1g_2$. We have $h_1g_1^{-1} = n_1 \in N$ and $h_2g_2^{-1} = n_2 \in N$, so $h_1h_2(g_1g_2)^{-1} = h_1h_2g_2^{-1}g_1^{-1} = n_1g_1n_2g_2g_2^{-1}g_1^{-1} = n_1g_1n_2g_1^{-1}$.

Now N is a normal subgroup, so $g_1 n_2 g_1^{-1} \in N$ and $n_1 g_1 n_2 g_1^{-1} \in N$. Hence $h_1 h_2 \equiv g_1 g_2 \mod N$ and $Nh_1 h_2 = Ng_1 g_2$.

Therefore, the operation is well defined.

Theorem on Normal Subgroup

- The operation is associative because $(Ng_1 \cdot Ng_2) \cdot Ng_3 = N(g_1g_2) \cdot Ng_3 = N(g_1g_2)g_3$ and also $Ng_1 \cdot (Ng_2 \cdot Ng_3) = Ng_1 \cdot N(g_2g_3) = Ng_1(g_2g_3) = N(g_1g_2)g_3$.
- Since Ng \cdot Ne = Nge = Ng and Ne \cdot Ng = Ng, the identity is Ne = N.
- The inverse of Ng is Ng⁻¹ because Ng · Ng⁻¹ = N(g · g⁻¹) = Ne = N and also Ng⁻¹ · Ng = N.
- Hence $(G/N, \cdot)$ is a group.

Group Theory

Example on Normal Subgroup

Example on Normal Subgroup

Example $(Z_n, +)$ is the quotient group of (Z,+) by the subgroup $nZ = \{nz | z \in Z\}.$

Example on Normal Subgroup

Solution

Since (Z,+) is abelian, every subgroup is normal. The set nZ can be verified to be a subgroup, and the relationship $a \equiv b \mod nZ$ is equivalent to $a - b \in nZ$ and to n|a - b. Hence $a \equiv b \mod nZ$ is the same relation as $a \equiv b \mod n$. Therefore, Z_n is the quotient group Z/nZ, where the operation on congruence classes is defined by [a] + [b] = [a + b].

Example on Normal Subgroup

 $(Z_n,+)$ is a cyclic group with 1 as a generator. When there is no confusion, we write the elements of Z_n as 0, 1, 2, 3, ..., n - 1 instead of [0], [1], [2], [3], . . . , [n - 1].

Group Theory

Morphism Theorem for Groups

Theorem Let K be the kernel of the group morphism $f: G \rightarrow H$. Then G/K is isomorphic to the image of f, and the isomorphism ψ : G/K \rightarrow Im f is defined by $\psi(Kg) = f(g).$

This result is also known as the **first isomorphism theorem**.

Proof. The function ψ is defined on a coset by using one particular element in the coset, so we have to check that ψ is well defined;

that is, it does not matter which element we use.

 $\psi: G/K \rightarrow Im f, \psi(Kg)=f(g).$ If Kg'=Kg, then g'≡g mod K so g'g⁻¹ = $k \in K$ = Ker f. Hence g'=kg and so f(g') = f(kg)= f(k)f(g) $= e_{\mu}f(g) = f(g).$ Thus ψ is well defined on cosets.

The function ψ is a morphism because $\psi(Kg_1Kg_2)$ $= \psi(Kg_1g_2)$ $= f(g_1g_2)$ $= f(g_1)f(g_2)$ $= \psi(\mathrm{Kg}_1)\psi(\mathrm{Kg}_2).$

If $\psi(Kg) = e_H$, then f (g) = e_H and $g \in K$. Hence the only element in the kernel of ψ is the identity coset K, and ψ is injective.

Finally, Im ψ = Im f, that is, $\psi^{-1}(f(g)) = Kg$, by the definition of ψ . Therefore, ψ is the required isomorphism between G/K and Im f.

Group Theory

Application of Morphism Theorem

Application of Morphism Theorem

Example

Show that the quotient group R/Z is isomorphic to the circle group $W = \{e^{i\theta} \in C \mid \theta \in R \}.$

Application of Morphism Theorem

Solution

The set W= { $e^{i\theta} \in C \mid \theta \in R$ } consists of points on the circle of complex numbers of unit modulus, and forms a group under multiplication. Define the function $f : R \rightarrow W$ by $f(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$. This is a morphism from (R,+) to (W, \cdot) because $f(x + y) = e^{2\pi i(x+y)}$ $= e^{2\pi i x} \cdot e^{2\pi i y}$ $= f(x) \cdot f(y).$

Application of Morphism Theorem

The morphism $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow W$ is clearly surjective, and its kernel is

 $\{x \in R \mid e^{2\pi i x} = 1\} = Z.$ Therefore, the morphism theorem implies that $R/Z \cong W.$

Group Theory



Normality of Kernel of a Homomorphism

Right Cosets

Let (G, \cdot) be a group with subgroup H. For a, $b \in G$, we say that a is **congruent to b modulo** H, and write $a \equiv b \mod H$.

Proposition

The relation $a \equiv b \mod H$ is an equivalence relation on G. The equivalence class containing a can be written in the form Ha = $\{ha \mid h \in H\}, and it is$ called a right coset of H in G. The element a is called a representative of the coset Ha.

Theorem Let φ be a homomorphism function from group (G, *) to group (G',.). Then, (Ker φ ,*) is a normal subgroup of (G,*).

Proof i) Ker ϕ is a subgroup of G $\forall a, b \in Ker\phi, \phi(a) = e_{c'}, \phi(a)$ $\varphi(b)=e_{c'}$. Then, $\varphi(a^*b)=\varphi(a)$ $\varphi(b)=e_{c'}$ Therefore, $a^*b \in Ker\phi$. **Inverse element:** $\forall a \in \text{Ker}\phi, \phi(a) = e_{c'}$ Then, $\varphi(a^{-1}) = \varphi(a)^{-1} = e_{a'}$ Therefore, $a^{-1} \in \text{Ker}\varphi$.

ii) $\forall g \in G, a \in Ker \varphi$, $\varphi(a) = e_{G'}$. Then, $\varphi(g^{-1*}a^*g)$ $= \varphi(g^{-1})\varphi(a)\varphi(g)$ $= \varphi(g)^{-1}e_{G'}\varphi(g)$ $= e_{G'}$ Therefore, $g^{-1*}a^*g \in Ker \varphi$.

Group Theory

Example of Normal Group

Definition

A subgroup H of a group is a normal subgroup if gH=Hg for $\forall g \in G$.

Example

- Any subgroups of Abelian group are normal subgroups
- ${}^{\bullet}$ S₃={(1),(1,2,3), (1,3,2), (2,3), (1,3), (1,2)}.
- $H_1 = \{(1), (2,3)\}; H_2 = \{(1), (1,3)\}; H_3 = \{(1), (1,2)\};$
- $(1,3)H_1 = \{(1,3),(1,2)\}$ $H_1(1,3) = \{(1,3),(1,2)\}$
- $(1,2,3)H_1 = \{(1,2,3),(1,2)\}$ $H_1(1,2,3) = \{(1,2,3),(1,3)\}$

 H₄={(1), (1,2,3), (1,3,2)} are subgroups of S₃.
 H₄ is a normal subgroup.

(1)Hg=gH, it does not imply hg=gh.
(2) If Hg=gH, then there exists h'∈H such that hg=gh' for ∀h∈H.

- Let H be a subgroup of a group G. When is (a H) (b H) = a b H?
- This is true for abelian groups, but not always when G is nonabelian.
- Consider S₃: Let H = { ρ_0 , μ_1 }. The left cosets are { ρ_0 , μ_1 }, { ρ_1 , μ_3 }, { ρ_2 , μ_2 }.
 - If we multiply the first two together, then

 $\{\rho_0, \mu_1\}, \{\rho_1, \mu_3\} = \{\rho_0 \rho_1, \rho_0 \mu_3, \mu_1 \rho_1, \mu_1 \mu_3\}$ $= \{\rho_1, \mu_3, \mu_2, \rho_2\}$

This has four distinct elements, not two!

Group Theory

Factor Group
Definition

Let (H,*) be a normal subgroup of the group (G,*). (G/H, \otimes) is called quotient group, where the operation \otimes is defined on G/H by

 $Hg_1 \otimes Hg_2 = H(g_1^*g_2).$

If G is a finite group, then G/H is also a finite group, and |G/H|=|G|/|H|.

- The product of two sets is define as follow
 SS' = {xx' | x∈S and x'∈S}
- {aH|a∈G, H is normal} is a group, denote by G/H and called it factor groups of G.
- A mapping f: G→G/H is a homomorphism, and call it <u>canonical homomorphism</u>.



Consider S₃: Let H = { ρ_0 , ρ_1 , ρ_2 }. The left cosets are { ρ_0 , ρ_1 , ρ_2 }, { μ_1 , μ_2 , μ_3 }

If we multiply the first two together, then

 $\{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2\} \{\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3\} = \{\rho_0, \mu_1, \rho_0, \mu_2, \rho_0, \mu_3, \rho_1, \mu_1, \rho_1, \mu_2, \rho_1, \mu_3, \rho_2, \mu_1, \rho_2, \mu_2, \rho_2, \mu_3\} = \{\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_3, \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_1\} = \{\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3\}$

This is one of the cosets. Likewise,

$$\{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2\} \{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2\} = \{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2\}$$
$$\{\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3\} \{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2\} = \{\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3\}$$
$$\{\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3\} \{\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3\} = \{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2\}$$

Note that the cosets of $\{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2\}$ with this binary operation form a group isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_2 .

Note that there is a natural map from S₃ to {{ ρ_0, ρ_1, ρ_2 }, { μ_1, μ_2, μ_3 }} that takes any element to the coset that contains it. This gives a homomorphism called the cannonical homomorphism.

Group Theory

Coset Multiplication and Normality

Coset Multiplication and Normality

Theorem Let H be a subgroup of a group G. Then H is normal if and only if (a H)(b H) = (a b) H, for all a, b in G

Coset Multiplication and Normality

Proof Suppose (a H)(b H) = (a b) H,for all a, b in G. We show that aH = H a, for all a in H. We do this by showing: a H \subseteq H a and Ha \subseteq aH, for all a in G.

- a H \subseteq H a: First observe that aHa⁻¹ \subseteq (aH)(a⁻¹H) = (aa⁻¹)H = H.
- Let x be in a H. Then x = a h, for some h in H. Then
- $x a^{-1} = a h a^{-1}$, which is in = $a H a^{-1}$,

thus in H. Thus $x a^{-1}$ is in H. Thus x is in H a.

 $Ha \subseteq aH: Ha \subseteq HaH = (eH)(aH) = (ea) H = aH.$

This establishes normality.

For the converse, assume H is normal. $(a H)(b H) \subseteq (a b) H$: For a, b in G, x in (a H)(b H)implies that $x = a h_1 b h_2$, for some h_1 and h_2 in H. But h_1 b is in H b, thus in b H. Thus h_1 b = b h_3 for some h_3 in H. Thus $x = a b h_3 h_2$ is in a b H. (a b) $H \subseteq (a H)(b H)$: x in (a b) $H \Rightarrow x = a e b h$, for some h in H.

Thus x is in (a H) (b H).

Group Theory



Examples on Kernel of a Homomorphism

Let h: $G \rightarrow G'$ be a homomorphism and let e' be the identity element of G'. Now {e'} is a subgroup of G', so h⁻¹[{e'}] is a subgroup K of G. This subgroup is critical to the study of homomorphisms.

Definition Let h: $G \rightarrow G'$ be a homomorphism of groups. The subgroup $h^{-1}[\{e'\}] = \{x \in G \mid h(x) = e'\}$ is the **kernel** of h, denoted by Ker(h).

Example

Let \mathbb{R}^n be the additive group of column vectors with n real-number components. (This group is of course isomorphic to the direct product of \mathbb{R} under addition with itself for n factors.) Let A be an m x n matrix of real numbers. Let $\phi \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be defined by $\phi(v) = Av$ for each column vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Example

Then ϕ is a homomorphism, since v, w $\in \mathbb{R}^n$, matrix algebra shows that $\phi(v+w) = A(v+w)$ $=Av+Aw=\phi(v)+\phi(w)$ In linear algebra, such a map computed by multiplying a column vector on the left by a matrix A is known as a linear transformation.

Ker(h) is called the null space of A. It consists of all $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that Av = 0, the zero vector.

Group Theory

Examples on Kernel of a Homomorphism

Example

Let $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ be the multiplicative group of all invertible $n \ge n$ matrices. Recall that a matrix A is invertible if and only if its determinant, det(A), is nonzero.

Recall also that for matrices A, B \in GL(n, \mathbb{R}) we have det(AB)=det(A)det(B). This means that det is a homomorphism mapping GL(n, \mathbb{R}) into the multiplicative group \mathbb{R}^* of nonzero real numbers. Ker(det)

 $= \{A \in GL(n, \mathbb{R}) | det(A) = 1\}.$

Homomorphisms of a group G into itself are often useful for studying the structure of G. Our next example gives a nontrivial homomorphism of a group into itself.

Example

Let $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let ϕ_r : $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ be defined by $\phi_r(n)=rn$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\phi_r(m+n)=r(m+n)$ $=rm+rn=\phi_r(m)+\phi_r(n)$ so ϕ_r is a homomorphism.

Note that ϕ_0 is the trivial homomorphism, ϕ_1 is the identity map, and ϕ_{-1} maps \mathbb{Z} onto \mathbb{Z} . For all other r in \mathbb{Z} , the map ϕ_r is not onto \mathbb{Z} .

Ker(ϕ_0)= \mathbb{Z} Ker(ϕ_r)= {0} for r≠0

Group Theory

Examples on Kernel of a Homomorphism

Example (Reduction Modulo n) Let y be the natural map of \mathbb{Z} into \mathbb{Z}_n given by y(m) = r, where r is the remainder given by the division algorithm when m is divided by n. Show that y is a homomorphism. Find Ker(y).

Solution

We need to show that y(s+t)=y(s)+y(t) for s, $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Using the division algorithm, we let

- $s=q_1n+r_1$ (1) and
- $t=q_2n+r_2$ (2) where $0 \le r_i \le n$ for i=1, 2.

If $r_1+r_2=q_3n+r_3$ (3) for $0 \le r_3 \le n$ then adding Eqs. (1) and (2) we see that $s + t = (q_1 + q_2 + q_3)n + r_3$, so that $y(s+t)=r_3$. From Eqs. (1) and (2) we see that $y(s) = r_1$ and $y(t)=r_2$. Equation (3) shows that

the sum $r_1 + r_2$ in \mathbb{Z}_n is equal to r_3 also.

Consequently y(s+t)=y(s)+y(t), so we do indeed have a homomorphism. Ker(y)=nZ

Group Theory

Kernel of a Homomorphism

Kernel of a Homomorphism

Theorem Let h be a homomorphism from a group G into a group G'. Let K be the kernel of h. Then $a K = \{x in G | h(x) = h(a)\}$ $= h^{-1}[\{h(a)\}]$ and also $K = \{x in G | h(x) = h(a)\}$ $= h^{-1}[{h(a)}]$

Let K=Ker(h) for a homomorphism h:G \rightarrow G'. We think of h as "collapsing" K down onto e'. Above Theorem shows that for g \in G, the cosets gK and Kg are the same, and are collapsed onto the single element h(g) by h. That is h⁻¹[{h(g)}]=gK=Kg. We have attempted to symbolize this collapsing in Fig. below,

where the shaded rectangle represents G, the solid vertical line segments represent the cosets of

K= Ker(h), and the horizontal line at the bottom represents G'.

Kernel of a Homomorphism



Cosets of K collapsed by h

We view h as projecting the elements of G, which are in the shaded rectangle, straight down onto elements of G', which are on the horizontal line segment at the bottom. Notice the downward arrow labeled h at the left, starting at G and ending at G'. Elements of K=Ker(h) thus lie on the solid vertical line segment in the shaded box lying over e', as labeled at the top of the figure.

Group Theory

Kernel of a Homomorphism

Kernel of a Homomorphism

Example

We have $|z_1 z_2| = |z_1| |z_2|$ for complex numbers z₁ and z_2 . This means that the absolute value function | | is a homomorphism of the group \mathbb{C}^* of nonzero complex numbers under multiplication onto the group \mathbb{R}^+ of positive real numbers under multiplication.

Since $\{1\}$ is a subgroup of \mathbb{R}^+ , the complex numbers of magnitude 1 form a subgroup U of \mathbb{C}^* . Recall that the complex numbers can be viewed as filling the coordinate plane, and that the magnitude of a complex number is its distance from the origin. Consequently, the cosets of U are circles with center at the origin. Each circle is collapsed by this homomorphism onto its point of intersection with the positive real axis.

Group Theory

Kernel of a Homomorphism

Kernel of a Homomorphism

Theorem Let h be a homomorphism from a group G into a group G'. Let K be the kernel of h. Then $a K = \{x in G | h(x) = h(a)\}$ $= h^{-1}[\{h(a)\}]$ and also $K = \{x in G | h(x) = h(a)\}$ $= h^{-1}[{h(a)}]$
Kernel of a Homomorphism

Above theorem shows that the kernel of a group homomorphism $h: G \rightarrow G'$ is a subgroup K of G whose left and right cosets coincide, so that gK=Kg for all g \in G. When left and right cosets coincide, we can form a coset group G/K. Furthermore, we have seen that K then appears as the kernel of a homomorphism of G onto this coset group in a very natural way. Such subgroups K whose left and right cosets coincide are very useful in studying normal group.

Kernel of a Homomorphism

Example

Let D be the additive group of all differentiable functions mapping \mathbb{R} into \mathbb{R} , and let F be the additive group of all functions mapping \mathbb{R} into \mathbb{R} Then differentiation gives us a map $\phi: D \rightarrow F$, where $\phi(f)=f'$ for $f \in F$. We easily see that ϕ is a homomorphism, for $\phi(f+g)=(f+g)'=f'+g'=\phi(f)+\phi(g)$; the derivative of a sum is the sum of the derivatives. Now Ker(ϕ) consists of all functions f such that f'=0. Thus Ker(ϕ) consists of all constant functions, which form a subgroup C of F. Let us find all functions in G mapped into x² by ϕ , that is, all functions whose derivative is x². Now we know that x³/3 is one such function. By previous theorem, all such functions form the coset x³/3+C.

Examples of Group Homomorphisms

Example (Evaluation Homomorphism)

Let F be the additive group of all functions mapping

 $\mathbb R$ into $\mathbb R,$ let $\mathbb R$ be the additive group of real numbers, and let c be any real number. Let

 $\phi: F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the **evaluation homomorphism** defined by $\phi_c(f) = f(c)$ for $f \in F$. Recall that, by definition, the sum of two functions f and g is the function f + g whose value at x is f (x) + g(x). Thus we have

 $\phi_c(f+g)=(f+g)(c)=f(c)+g(c)=\phi_c(f)+\phi_c(g)$, so we have a homomorphism.

Composition of group homomorphisms is again a group homomorphism. That is, if

 $\phi: G \rightarrow G'$ and y: G' \rightarrow G" are both group homomorphisms then their composition

 $(y \circ \varphi)$: G \rightarrow G", where $(y \circ \varphi)(g) = y(\varphi(g))$ for $g \in G$, is also a homomorphism.

Examples of Group Homomorphisms

Examples of Group Homomorphisms

Example

Let $G=G_1 \times \cdots \times G_i \times \cdots \times G_n$ be a direct product of groups. The **projection map** $\Pi_i: G \rightarrow G_i$ where

 $\Pi_i(g_1, \dots, g_i, \dots, g_n) = g_i \text{ is a homomorphism for each } i=1, \dots, n.$

This follows immediately from the fact that the binary operation of G coincides in the ith component with the binary operation in G_i.

Examples of Group Homomorphisms

Example

Let F be the additive group of continuous functions with domain [0, 1] and let \mathbb{R} be the additive group of real numbers. The map $\sigma: F \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\sigma(f) = \int_0^1 f(x) dx$ for $f \in F$ is a homomorphism, for

 $\sigma(f+g) = \int_0^1 (f+g)(x) dx = \int_0^1 [f(x)+g(x)] dx = \int_0^1 f(x) dx + \int_0^1 g(x) dx = \sigma(f) + \sigma(g) \text{ for all } f, g \in F.$

Each of the homomorphisms in the preceding two examples is a many-to-one map. That is, different points of the domain of the map may be carried into the same point. Consider, for illustration, the homomorphism π_1 : $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4 \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ We have $\pi_1(0, 0) = \pi_1(0, 1) = \pi_1(0, 2) = \pi_1(0, 3) = 0$, so four elements in $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ are mapped into 0 in \mathbb{Z}_2 by π_1 .

Factor Groups from Homomorphisms

Let G be a group and let S be a set having the same cardinality as G. Then there is a one-to-one correspondence \leftrightarrow between S and G. We can use \leftrightarrow to define a binary operation on S, making S into a group isomorphic to G. Naively, we simply use the correspondence to rename each element of G by the name of its corresponding (under \leftrightarrow) element in S. We can describe explicitly the computation of xy for x, $y \in S$ as follows:

if $x \leftrightarrow g_1$ and $y \leftrightarrow g_2$ and $z \leftrightarrow g_1 g_2$, then xy=z (1)

The direction \rightarrow of the one-to-one correspondence $s \leftrightarrow g$ between $s \in S$ and $g \in G$ gives us a one-to-one function μ mapping S onto G. The direction \leftarrow of \leftrightarrow gives us the inverse function μ^{-1} . Expressed in terms of μ , the computation (1) of xy for x, y \in S becomes if $\mu(x)=g_1$ and $\mu(y)=g_2$ and $\mu(z)=g_1g_2$, then xy=z(2)The map $\mu: S \rightarrow G$ now becomes an isomorphism mapping the group S onto the group G. Notice that from (2), we obtain $\mu(xy)=\mu(z)=g_1g_2=\mu(x)\mu(y)$, the required homomorphism property.

Factor Groups from Homomorphisms

Let G and G' be groups, let h: $G \rightarrow G'$ be a homomorphism, and let K=Ker(h). The previous theorem shows that for $a \in G$, we have $h^{-1}[{h(a)}]=aK =Ka. We$ have a one-to-one correspondence aK \leftrightarrow h(a) between cosets of K in G and elements of the subgroup h[G] of G'.

Remember that if $x \in aK$, so that x=ak for some $k \in K$, then h(x)=h(ak)=h(a)h(k)=h(a)e'

=h(a), so the computation of the element of h[G]

corresponding to the coset aK=xK is the same whether we compute it as h(a) or as h(x). Let us denote the set of all cosets of K by G/K. (We read G/K as "G over K" or as "G modulo K" or as "G mod K," but never as "G divided by K.")

We started with a homomorphism h: $G \rightarrow G'$ having kernel K, and we finished with the set G/K of cosets in one-to-one correspondence with the elements of the group h[G]. In our work above that, we had a set S with elements in one-to-one correspondence with a those of a group G, and we made S into a group isomorphic to G with an isomorphism μ . Replacing S by G / H and replacing G by h[G] in that construction, we can consider G/K to be a group isomorphic to h[G] with that isomorphism μ . In terms of G/K and h[G], the computation (2) of the product (xK)(yK) for xK, $y\bar{K} \in G/K$ becomes if $\mu(xK)=h(x)$ and $\mu(yK)=h(y)$ and $\mu(zK)=h(x)h(y)$, then (xK)(yK)=zK. (3) But because h is a homomorphism, we can easily find $z \in G$ such that $\mu(zK)=h(x)h(y)$; namely, we take z=xy in G, and find that $\mu(zK)=\mu(xyK)=h(xy)=h(x)h(y)$.

This shows that the product (xK)(yK) of two cosets is the coset (xy)K that contains the product xy of x and y in G. While this computation of (xK)(yK) may seem to depend on our choices x from xK and y from yK, our work above shows it does not. We demonstrate it again here because it is such an important point. If $k_1, k_2 \in K$ so that xk_1 is an element of xK and yk, is an element of yK, then there exists $h_3 \in K$ such that $k_1y = yk_3$ because Ky = yK by previous Theorem.

Thus we have

 $(xk_1)(yk_2)=x(k_1y)k_2=x(yk_3)k_2=(xy)(k_3k_2) \in (xy)K$

so we obtain the same coset. Computation of the product of two cosets is accomplished by choosing an element from each coset and taking, as product of the cosets, the coset that contains the product in G of the choices. Any time we define something (like a product) in terms of choices, it is important to show that it is well defined, which means that it is independent of the choices made.

Factor Groups from Homomorphisms

Theorem

Let h: $G \rightarrow G'$ be a group homomorphism with kernel K. Then the cosets of K form a factor group, G/K. where (aK) (bK)=(ab)K. Also, the map μ : G/H \rightarrow h[G]

defined by $\mu(aK)=h(a)$ is an isomorphism. Both coset multiplication and μ are well defined, independent of the choices a and b from the cosets.

Example

Consider the map y: $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}_n$, where y(m) is the remainder when m is divided by n in accordance with the division algorithm. We know that y is a homomorphism. Of course, Ker(y) = n \mathbb{Z} . By above Theorem, we see that the factor group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_n . The cosets of n \mathbb{Z} are the residue classes modulo n.

For example, taking n = 5, we see the cosets of $5\mathbb{Z}$ are

$$5\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -10, -5, 0, 5, 10, \dots\},\$$

$$1 + 5\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -9, -4, 1, 6, 11, \dots\},\$$

$$2 + 5\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -8, -3, 2, 7, 12, \dots\},\$$

$$3 + 5\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -7, -2, 3, 8, 13, \dots\},\$$

$$4 + 5\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -6, -1, 4, 9, 14, \dots\}.$$

Note that the isomorphism $\mu: \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}_5$ of previous Theorem assigns to each coset of $5\mathbb{Z}$ its smallest nonnegative element. That is, $\mu(5\mathbb{Z})=0$, $\mu(1+5\mathbb{Z})=1$, etc.

Factor Groups from Homomorphisms

It is very important that we learn how to compute in a factor group. We can multiply (add) two cosets by choosing any two representative elements, multiplying (adding) them and finding the coset in which the resulting product (sum) lies.

Example

Consider the factor group $\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$ with the cosets shown in precious example. We can add (2+5 \mathbb{Z}) +(4+5 \mathbb{Z}) by choosing 2 and 4, finding 2+4=6, and noticing that 6 is in the coset 1+5 \mathbb{Z} . We could equally well add these two cosets by choosing 27 in 2+5 \mathbb{Z} and -16 in 4+5 \mathbb{Z} ; the sum 27+(-16)=11 is also in the coset 1+5 \mathbb{Z} . The factor groups $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ in the preceding example are classics. Recall that we refer to the cosets of $n\mathbb{Z}$ as residue classes modulo n. Two integers in the same coset are congruent modulo n. This terminology is carried over to other factor groups. A factor group G/H is often called the factor group of G modulo H. Elements in the same coset of H are often said to be congruent modulo H. By abuse of notation, we may sometimes write $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}=\mathbb{Z}_n$ and think of \mathbb{Z}_n as the additive group of residue classes of \mathbb{Z} modulo n.

Factor Groups from Normal Subgroups

So far, we have obtained factor groups only from homomorphisms. Let G be a group and let H be a subgroup of G. Now H has both left cosets and right cosets, and in general, a left coset aH need not be the same set as the right coset Ha.

Suppose we try to define a binary operation on left cosets by defining (aH)(bH)=(ab)H as in the statement of previous theorem. The above equation attempts to define left coset multiplication by choosing representatives a and b from the cosets. The above equation is meaningless unless it gives a well-defined operation, independent of the representative elements a and b chosen from the cosets. In the following theorem, we have proved that the above equation gives a well-defined binary operation if and only if H is a normal subgroup of G.

Theorem Let H be a subgroup of a group G. Then H is normal if and only if (a H)(b H) = (a b) H, for all a, b in G

Above theorem shows that if left and right cosets of H coincide, then the equation (aH)(bH)=(ab)H, for all a, b in G gives a well-defined binary operation on cosets.

Theorem

If N is a normal subgroup of (G, \cdot) , the set of cosets \neg G/N = {Ng | g \in G} forms a group (G/N, \cdot), where the operation is defined by $(Ng_1) \cdot (Ng_2) = N(g_1 \cdot g_2).$

Example

Since \mathbb{Z} is an abelian group, $n\mathbb{Z}$ is a normal subgroup. Above theorem allows us to construct the factor group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ with no reference to a homomorphism. As we already observed, $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_n .

Factor Groups from Normal Subgroups
Example

Consider the abelian group \mathbb{R} under addition, and let $c \in \mathbb{R}^+$. The cyclic subgroup <c> of \mathbb{R} contains as elements

···· -3c, -2c, -c, 0, *c*, 2c, 3c,····

Every coset of <c> contains just one element of x such that $0 \le x < c$. If we choose these elements as representatives of the cosets when computing in $\mathbb{R}/\langle c \rangle$, we find that we are computing their sum modulo c in \mathbb{R}_c . For example, if c = 5.37, then the sum of the cosets 4.65+<5.37> and 3.42+<5.37> is the coset 8.07+<5.37>, which contains 8.07-5.37 =

2.7, which is 4.65 + 5.37 3.42.

Working with these coset elements x where $0 \le x < c$, we thus see that the group \mathbb{R}_c is isomorphic to \mathbb{R} / <c> under an isomorphism μ where $\mu(x) = x + <c>$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_c$. Of course; \mathbb{R} / <c> is then also isomorphic to the circle group U of complex numbers of magnitude 1 under multiplication.



Kernel of an Injective Homomorphism

Kernel of an Injective Homomorphism

Theorem A homomorphism h: G→G' is injective if and only if Ker h={e}.

Kernel of an Injective Homomorphism

Proof Suppose h is injective, and let $x \in \text{Ker } h$. Then h(x)=e'=h(e). Hence x=e.

Kernel of an Injective Homomorphism

Conversely, suppose Ker $h = \{e\}$. Then h(x)=h(y) \Rightarrow h(xy⁻¹)=h(x)h(y⁻¹) $=h(x)h(y)^{-1}=e'$ ⇒xy⁻¹∈ Ker h \Rightarrow xy⁻¹=e \Rightarrow x=y. Hence, h is injective.

Factor Groups from Normal Subgroups

Theorem Let K be a normal subgroup of G. Then y: $G \rightarrow G/K$ given by y(g)=gK is a homomorphism with kernel K.

Proof Let $g_1, g_2 \in G$. Then $y(g_1g_2) = (g_1g_2)K$ $=(g_1K)(g_2K)=y(g_1)y(g_2),$ so y is a homomorphism. Since g₁K= K if and only if $g_1 \in K$, we see that the kernel of y is indeed K.

We have proved that if h:G \rightarrow G' is a homomorphism with kernel K, then $\mu:G/K \rightarrow h[G]$ where $\mu(gK)$ = h(g) is an isomorphism. Above theorem shows that $y:G \rightarrow G/K$ defined by y(g) = gK is a homomorphism.



We show these groups and maps in the figure. We see that the homomorphism h can be factored, $h = \mu y$, where y is a homomorphism and μ is an isomorphism of G/K with h[G].

Example on Morphism Theorem of Groups

Theorem Let K be the kernel of the group morphism h : $G \rightarrow G'$. Then G/K is isomorphic to the image of h, h[G], and the isomorphism $\mu: G/K \rightarrow Im h$ is defined by $\mu(Kg) = h[g].$

Example Classify the group $(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) / (\{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ according to the fundamental theorem of finitely generated abelian groups.

Solution The projection map $\Pi_1: \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_4$ given by $\Pi_1(x,y) = x$ is a homomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ onto \mathbb{Z}_{A} with kernel $\{0\}x\mathbb{Z}_2$. By fundamental theorem of homomorphism, we know that the given factor group is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_4 .

The projection map $\Pi_1: \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_4$ given by $\Pi_1(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{x}.$ K=Ker π_1 ={0}x \mathbb{Z}_2 $=\{(0,0),(0,1)\}.$ $(1,0)+K=\{(1,0),(1,1)\}$ $(2,0)+K=\{(2,0),(2,1)\}$ $(3,0)+K=\{(3,0),(3,1)\}$



Normal Groups and Inner Automorphisms

We derive some alternative characterizations of normal subgroups, which often provide us with an easier way to check normality than finding both the left and the right coset decompositions.

Theorem

The following are three equivalent conditions for a subgroup H of a group G to be a normal subgroup of G.

1. $ghg^{-1} \in H$ for all $g \in G$ and $h \in H$.

- **2.** $gHg^{-1}=H$ for all $g\in G$.
- **3.** gH=Hg for all $g \in G$.

Condition (2) of above Theorem is often taken as the definition of a normal subgroup H of a group G.

Proof

Suppose that gH = Hg for all $g \in G$. Then $gh = h_1g$, so $ghg^{-1} \in H$ for all $g \in G$ and all $h \in H$.

Then $gHg^{-1} = \{ghg^{-1} | h \in H\} \subseteq H$ for all $g \in G$.

We claim that actually $gHg^{-1} = H$. We must show that $H \subseteq gHg^{-1}$ for all $g \in G$. Let $h \in H$. Replacing g by g^{-1} in the relation $ghg^{-1} \in H$, we obtain

 $g^{-1}h(g^{-1})^{-1} = g^{-1}hg = h_1$ where $h_1 \in H$.

Consequently, $gHg^{-1} = H$ for all $g \in G$.

Conversely, if $gHg^{-1} = H$ for all $g \in G$, then $ghg^{-1} = h_1$ so $gh = h_1g \in Hg$, and $gH \subseteq Hg$. But also, $g^{-1}Hg = H$ giving $g^{-1}hg = h_2$, so that $hg = gh_2$ and $Hg \subseteq gH$.

Normal Groups and Inner Automorphisms

Example

Every subgroup H of an abelian group G is normal.

We need only note that gh = hg for all $h \in H$ and all $g \in G$, so, of course, $ghg^{-1} = h \in H$ for all $g \in G$ and all h $\in H$.

Example The map $i_g: G \rightarrow G$ defined by $i_g(x) = gxg^{-1}$ is a homomorphism of G into itself.

 $i_{g} (xy) = gxyg^{-1}$ $= (gxg^{-1})(gyg^{-1})$ $= i_{g}(x)i_{g}(y)$

We see that $i_g(x)=i_g(y)$ \Rightarrow gxg⁻¹ = gyg⁻¹ \Rightarrow x = y, so i_g is injective. Since for any x in G $i_{g}(g^{-1}xg) = g(g^{-1}xg)g^{-1} = x,$ we see that i_g is onto G, so it is an isomorphism of G with itself.

Inner Automorphisms

Inner Automorphisms

Definition

An isomorphism $\phi: G \rightarrow G$ of a group G with itself is an **automorphism** of G. The automorphism

i_g: G→G, where i_g(x)=gxg⁻¹ for all x ∈ G, is the **inner automorphism of** G by g, denoted by Inn (G). Performing i_g on x is called **conjugation of** x by g.

Inner Automorphisms

Theorem

The following are three equivalent conditions for a subgroup H of a group G to be a normal subgroup of G.

1. $ghg^{-1} \in H$ for all $g \in G$ and $h \in H$.

2. $gHg^{-1}=H$ for all $g\in G$. The equivalence of conditions (2) and (3) shows that gH=Hg for all $g \in G$ if and only if $i_g[H]=H$ for all $g \in G$, that is, if and only if H is **invariant** under all inner automorphisms of G. It is important to realize that $i_g[H] = H$ is an equation in sets; we need not have $i_g(h) = h$ for all $h \in H$.

That is i_g may perform a nontrivial permutation of the set H.

We see that the normal subgroups of a group G are precisely those that are invariant under all inner automorphisms.

A subgroup K of G is a **conjugate subgroup** of H if $K = i_g[H]$ for some $g \in G$.

Inner Automorphisms

Inner Automorphisms

Lemma

The set of all inner automorphisms of G is a subgroup of Aut(G).

Inner Automorphisms

Proof

(1) Let $i_a, i_b \in Inn$ (G). Then $i_a(i_b(x)) = a(i_b(x))a^{-1} = abxb^{-1}a^{-1}$ $=abx(ab)^{-1}=i_{ab} \in Inn (G).$ Hence the conjugation by b composed by conjugation by a is conjugation by ab. (2) The inverse of i_a is conjugation by $a'=a^{-1}$. $i_a((i_{a'})(x))=i_a(a'x(a')^{-1})=aa'xa'^{-1}a^{-1}=aa'x(aa')^{-1}=x.$ Thus Inn (G) is a subgroup.

Example on Automorphism

Inner Automorphisms

Example Prove that $Aut(\mathbb{Z}_n) \cong U_n$.
Inner Automorphisms

Solution

An automorphism $\phi: \mathbb{Z}_n \to \mathbb{Z}_n$ is determined by $\phi(1)$ as for any integer k,

 $\phi(k)=\phi(1+...+1)=\phi(1)+...+\phi(1)=k\phi(1).$

Since isomorphisms preserve order, $\phi(1)$ must be a generator of \mathbb{Z}_n .

We have proved that the generators of \mathbb{Z}_n are those integers $k \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ for which gcd(k, n) = 1. But these k are precisely the elements of $U_n = \{1, \omega, ..., \omega^{n-1} \mid \omega = e^{2\pi i/n}\}.$

- In this way, each element a of U_n gives a distinct automorphism ϕ_a which is multiplication by a, and these are all the automorphisms of \mathbb{Z}_n .
- Furthermore, μ : Aut(\mathbb{Z}_n) \rightarrow U_n given by (ϕ_a) =a is a group isomorphism.
- $(\phi_{ab})=ab=(\phi_a)(\phi_b)$
- $(\phi_a)=(\phi_b)\Rightarrow a=b$

■ (**φ**_a)=a

Group Theory

Theorem on Factor Group

Theorem on Factor Group

Theorem

A factor group of a cyclic group is cyclic.

Theorem on Factor Group

Proof

Let G be cyclic with generator a, and let N be a normal subgroup of G. We claim the coset aN generates G / N. We must compute all powers of aN. But this amounts to computing, in G, all powers of the representative a and all these powers give all elements in G. Hence the powers of aN certainly give all cosets of N and G / N is cyclic.

Group Theory

Example on Factor Group

Example on Factor Group

Example

Let us compute the factor group

 $(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_6)/((0, 2)).$ Now (0, 2) generates the subgroup H={(0,0), (0, 2),(0,4)} of $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_6$ of order 3.

Here the first factor \mathbb{Z}_{A} of $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_6$ is left alone. The \mathbb{Z}_6 factor, on the other hand, is essentially collapsed by a subgroup of order 3, giving a factor group in the second factor of order 2 that must be isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_2 . Thus $(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_6)/((0, 2))$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Group Theory

Factor Group Computations

Let N be a normal subgroup of G. In the factor group G / N, the subgroup N acts as identity element. We may regard N as being collapsed to a single element, either to 0 in additive notation or to e in multiplicative notation.

This collapsing of N together with the algebraic structure of G require that other subsets of G, namely, the cosets of N, also collapse into a single element in the factor group. A visualization of this collapsing is provided by Figure.



Recall that y: $G \rightarrow G/N$ defined by y(a)=aN for

a \in G is a homomorphism of G onto G / N. We can view the "line" G / N at the bottom of the figure as obtained by collapsing to a point each coset of N in another copy of G. Each point of G / N thus corresponds to a whole vertical line segment in the shaded portion, representing a coset of N in G. It is crucial to remember that multiplication of cosets in G / N can be computed by multiplying in G, using any representative elements of the cosets.

Group Theory



Factor Group Computations

Additively, two elements of G will collapse into the same element of G/N if they differ by an element of N. Multiplicatively, a and b collapse together if ab⁻¹ is in N. The degree of collapsing can vary from nonexistent to catastrophic. We illustrate the two extreme cases by examples.

Example The trivial subgroup N = {0} of is, of course, a normal subgroup. Compute /{0}.

Solution

Since N={0} has only one element, every coset of N has only one element. That is, the cosets are of the form {m} for m. There is no collapsing at all, and consequently, $/\{0\}$. Each m is simply renamed $\{m\}$ in $/\{0\}$.

Example

Let n be a positive integer. The set n = {nr|r } is a subgroup of under addition, and it is normal since is abelian.

Compute /n.

Solution

Actually n=, because each x is of the form n(x/n) and x/n. Thus /n has only one element, the subgroup n. The factor group is a trivial group consisting only of the identity element.

Group Theory

Factor Group Computations

As illustrated in above Examples for any group G, we have $G/\{e\}G$ and G/G{e}, where {e} is the trivial group consisting only of the identity element e. These two extremes of factor groups are of little importance.

We would like knowledge of a factor group G/N to give some information about the structure of G. If N={e}, the factor group has the same structure as G and we might as well have tried to study G directly.

If N = G, the factor group has no significant structure to supply information about G.

If G is a finite group and N \neq {e} is a normal subgroup of G, then G/N is a smaller group than G, and consequently may have a more simple structure than G.

The multiplication of cosets in G/N reflects the multiplication in G, since products of cosets can be computed by multiplying in G representative elements of the cosets.

In next module, we give example showing that even when G/N has order 2, we may be able to deduce some useful results.

If G is a finite group and G/N has just two elements, then we must have |G|=2|N|.

Group Theory

Factor Group Computations

Note that every subgroup H containing just half the elements of a finite group G must be a normal subgroup, since for each element a in G but not in H, both the left coset aH and the right coset Ha must consist of all elements in G that are not in H.

Thus the left and right cosets of H coincide and H is a normal subgroup of G.

Example

Because $|S_n| = 2|A_n|$, we see that A_n is a normal subgroup of S_n, and S_n/A_n has order 2. Let be an odd permutation in S_n, so that $S_n/A_n = \{A_n, A_n\}.$

Renaming the element A_n "even" and the element A_n "odd," the multiplication in S_n/A_n shown in Table becomes

(even)(even)=even, (even)(odd)=odd, (odd) (even)=odd, (odd)(odd)=even.

Thus the factor group reflects these multiplicative properties for all the permutations

in S_n.

	A _n	A _n
A _n	A _n	A _n
A _n	A _n	A _n

Above example illustrates that while knowing the product of two cosets in G/N does not tell us what the product of two elements of G is, it may tell us that the product in G of two types of elements is itself of a certain type.

Group Theory



Factor Group Computations

The theorem of Lagrange states if H is a subgroup of a finite group G, then the order of H divides the order of G.

We show that it is false that if d divides the order of G, then there must exist a subgroup H of G having order d.

Example

We show that A_4 , which has order 12, contains no subgroup of order 6. Suppose that H were a subgroup of A_A having order 6. As observed before in previous example, it would follow that H would be a normal subgroup of A_{4} .

Then A₄/H would have only two elements, H and H for some A₄ not in H. Since in a group of order 2, the square of each element is the identity, we would have HH=H and (H)(H)=H. Now computation in a factor group can be achieved by computing with representatives in the original group. Thus, computing in A_4 , we find that for each αH we must have $\alpha^2 H$ and for each βH we must have $\beta^2 H$. That is, the square of every element in A_4 must be in H.
Factor Group Computations

But in A₄, we have $(1, 2, 3) = (1, 3, 2)^2$ and $(1, 3, 2) = (1, 2, 3)^2$ so (1, 2, 3) and (1, 3, 2) are in H. A similar computation shows that (1, 2, 4), (1, 4, 2), (1, 3, 4), (1, 4, 3), (2, 3, 4), and (2, 4, 3)are all in H.

This shows that there must be at least 8 elements in H, contradicting the fact that H was supposed to have order 6.

Factor Group Computations

We now turn to several examples that compute factor groups. If the group we start with is finitely generated and abelian, then its factor group will be also. Computing such a factor group means classifying it according to the fundamental theorem of finitely generated abelian groups.

Factor Group Computations

Example

Let us compute the factor group $(_4x_6)$ / Here is the cyclic subgroup H of $_4x_6$ generated by (0, 1). Thus H = {(0, 0), (0, 1), (0. 2), (0, 3), (0, 4), (0, 5)}.

Since $_4x_6$ has 24 elements and H has 6 elements, all cosets of H must have 6 elements, and $(_4x_6)/H$ must have order 4. Since $_4x_6$ is abelian, so is $(_4x_6)/H$. Remember, we compute in a factor group by means of representatives from the original group. In additive notation, the cosets are H=(0, 0)+H, (1,0)+H, (2, 0)+H, (3, 0)+H.

Since we can compute by choosing the representatives (0, 0), (1, 0), (2, 0), and (3, 0), it is clear that $(_4x_6)/H$ is isomorphic to $_4$. Note that this is what we would expect, since in a factor group modulo H, everything in H becomes the identity element; that is, we are essentially setting everything in H equal to zero. Thus the whole second factor $_{6}$ of $_{4}x_{6}$ is collapsed, leaving just the first factor ₄.

Factor Group Computations

The last example is a special case of a general theorem that we now state and prove. We should acquire an intuitive feeling for this theorem in terms of collapsing one of the factors to the identity element.

Factor Group Computations

Theorem

Let G = H x K be the direct product of groups H and K. Then ={(h, e) | h H} is a normal subgroup of G. Also G/ is isomorphic to K in a natural way. Similarly, G / H in a natural way.

Factor Group Computations

Proof

Consider the map $_2$: H x K K given by $_2(h, k) = k$. The map $_2$ is homomorphism since $_2(h_1h_2,k_1k_2)=k_1k_2=_2(h_1,k_1)_2(h_2,k_2)$. Because Ker($_2$) = , we see that is a normal subgroup of H x K. Because $_2$ is onto K, Fundamental Theorem of Homomorphism tells us that (H x K)/ K.

Factor Group Computations

Factor Group Computations

Example

Let us compute the factor group $(_4 \times _6)$ / Be careful! There is a great temptation to say that we are setting the 2 of $_{4}$ and the 3 of $_{6}$ both equal to zero, so that ₄ is collapsed to a factor group isomorphic to , and , to one isomorphic to 3, giving a total factor group isomorphic to $_{2} x_{3}$. This is wrong! Note that $H = \{(0, 0), (2, 3)\}$ is of order 2, so $(_{4} x)$ $_{\prime}$)/has order 12, not 6.

Setting (2, 3) equal to zero does not make (2, 0) and (0, 3) equal to zero individually, so the factors do not collapse separately.

The possible abelian groups of order 12 are

 $_4$ x $_3$ and $_2$ x $_2$ x $_3$, and we must decide to which one our factor group is isomorphic. These two groups are most easily distinguished in that $_4$ x $_3$ has an element of order 4, and

 $_{2}$ x $_{2}$ x $_{3}$ does not.

We claim that the coset (1, 0) + H is of order 4 in the factor group $(_4 \times _6)/H$.

To find the smallest power of a coset giving the identity in a factor group modulo H, we must, by choosing representatives, find the smallest power of a representative that is in the subgroup H. Now, 4(1,0)=(1, 0)+(1,0)+(1,0)+(1,0)=(0,0) is the first time that (1,0) added to itself gives an element of H. Thus ($_4 \times _6$)/ has an element of order 4 and is isomorphic to $_4 \times _3$ or $_{12}$.

Factor Group Computations

Factor Group Computations

Example

Let us compute (that is, classify as in Fundamental Theorem of Abelian Groups the group (x)/. We may visualize x as the points in the plane with both coordinates integers, as indicated by the dots in Fig. below. The subgroup consists of those points that lie on the

45° line through the origin, indicated in the figure. The coset (1, 0) + consists of those dots on the 45° line through the point (1, 0), also shown in the figure.

Factor Group Computations



Continuing, we see that each coset consists of those dots lying on one of the 45° lines in the figure. We may choose the representatives \cdots , (-3,0), (-2,0), (-1,0), (0,0), (1,0), (2,0), (3,0), \cdots of these cosets to compute in the factor group.

Since these representatives correspond precisely to the points of on the x-axis, we see that the factor group (x) /is isomorphic to .



One feature of a factor group is that it gives crude information about the structure of the whole group.

Of course, sometimes there may be no nontrivial proper normal subgroups.

For example, Lagrange's Theorem shows that a group of prime order can have no nontrivial proper subgroups of any sort.

Definition

A group is **simple** if it is nontrivial and has no proper nontrivial normal subgroups.

Example

The cyclic group G=/5 of congruence classes modulo 5 is simple.

If H is a subgroup of this group, its order must be a divisor of the order of G which is 5.

Since 5 is prime, its only divisors are 1 and 5, so either H is G, or H is the trivial group.

Simple Groups

Example The cyclic group G=/p of congruence classes modulo p is simple, where p is a prime number.

Example

On the other hand, the group G = /12 is not simple.

The set H={0, 4, 8} of congruence classes of 0, 4, and 8 modulo 12 is a subgroup of order 3, and it is a normal subgroup since any subgroup of an abelian group is normal.

Example The additive group of integers is not simple; the set of even integers 2 is a non-trivial proper normal subgroup.

Theorem The alternating group A_n is simple for n5.

Simple Groups

Theorem

Let : G G' be a group homomorphism. If N is a normal subgroup of G, then [N] is a normal subgroup of [G]. Also, if N' is a normal subgroup of [G], then ⁻¹[N'] is a normal subgroup of G.

Proof

Let : G G' be a group homomorphism. If N is a normal subgroup of G, then gng^{-1} for all gG and nN. It implies that $(gng^{-1})=$ $(n)^{-1}$. Therefore, [N] is a normal subgroup of [G].

Proof

Also, if N' is a normal subgroup of [G], then ⁻¹ N' for every

Ν'.

By definition, there exist

Hence ⁻¹[N'] is a normal subgroup of G.

Simple Groups

The last Theorem should be viewed as saying that a homomorphism : G G' preserves normal subgroups between G and [G]. It is important to note

It is important to note that [N] may not be normal in G', even though N is normal in G.

Example

For example, $:_{2} S_{3}$, where

(0) = $_0$ and (1) = μ_1 is a homomorphism, and $_2$ is a normal subgroup of itself, but { $_0$, μ_1 } is not a normal subgroup of S₃.

(13)(23)=(213)

(2 3)(1 3)=(1 2 3)





Maximal Normal Subgroups

Maximal Normal Subgroups

We characterize when G/N is a simple group. Definition A maximal normal subgroup of a group G is a normal subgroup M not equal to G such that there is no proper normal subgroup N of G properly containing M.

Maximal Normal Subgroups

Theorem

M is a maximal normal subgroup of G if and only if G / M is simple.
Maximal Normal Subgroups

Proof

Let M be a maximal normal subgroup of G. Consider the canonical homomorphism

y: GG/M. Now y⁻¹ of any nontrivial proper normal subgroup of G/M is a proper normal subgroup of G properly containing M. But M is maximal, so this can not happen. Thus G/M is simple.

Conversely, if N is a normal subgroup of G properly containing M, then y[N] is normal in G/M. If also NG, then y[N]G/M and y[N] {M}. Thus, if G/M is simple so that no such y[N] can exist, no such N can exist, and M is maximal.

Group Theory



The Center Subgroup

Definition The center Z(G) is defined by Z(G)={z G | zg=gz for all g G}.

Exercise

Show that Z(G) is a normal and an abelian subgroup of G.

Solution For each g G and zZ(G) we have gzg⁻¹=zgg⁻¹=ze=z, we see at once that Z(G) is a normal subgroup of G. It implies that gz=zg for g G and zZ(G).

If G is abelian, then Z(G) = G; in this case, the center is not useful.

Group Theory

Example on Center Subgroup



(132)

$Z(S_3) = \{_0\}$, so the center of S_3 is trivial.

Group Theory

Example on Center Subgroup

The center of a group G always contains the identity element e. It may be that Z(G)={e}, in which case we say that **the center of** G is **trivial**.

Example

 $S_{3} x = \{(,0), (,1), (,2), (,3), (,4), (,0), (,1), (,2), (,3), (,4), (,0), (,1), (,2), (,3), (,4), (,0), (,1), (,2), (,3), (,4), (,0), (,1), (,2), (,3), (,4), (,0), (,1), (,2), (,3), (,4)\}$

The center of $S_3 x$ must be $\{_0\} x$, which is isomorphic to.

Group Theory

The Commutator Subgroup

Every nonabelian group G has two important normal subgroups, the center Z(G) of G and the commutator subgroup C of G.

Turning to the commutator subgroup, recall that in forming a factor group of G modulo a normal subgroup N, we are essentially putting every element in G that is in N equal to e, for N forms our new identity in the factor group. This indicates another use for factor groups.

Suppose, for example, that we are studying the structure of a nonabelian group G.

Since Fundamental Theorem of Abelian Groups gives complete information about the structure of all sufficiently small abelian groups, it might be of interest to try to form an abelian group as much like G as possible, an abelianized version of G, by starting with G and then requiring that ab=ba for all a and b in our new group structure. To require that ab=ba is to say that aba⁻¹b⁻¹=e in our new group.

An element aba⁻¹b⁻¹ in a group is a **commutator** of the group.

Thus we wish to attempt to form an abelianized version of G by replacing every commutator of G by e.

We should then attempt to form the factor group of G modulo the smallest normal subgroup we can find that contains all commutators of G.

Theorem Let G be a group. The set of all commutators aba⁻¹b⁻¹ for a, b G generates a subgroup C of G.

Proof Let a, b G. Then, $(aba^{-1}b^{-1})(aba^{-1}b^{-1})^{-1}$ $=aba^{-1}b^{-1}bab^{-1}a^{-1}$ =e Csince $e = eee^{-1}e^{-1}$ is a commutator.

Definition The set of all commutators $aba^{-1}b^{-1}$ for a, b G generates a subgroup C of G is called the **commutator subgroup**.

Group Theory

Lectures 140 To 143 Regards: Virtual Alerts (UTUB)

Generating Sets

Let G be a group, and let a G. We have described the cyclic subgroup <a> of G, which is the smallest subgroup of G that contains the element a.

Suppose we want to find as small a subgroup as possible that contains both a and b for another element b in G.

We see that any subgroup containing a and b must contain aⁿ and b^m for all m, n, and consequently must contain all finite products of such powers of a and b.

For example, such an expression might be $a^2b^4a^{-3}b^2a^5$.

Note that we cannot "simplify" this expression by writing first all powers of a followed by the powers of b, since G may not be abelian. However, products of such expressions are again expressions of the same type.

Furthermore, $e = a^{\circ}$ and the inverse of such an expression is again of the same type.

For example, the inverse of $a^2b^4a^{-3}b^2a^5$ is $a^{-5}b^{-2}a^3b^{-4}a^{-2}$.

This shows that all such products of integral powers of a and b form a subgroup of G, which surely must be the smallest subgroup containing both a and b. We call a and b generators of this subgroup.

If this subgroup should be all of G, then we say that {a, b} generates G.

We could have made similar arguments for three, four, or any number of elements of G, as long as we take only finite products of their integral powers.

Example

The Klein 4-group V = {e, a, b, c} is generated by {a,b} since ab=c.

It is also generated by {a,c}, {b,c}, and {a,b,c}.

If a group G is generated by a subset S, then every subset of G containing S generates G.

Group Theory

Generating Sets

Example

The group $_6$ is generated by {1} and {5}. It is also generated by {2,3} since 2+3=5, so that any subgroup containing 2 and 3 must contain 5 and must therefore be $_6$.

It is also generated by $\{3,4\}, \{2,3,4\}, \{1,3\}, \text{ and } \{3,5\}.$ But it is not generated by $\{2, 4\}$ since $<2> = \{0, 2, 4\}$ contains 2 and 4.

We have given an intuitive explanation of the subgroup of a group G generated by a subset of G.

What follows is a detailed exposition of the same idea approached in another way, namely via intersections of subgroups.

Definition

- Let $\{S_i | i \}$ be a collection of sets. Here I may be any set of indices.
- The intersection of the sets S_i is the set of all elements that are in all the sets S_i ; that is,
- = $\{x \mid x S_i \text{ for all } i \}$.
- If I is finite, I= {1, 2,...,n}, we may denoteby

Group Theory

Generating Sets

Theorem

The intersection of some subgroups H_i of a group G for i I is again a subgroup of G.

Proof

Let us show closure. Let a and

b, so that a H_i for all i I and

b H_i for all i I. Then ab H_i for all i I, since H_i is a group. Thus ab .

Since H_i is a subgroup for all i I, we have $e H_i$ for all i I, and hence e.

Finally, for a , we have a H_i for all i I, so $a^{-1} H_i$ for all i I, which implies that

a⁻¹ .
Let G be a group and let a_i G for i I.

- There is at least one subgroup of G containing all the elements a_i for i I, namely G is itself.
- The above theorem assures us that if we take the intersection of all subgroups of G containing all a_i for i I, we will obtain a subgroup H of G.
- This subgroup H is the smallest subgroup of G containing all the a_i for i I.

Generating Sets

Definition

Let G be a group and let a_i G for i I. The smallest subgroup of G containing $\{a_i | i \}$ is the subgroup generated by $\{a_i | i \}$. If this subgroup is all of G, then $\{a_i | i \}$ generates G and the a_i are generators of G.

Definition If there is a finite set $\{a_i | i l\}$ that generates G, then G is finitely generated.

Note that this definition is consistent with our previous definition of a generator for a cyclic group.

Note also that the statement a is a generator of G may mean either that G = <a> or that a is a member of a subset of G that generates G.

Our next theorem gives the structural insight into the subgroup of G generated by $\{a_i \mid i \}$ that we discussed for two generators in the beginning of these modules.

Theorem

If G is a group and a_i G for i I, then the subgroup H of G generated by { a_i | i I} has as elements precisely those elements of G that are finite products of integral powers of the a_i, where powers of a fixed a, may occur several times in the product.

Proof

Let K denote the set of all finite products of integral powers of the a_i. Then KH.

We need only observe that K is a subgroup and then, since H is the smallest subgroup containing a_i for i I, we will be done.

Observe that a product of elements in K is again in K. Since $(a_i)^0=e$, we have e K.

For every element k in K, if we form from the product giving k a new product with the order of the a, reversed and the opposite sign on all exponents, we have k⁻¹ which is thus in K.



The Commutator Subgroup

Theorem

Let G be a group. Then, the commutator subgroup C of G is a normal subgroup of G.

Proof

We must show that C is normal in G. The last theorem then shows that C consists precisely of all finite products of commutators. For x C, we must show that g⁻¹xg C for all g G, or that if x is a product of commutators, so is $g^{-1}xg$ for all g G.

By inserting $e = gg^{-1}$ between each product of commutators occurring in x, we see that it is sufficient to show for each commutator $cdc^{-1}d^{-1}$ that $g^{-1}(cdc^{-1}d^{-1})g$ is in C.

- But $g^{-1}(cdc^{-1}d^{-1})g = (g^{-1}cdc^{-1})(e)(d^{-1}g)$
- $= (g^{-1}cdc^{-1})(gd^{-1}dg^{-1})(d^{-1}g)$
- = $[(g^{-1}c)d(g^{-1}c)^{-1}d^{-1}][dg^{-1}d^{-1}g]$, which is in C.

Thus C is normal in G.

The Commutator Subgroup

Theorem

If N is a normal subgroup of G, then G/N is abelian if and only if CN.

Proof

If N is a normal subgroup of G and G/N is abelian, then

(a⁻¹N)(b⁻¹N)=(b⁻¹N)(a⁻¹N); that is, aba⁻¹b⁻¹N=N, so aba⁻¹b⁻¹ N, and C N.

Finally, if C N, then (aN)(bN)=abN $=ab(b^{-1}a^{-1}ba)N$ $= (abb^{-1}a^{-1})baN$ = baN= (bN)(aN).

The Commutator Subgroup

Example

For the group S₃, we find that one commutator is $_{11}$ $_{1}^{-1}_{1}^{-1} = _{11}_{21}^{-1} = _{2}^{-1}$ (12)(13)=(132) We similarly find that

 $_{21\ 2}^{-1}_{1}^{-1} = _{21\ 11} = _{1}$ (13)(12)=(123)

Thus the commutator subgroup C of S_3 contains A_3 . Since A_3 is a normal subgroup of S_3 and S_3/A_3 is abelian, above theorem shows that

 $C=A_3$.



Automorphisms

Recall that an automorphism of a group G is an isomorphism of G onto G. The set of all automorphisms of G is denoted by Aut(G).

We have seen that every g G determines an automorphism ig of G (called an inner automorphism) given by $i_{g}(x) = gxg^{-1}$. The set of all inner automorphisms of G is denoted by Inn(G).

Theorem The set Aut(G) of all automorphisms of a group G is a group under composition of mappings, and Inn(G) Aut(G). Moreover, G/Z(G)Inn(G).

Proof

Clearly, Aut(G) is nonempty. Let Aut(G). Then for all x, y G, (xy)=(((x) (y)) = ((x))((y)).

Hence, Aut(G). Again, (x)y))=

(y)=xy.

Hence x)y)= (xy). Therefore, Aut(G). This proves that Aut(G) is a subgroup of the symmetric group S_G and, hence, is itself a group.

Automorphisms

Theorem The set Aut(G) of all automorphisms of a group G is a group under composition of mappings, and Inn(G) Aut(G). Moreover, G/Z(G)Inn(G).

Consider the mapping (a)= i_a =axa⁻¹ for all x G. For any a, b G, $i_{ab}(x) =$ $abx(ab)^{-1} = a(bxb^{-1})a^{-1} = i_a i_b(x)$ for all x G. Hence, is a homomorphism, and, therefore, lnn(G)=Im is a subgroup of Aut(G).

Further, i_a is the identity automorphism if and only if axa⁻¹= x for all x G. Hence, Ker = Z(G), and by the fundamental theorem of homomorphisms G/Z(G)Inn(G).

Finally, for any Aut(G),

- $_{a}^{-1}$)(x) = (a(x)a^{-1})
- = (a)x (a)⁻¹
- = $i_{(a)}(x)$; hence $a^{-1}=i_{(a)}$ Inn(G). Therefore, Inn(G) Aut(G).

It follows from above theorem that if the center of a group G is trivial, then G Inn(G). A group G is said to be complete if Z(G) = {e} and every automorphism of G is an inner automorphism; that is, G Inn(G)=Aut(G).

When considering the possible automorphisms of a group G, it is useful to remember that, for any x G, x and (x) must be of the same order.

Examples on Automorphisms

Example

The symmetric group S₃ has a trivial center {e}. Hence, $Inn(S_3) S_3$. We have seen that $S_3 = \{e,a,a^2,b,ab,a^2b\}$ with the defining relations $a^{3} = e^{2}b^{2}$, $ba = a^{2}b$. The elements a and a² are of order 3, and b, ab, and $a^{2}b$ are all of order 2.

Hence, for any $Aut(S_3)$, (a)= a or a², (b)= b, ab, or a²b. Moreover, when (a) and (b) are fixed, (x) is known for every x S₃. Hence, is completely determined.

Thus, there cannot be more than six automorphisms of S_3 . Hence Aut $(S_3)=Inn(S_3)$. Therefore, S_3 is a complete group.

Examples on Automorphisms

Example Let G be a finite abelian group of order n, and let m be a positive integer relative prime to n. Then the mapping : $x x^m$ is an automorphism of G.

Solution (m,n) = 1 there exist integers u and v such that $mu + nv = 1 \times G$, $x^{mu+nv} = x^{mu}x^{nv} = x^{um}$ since o(G)=n. Now for all x G, $x=(x^{u})^{m}$ implies that $x^{m}=e x = e$, showing that is 1-1.
That is a homomorphism follows from the fact that G is abelian. Hence, is an automorphism of G.

Group Theory

Examples on Automorphisms

Example A finite group G having more than two elements and with the condition that x² e for some x G must have a nontrivial automorphism.

When G is abelian, then : $x x^{-1}$ is an automorphism, and, clearly, is not an identity automorphism. When G is not abelian, there exists a nontrivial inner automorphism.

Example Let $G = \langle a | a^n = e \rangle$ be a finite cyclic group of order n. Then the mapping : a a^m is an automorphism of G iff (m,n) = 1.

Solution If (m,n) = 1, then it has been shown in Example of last module that is an automorphism. So let us assume now that is an automorphism. Then the order of (a) = a^m is the same as that of a, which is n.

Further, if (m,n)=d, then $(a^m)^{n/d}=(a^n)^{m/d}=e$. Thus, the order of a^m divides n/d; that is, n|n/d. Hence, d = 1, and the solution is complete.

Group Theory



Group Action on a Set

We define a binary operation * on a set S to be a function mapping SxS into S. The function * gives us a rule for "multiplying" an element s_1 in S and an element s_2 in S to yield an element s₁ * s_2 in S.

More generally, for any sets A, B, and C, we can view a map *: A x BC as defining a "multiplication," where any element a of A times any element b of B has as value some element c of C. Of course, we write a* b = c, or simply ab = c.

In these modules, we will be concerned with the case where X is a set, G is a group, and we have a map *: G x X X. We shall write *(g, x) as g * x or gx.

Definition

Let X be a set and G a group. An **action of G on** X is a map *: G x X X such that

1. ex = x for all x X,

2. $(g_1g_2)(x) = g_1(g_2x)$ for all x X and all g_1, g_2 G. Under these conditions,

X is a G-set.

Example

Let X be any set, and let H be a subgroup of the group S_x of all permutations of X. Then X is an H-set, where the action of н on X is its action as an element of S_x , so that x = (x) for all x X.

Group Theory

Group Action on a Set

Condition 2 is a consequence of the definition of permutation multiplication as function composition, and Condition 1 is immediate from the definition of the identity permutation as the identity function. Note that, in particular,

 $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ is an S_n set.

Our next theorem will show that for every G-set X and each g G, the map : XX defined by = gx is a permutation of X, and that there is a homomorphism : GS, such that the action of G on X is essentially the above Example action of the image subgroup H =[G] of S, on X.

So actions of subgroups of S_x on X describe all possible group actions on X. When studying the set X, actions using subgroups of S_v suffice. However, sometimes a set X is used to study G via a group action of G on X. Thus we need the more general concept given by above Definition.

Theorem

Let X be a G-set. For each g G, the function : XX defined by (x) = gx for xX is a permutation of X. Also, the map : G S_x defined by (g) = is ahomomorphism with the property that (g)(x) = gx.

Proof

To show that is a permutation of X, we must show that it is a one-to-one map of X onto itself. Suppose that $(x_1) = (x_2)$ for $x_1, x_2 X$. Then $gx_1 = gx_2$ Consequently, $g^{-1}(gx_1) = g^{-1}(gx_2)$. Using Condition 2 in Definition, we see that $(g^{-1}g)x_1 = (g^{-1}g)x_2$, so $ex_1 =$ ex₂. Condition 1 of the definition then yields $x_1 = x_2$, so is one to one. The two conditions of the definition show that for x X, we have $(g^{-1}x) = g(g^{-1})x$ $= (gg^{-1})x = ex = x$, so maps X onto X. Thus is indeed a permutation.

Group Theory

Group Action on a Set

Theorem

Let X be a G-set. For each g G, the function : XX defined by (x) = gx for xX is a permutation of X. Also, the map : G S_x defined by (g) = is ahomomorphism with the property that (g)(x) = gx. To show that : GS_x defined by (g) = is ahomomorphism, we must show that $(g_1g_2) = (g_1) (g_2)$ for all g_1, g_2 G. We show the equality of these two permutations in S_x by showing they both carry an x X into the same element. Using the two conditions in above Definition and the rule for function composition, we obtain

 $(g_1g_2)(x) = (x) = (g_1g_2)x = g_1(g_2x) = g_1(x) = ((x))=()(x)$ =()(x)= ((g_1)(g_2))(x).

Thus is a homomorphism. The stated property of follows at once since by our definitions, we have (g)(x) = (x) = gx.

Group Theory



Group Action on a Set

Definition

Let X be a set and G a group. An **action of G on** X is a map *: G x X X such that

1. ex = x for all x X,

2. $g_1(g_2x) = (g_1g_2)(x)$ for all

x X and all g_1, g_2 G. Under these conditions,

X is a G-set.

Example

Let G be the additive group, and X be the set of complex numbers z such that |z| = 1. Then X is a G-set under the action *c = , where and c X. Here the action of is the rotation through an angle = radians, anticlockwise.



Example Let $G=S_5$, and $X=\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5\}$ be a set of beads forming a circular ring. Then X is a G-set under the action $G^*x_i=, gS_5$.

Example

Let $G=D_4$ and X be the vertices 1, 2, 3, 4 of a square. X is a G-set under the action $g * i = g(i), g D_4,$ $i \{1, 2, 3, 4\}.$

Example Let G be a group. Define $a^*x = ax, a G, x G.$ Then, clearly, the set G is a G-set. This action of the group G on itself is called translation.

Group Theory

Group Action on a Set

Example Let G be a group. Define $a^*x = axa^{-1}, aG, xG.$ We show that G is a G-set. Let a, b G. Then $(ab)^*x=(ab)x(ab)^{-1}$ $= a(bxb^{-1})a^{-1}=a(b^*x)a^{-1}$ $=a^{*}(b^{*}x).$ Also, $e^*x=x$.

This proves G is a G-set. This action of the group G on itself is called conjugation.

Example

Let G be a group and H<G. Then the set G/H of left cosets can be made into a G-set defining a*xH=axH, aG, xHG/H.

Example

Let G be a group and HG. Then the set G/H of left cosets is a G-set if we define a*xH=axa⁻¹H, aG, xHG/H.

To see this, let a, bG and xHG/H. Then (ab)*xH=abxb⁻¹a⁻¹H =a*bxb⁻¹H =a*(b*xH). Also, e*xH=xH. Hence, G/H is a G-set.

Group Theory

Group Action on a Set
Group Action on a Set

Theorem Let G be a group and let X be a set. (i) If X is a G-set, then the action of G on X induces a homomorphism $:GS_{x}$. (ii) Any homomorphism :GS_x induces an action of G onto X.

Group Action on a Set

Proof

(i) We define :GS_x by ((a))(x)=ax, aG, xX. Clearly (a)S_x, aG. Let a, bG. Then ((ab))(x)=(ab)x=a(bx)=a(((b))(x)) = ((a))(((b))(x))=((a))(b))x for all xX. Hence, (ab) = (a) (b). (ii) Define $a^*x=((a))(x)$; that is, ax=((a))(x). Then (ab)x = ((ab))(x)=((a)(b))(x)=(a)((b)(x))=(a)(bx)=a(bx).Also, ex=((e))(x)=x. Hence, X is a G-set.



Definition

Let G be a group acting on a set X, and let x X. Then the set $G_x = \{g G \mid gx = x\},\$ which can be shown to be a subgroup, is called the stabilizer (or isotropy) group of x in G.

Example

- Let G be a group. Define $a^*x = axa^{-1}$, aG, xG.
- This action of the group G on itself is called conjugation.
- Then, for x G, $G_x = \{aG | axa^{-1}=x\}=N(x)$, the normalizer of x in G.
- Thus, in this case the stabilizer of any element x in G is the normalizer of x in G.

Example

- Let G be a group and H<G. We define action of G on the set G/H of left cosets by
- a*xH=axH, aG, xHG/H.
- Here the stabilizer of a left coset xH is the subgroup {gG | gxH=xH} = {gG | x⁻¹gxH}
- $= \{gG \mid gxHx^{-1}\} = xHx^{-1}$



TheoremLet X be a G-set.Then G_x is a subgroupof G for each x X.

Proof

Let x X and let g_1 , g_2G_x . Then $g_1x=x$ and $g_2x=x$. Consequently, $(g_1g_2)x=g_1(g_2x)=g_1x=x$, so $g_1g_2G_x$, and G_x is closed under the induced operation of G.

Of course ex=x, so eG_x .

If gG_x , then gx = x, so $x=ex=(g^{-1}g)x=g^{-1}(gx)=g^{-1}x$, and consequently $g^{-1}G_x$.

Thus G_x is a subgroup of G.



Theorem

Let X be a G-set. For x_1 , x_2X , let x_1x_2 if and only if there exists gG such that $gx_1=x_2$. Then is an equivalence relation on X.

Proof

For each xX, we have ex=x, so xx and is reflexive.

Suppose $x_1 x_2$, so $gx_1 = x_2$ for some gG. Then

 $g^{-1}x_2 = g^{-1}(gx_1) = (g^{-1}g)x_1 = ex_1 = x_1$, so x_2x_1 , and is symmetric.

Finally, if x_1x_2 and x_2x_3 , then $g_1x_1=x_2$ and $g_2x_2=x_3$ for some g_1 , g_2G . Then $(g_2g_1)x_1=g_2(g_1x_1)=g_2x_2=x_3$, so x_1x_3 and is transitive.

Definition Let G be a group acting on a set X, and let x X. Then the set $Gx = \{ax \mid a \ G\}$ is called the orbit of x in G.

Example Let G be a group. Define $a^*x = ax, a G, x G.$ The orbit of xG is $Gx=\{ax | a G\}=G.$

Example Let G be a group. Define $a^*x = axa^{-1}$, aG, xG. The orbit of xG is $Gx = \{axa^{-1} | aG\}, called$ the conjugate class of x and denoted by C(x).

Conjugacy and G-Sets

Conjugacy and G-Sets

Theorem

Let X be a G-set and let xX. Then $|Gx| = (G:G_x)$.

If |G| is finite, then |Gx| is a divisor of |G|. If X is a finite set, |X|=,

where C is a subset of X containing exactly one element from each orbit.

Conjugacy and G-Sets

Proof

We define a one-to-one map from Gx onto the collection of left cosets of G_x in G.

Let x_1Gx . Then there exists g_1G such that $g_1x=x_1$. We define (x_1) to be the left coset g_1G_x of G_x .

We must show that this map is well defined, independent of the choice of g_1G such that $g_1x=x_1$. Suppose also that $g_1'x=x_1$. Then, $g_1x=g_1'x$, so

 $g_1^{-1}(g_1x) = g_1^{-1}(g_1'x)$, from which we deduce x= $(g_1^{-1}g_1')x$. Therefore $g_1^{-1}g_1'G_x$, so $g_1'g_1G_x$, and

 $g_1G_x=g_1'G_x$. Thus the map is well defined.

Conjugacy and G-Sets

Conjugacy and G-Sets

Theorem

Let X be a G-set and let xX. Then $|Gx| = (G:G_x)$.

If |G| is finite, then |Gx| is a divisor of |G|. If X is a finite set, |X|=,

where C is a subset of X containing exactly one element from each orbit.

To show the map is one to one, suppose x_1, x_2Gx , and $(x_1)=(x_2)$. Then there exist g_1, g_2G such that $x_1=g_1x$, $x_2=g_2x$, and $g_2g_1G_2$. Then $g_2=g_1g$ for some $g G_{x}$, so $x_{2}=g_{2}x=g_{1}(gx)=g_{1}x=x_{1}$. Thus is one to one. Finally, we show that each left coset of G, in G is of the form (x_1) for some x_1Gx . Let g_1G_x be a left coset. Then if $g_1x=x_1$, we have $g_1G_x=(x_1)$. Thus maps Gx one to one onto the collection of left cosets so $|Gx| = (G:G_{,})$.

If |G| is finite, then the equation $|G| = |G_x|(G:G_x)$ shows that |Gx| = (G:Gx) is a divisor of |G|. Since X is the disjoint union of orbits Gx, it follows that if X is finite, then |X| =.



Isomorphism Theorems

There are several theorems concerning isomorphic factor groups that are known as the isomorphism theorems of group theory.

Theorem

Let : GG' be a homomorphism with kernel K, and let

 y_{κ} : G G/K be the canonical homomorphism. There is a unique isomorphism

: G/K[G] such that (x) = $\mu(y_{\kappa}(x))$ for each xG.

The first isomorphism theorem is diagrammed in Figure below.



Lemma

Let N be a normal subgroup of a group G and let y: G G/N be the canonical homomorphism. Then the map from the set of normal subgroups of G containing N to the set of normal subgroups of G/N given by (L)=y[L] is one to one and onto.

Proof

If L is a normal subgroup of G containing N, then (L)=y[L] is a normal subgroup of G/N.

Because NL, for each xL the entire coset xN in G is contained in L. Thus, $y^{-1}[(L)]=L$. Consequently, if L and M are normal subgroups of G, both containing N, and if (L)= (M) = H, then L= y^{-1} $^{1}[H]=M$. Therefore is one to one.

If H is a normal subgroup of G/N, then $y^{-1}[H]$ is a normal subgroup of G. Because NH and $y^{-1}[\{N\}]=N$, we see that Ny⁻¹ ¹[H]. Then $(y^{-1}[H])=y[y^{-1}[H]]=H.$ This shows that is onto the set of normal subgroups of G/N.

Isomorphism Theorems

If H and N are subgroups of a group G, then we let $HN=\{hn | h H, n N\}.$ We define the join HVN of H and N as the intersection of all subgroups of G that contain HN; thus HVN is the smallest subgroup of G containing HN.

Of course H V N is also the smallest subgroup of G containing both H and N, since any such subgroup must contain HN. In general, HN need not be a subgroup of G.

Lemma

If N is a normal subgroup of G, and if H is any subgroup of G, then H V N=HN=NH.

Furthermore, if H is also normal in G, then HN is normal in G.

Proof

We show that HN is a subgroup of G, from which

H V N=HN follows at once. Let h_1 , h_2H and n_1 , n_2N . Since N is a normal subgroup, we have $n_1h_2=h_2n_3$ for

some n_3N . Then $(h_1n_1)(h_2n_2)=h_1(n_1h_2)n_2=h_1(h_2n_3)n_2=$ $(h_1h_2)(n_3n_2)HN$, so HN is closed under the induced operation in G. Clearly e=ee is in HN. For hH and nN, we have $(hn)^{-1}=n^{-1}h^{-1}=h^{-1}n_4$ for some n_4N , since N is a normal subgroup. Thus $(hn)^{-1}HN$, so

HN G.

A similar argument shows that NH is a subgroup, so NH=H V N=HN.

Now suppose that H is also normal in G, and let h H, n N, and g G. Then ghng⁻¹=(ghg⁻¹)(gng¹)HN, so HN is indeed normal in G.



Second Isomorphism Theorem
Second Isomorphism Theorem

Theorem

Let H be a subgroup of G and let N be a normal subgroup of G. Then (HN)/NH/(H N).

Second Isomorphism Theorem

Proof

Let y: GG/N be the canonical homomorphism and let HG. Then y[H] is a subgroup of G/N. Now the action of y on just the elements of H (called y **restricted to** H) provides us with a homomorphism mapping H onto y[H], and the kernel of this restriction is clearly the set of elements of N that are also in H,

that is, the intersection HN. By first isomorphism theorem, there is an isomorphism

: H/(HN)y[H].

On the other hand, y restricted to HN also provides a homomorphism mapping HN onto y[H], because y(n) is the identity N of G/N for all nN. The kernel of y restricted to HN is N. The first isomorphism theorem then provides us with an isomorphism

: (HN)/Ny[H].

Because (HN)/N and H/(HN) are both isomorphic to y[H], they are isomorphic to each other. Indeed,

: (HN)/NH/(HN) where $=\mu_1^{-1}\mu_2$ will be an

isomorphism. More explicitly,

 $((hn)N)=\mu_1^{-1}(\mu_2((hn)N))=\mu_1^{-1}(hN)=h(HN).$

Isomorphism Theorems

Isomorphism Theorems

Example

Let G be a group such that for some fixed integer n > 1, $(ab)^n = a^n b^n$ for all a, bG. Let $G_n = \{aG \mid a^n = e\}$ and $G^n = (a^n \mid aG\}$. Then $G_nG_nG^nG_n$, and G/G_nG^n .

Isomorphism Theorems

Solution

Let a, bG_n and xG. Then $(ab^{-1})^n = a^n(b^n)^{-1} = e$, so ab^{-1} G_n. Also, $(xax^{-1})^n = (xax^{-1})...(xax^{-1}) = xa^nx^{-1} = e$ implies $xax^{-1}G_n$. Hence, G_nG. Let a, b, xG. Then $a^n(b^n)^{-1} = (ab^{-1})^nG^n$. Also, $xa^nx^{-1} = (xax^{-1})...(xax^{-1}) = (xax^{-1})^nG^n$. Therefore, GⁿG.

Isomorphism Theorems

Isomorphism Theorems

Example

Let G be a group such that for some fixed integer n > 1, $(ab)^n = a^n b^n$ for all a, bG. Let $G_n = \{aG \mid a^n = e\}$ and $G^n = \{a^n \mid aG\}$. Then $G_nG_nG^nG_n$, and G/G_nG^n .

Define a mapping f: GGⁿ by $f(a) = a^n$. Then, for all a, b G, $f(ab)=(ab)^n=a^nb^n=f(a)f(b).$ Thus, f is a homomorphism. Now Ker $f=\{a \mid a^n = e\}=G_n$. Therefore, by the first isomorphism theorem G/G_nG^n .

Example

Let G=x x, H=xx{0}, and N={0}xx. Then clearly HN=xxand HN={0}xx{0}. We have (HN)/N and we also have H/(HN).

Third Isomorphism Theorem

If H and K are two normal subgroups of G and KH, then H/K is a normal subgroup of G/K. The third isomorphism theorem concerns these groups.

Theorem

Let H and K be normal subgroups of a group G with KH.

Then G/H(G/K)/(H/K).

Proof

Let :G(G/K)/(H/K) be given by (a)= (aK)(H/K) for a G.

Clearly is onto (G/K)/(H/K), and for a, bG,

- (ab)=[(ab)K](H/K)
- =[(aK)(bK)](H/K)
- = [(aK)(H / K)][(bK)(H / K)]=(a) (b),
- so is a homomorphism.

The kernel consists of those x G such that (x)=H/K.

These x are just the elements of H.

Then first isomorphism theorem shows that G/H(G/K)/(H/K).

Third Isomorphism Theorem

A nice way of viewing third isomorphism theorem is to regard the canonical map y_H:GG/H as being factored via a normal subgroup K of G, KHG, to give

 $y_{H}=y_{H/K}y_{K}$, up to a natural isomorphism, as illustrated in Figure.



Another way of visualizing this theorem is to use the subgroup diagram in Figure, where each group is a normal subgroup of G and is contained in the one above it. G

н

К

- The larger the normal subgroup, the smaller the factor group.
- Thus we can think of G collapsed by H, that is, G/H, as being smaller than G collapsed by K.
- Third isomorphism theorem states that we can collapse G all the way down to G/H in two steps.
- First, collapse to G/K, and then, using H/K, collapse this to (G/K)/(H/K). The overall result is the same (up to isomorphism) as collapsing G by H.

Third Isomorphism Theorem

Theorem

Let H and K be normal subgroups of a group G with KH.

Then G/H(G/K)/(H/K).

Example Consider K = 6 < H = 2 < G =. Then G/H=/2, Now G/K=/6 has elements 6, 1+6, 2+6, 3+6, 4+6, and 5+6. Of these six cosets, 6, 2+6,and 4+6 lie in 2/6.

Thus (/6)/(2/6) has two elements and is isomorphic to , also. Alternatively, we see that $/6_{6}$, and 2/6 corresponds under this isomorphism to the cyclic subgroup <2> of 6. Thus (/6)/(2/6)₆/<2>₂/2.



Sylow Theorems

The fundamental theorem for finitely generated abelian groups gives us complete information about all finite abelian groups. The study of finite nonabelian groups is much more complicated. The Sylow theorems give us some important information about them.



We know the order of a subgroup of a finite group G must divide |G|. If G is abelian, then there exist subgroups of every order dividing |G|.

We showed that A_4 , which has order 12, has no subgroup of order 6.

Thus a nonabelian group G may have no subgroup of some order d dividing |G|; the "converse of the theorem of Lagrange" does not hold.



The Sylow theorems give a weak converse. Namely, they show that if d is a power of a prime and d divides |G|, then G does contain a subgroup of order d.

Note that 6 is not a power of a prime. The Sylow theorems also give some information concerning the number of such subgroups and their relationship to each other.

We will see that these theorems are very useful in studying finite nonabelian groups.

Proofs of the Sylow theorems give us another application of action of a group on a set. This time, the set itself is formed from the group; in some instances the set is the group itself, sometimes it is a collection of cosets of a subgroup, and sometimes it is a collection of subgroups.

Sylow Theorems

Let X be a finite G-set. Recall that for xX, the orbit of x in X under G is Gx={gx | gG}. Suppose that there are r orbits in X under G, and let $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ x_r} contain one element from each orbit in X. Now every element of X is in precisely one orbit, so X =•

There may be one-element orbits in X.

Let $X_G = \{xX | gx = x \text{ for all } gG\}$.

Thus X_G is precisely the union of the one-element orbits in X.

Let us suppose there are s one-element orbits, where 0sr. Then $|X_G|$ =s, and reordering the x_i if necessary, we may rewrite above equation as

 $|X| = |X_{G}| + .$

Most of the results of these modules will flow from above equation.

Theorem

Let G be a group of order pⁿ and let X be a finite Gset. Then $|X| |X_G| \pmod{p}$.

Proof

- Recall $|X| = |X_G| +$.
- In the notation of above Equation, we know that
- |Gx_i| divides |G|.

Consequently p divides $|Gx_i|$ for $s + 1 \le i \le r$. Above equation then shows that $|X| - |X_G|$ is divisible by p, so $|X| |X_G|$ (modp).

Definition

Let p be a prime. A group G is a **p-group** if every element in G has order a power of the prime p.

A subgroup of a group G is a **p-subgroup of** G if the subgroup is itself a pgroup.

Cauchy's Theorem
Our goal in these modules is to show that a finite group G has a subgroup of every prime-power order dividing |G|. As a first step, we prove

Cauchy's theorem, which says that if p divides |G|, then G has a subgroup of order p.

Cauchy's Theorem Let p be a prime. Let G be a finite group and let p divide |G|. Then G has an element of order p and, consequently, a subgroup of order p.

Proof

We form the set X of all ptuples (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_p) of elements of G having the property that the product of the coordinates in G is e. That is,

X={ $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_p) | g_i G and g_1 g_2 \dots g_p = e$ }.

We claim p divides |X|. In forming a p-tuple in X, we may let g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{p-1} be any elements of G, and g_p is then uniquely determined as

 $(g_1 g_2 \dots g_{p-1})^{-1}$.

Thus $|X| = |G|^{p-1}$ and since p divides |G|, we see that p divides |X|. Let be the cycle (1, 2, 3, ..., p) in S_p. We let act on X by $(g_1, g_2, ..., g_p)$ = $(g_{(1)}, g_{(2)}, ..., g_{(p)}) = (g_2, g_3, ..., g_p, g_1)$. Note that $(g_2, g_3, ..., g_p, g_1)X$, for $g_1(g_2 g_3...g_p) = e$ implies that $g_1 = (g_2 g_3...g_p)^{-1}$, so $(g_2 g_3...g_p)g_1 = e$ also. Thus acts on X, and we consider the subgroup < >

of S_p to act on X by iteration in the natural way.

Now |<>|= p, so we may apply above Theorem, and we know that $|X||X_{<>}|$ (mod p). Since p divides |X|, it must be that p divides $|X_{<>}|$ also. Let us examine $X_{<>}$.

Now $(g_1, g_2,..., g_p)$ is left fixed by , and hence by <>, if and only if $g_1=g_2=...=g_p$. We know at least one element in $X_{<>}$, namely (e, e, ..., e). Since p divides $|X_{<>}|$, there must be at least p elements in $X_{<>}$. Hence there exists some element aG, ae, such that (a, a, ..., a) $X_{<>}$ and hence $a^p =$ e, so a has order p. Of course, <a> is a subgroup of G of order p.

Group Theory



Sylow Theorems

Corollary

Let G be a finite group. Then G is a p-group if and only if |G| is a power of p.

Let G be a group, and let be the collection of all subgroups of G. We make into a G-set by letting G act on by conjugation. That is, if H so HG and g G, then g acting on H yields the conjugate subgroup gHg⁻¹.

Now $G_{H} = \{gG | gHg^{-1} = H\}$ is easily seen to be a subgroup of G, and H is a normal subgroup of G_{μ} . Since G_µ consists of all elements of G that leave H invariant under conjugation, G_{H} is the largest subgroup of G having H as a normal subgroup.

Definition The subgroup $G_{H} = \{g G \mid gHg^{-1} = H\}$ is the normalizer of H in G and is denoted by N[H].

Lemma

Let H be a p-subgroup of a finite group G. Then (N[H]:H)(G:H) (mod p).

Proof

Let be the set of left cosets of H in G, and let H act on by left translation, so that h(xH) = (hx)H. Then becomes an H-set. Note that ||=(G:H).

Let us determine $_{\rm H}$, that is, those left cosets that are fixed under action by all elements of H.

Now xH=h(xH) if and only if $H=x^{-1}hxH$, or if and only if $x^{-1}hxH$.

Thus xH=h(xH) for all hH if and only if $x^{-1}hx$ = $x^{-1}h(x^{-1})^{-1}H$ for all hH, or if and only if $x^{-1}N[H]$, or if and only if xN[H]. Thus the left cosets in _H are those contained in N[H]. The number of such cosets is (N[H]:H), so $|_{H}| = (N[H]:H)$.

Since H is a p-group, it has order a power of p. Then $_{\rm H}|$ (mod p), that is,

(G:H) (N[H]:H) (mod p).

Group Theory

First Sylow Theorem

First Sylow Theorem

Theorem

Let G be a finite group and let $|G| = p^n m$ where n1 and where p does not divide m. Then

1. G contains a subgroup of order pⁱ for each i where 1in,

2. Every subgroup H of G of order pⁱ is a normal subgroup of a subgroup of order pⁱ⁺¹ for 1 i n.

First Sylow Theorem

Proof

We know G contains a subgroup of order p by Cauchy's theorem.

We use an induction argument and show that the existence of a subgroup of order pⁱ for i<n implies the existence of a subgroup of order pⁱ⁺¹.

- Let H be a subgroup of order pⁱ. Since i < n, we see p divides (G:H). We then know p divides (N[H]:H).
- Since H is a normal subgroup of N[H], we can form N[H]/H, and we see that p divides |N[H]/H|.
- By Cauchy's theorem, the factor group N[H]/H has a subgroup K which is of order p.
- If y:N[H]N[H]/H is the canonical homomorphism, then y⁻¹[K]={xN[H]|y(x)K} is a subgroup of N[H] and hence of G. This subgroup contains H and is of order p^{i+1} .

2. We repeat the construction in part 1 and note that $H < y^{-1}[K]N[H]$ where $|y^{-1}[K]| = p^{i+1}$. Since H is normal in N[H], it is of course normal in the possibly smaller group $y^{-1}[K]$.

First Sylow Theorem

Definition A Sylow p-subgroup P of a group G is a maximal p-subgroup of G, that is, a p-subgroup contained in

no larger p-subgroup.

Group Theory

Second Sylow Theorem

Let G be a finite group, where $|G| = p^n m$ as in first Sylow theorem. The theorem shows that the Sylow p-subgroups of G are precisely those subgroups of order pⁿ. If P is a Sylow psubgroup, every conjugate gPg⁻¹ of P is also a Sylow p-subgroup.

The second Sylow theorem states that every Sylow p-subgroup can be obtained from P in this fashion; that is, any two Sylow psubgroups are conjugate.

Second Sylow Theorem

Theorem

Let P₁ and P₂ be Sylow psubgroups of a finite group G.

Then P₁ and P₂ are conjugate subgroups of G.

Proof

Here we will let one of the subgroups act on left cosets of the other. Let be the collection of left cosets of P_1 , and let P_2 act on by $z(xP_1)=(zx)P_1$ for zP_2 . Then is a P_2 set. We have | (mod p), and ||= (G: P_1) is not divisible by p, so ||0. Let xP_1

Then $zxP_1 = xP_1$ for all zP_2 , so $x^{-1}zxP_1 = P_1$ for all zP_2 . Thus $x^{-1}zxP_1$ for all zP_2 , so $x^{-1}P_2xP_1$.

Since $|P_1| = |P_2|$, we must have $P_1 = x^{-1}P_2x$, so P_1 and P_2 are indeed conjugate subgroups.

Group Theory

Third Sylow Theorem

Third Sylow Theorem

G.

The final Sylow theorem gives information on the number of Sylow psubgroups. Theorem If G is a finite group and p divides |G|, then the number of Sylow psubgroups is congruent to 1 modulo p and divides

Third Sylow Theorem

Proof

Let P be one Sylow p-subgroup of G. Let be the set of all Sylow p-subgroups and let P act on by conjugation, so that xP carries T into xTx⁻¹.

- We have ||||(mod p). Let us find .
- If T, then xTx⁻¹=T for all x P. Thus PN[T].

Of course TN[T] also.

Since P and T are both Sylow p-subgroups of G, they are also Sylow p-subgroups of N[T].

But then they are conjugate in N[T] by second Sylow theorem.

Since T is a normal subgroup of N[T], it is its only conjugate in N[T]. Thus T=P.

Then = {P}. Since ||||=1 (mod p), we see the number of Sylow p-subgroups is congruent to 1 modulo p.

Now let G act on by conjugation. Since all Sylow psubgroups are conjugate, there is only one orbit in under G.

If P then ||=|orbit of P $|=(G:G_P)$. G_P is, in fact, the normalizer of P. But $(G:G_P)$ is a divisor of |G|, so the number of Sylow p-subgroups divides |G|.

Group Theory

Sylow Theorems

Example The Sylow 2-subgroups of S_3 have order 2. The subgroups of order 2 in S₃ are $\{\}, \{\}, \{\}.$ Note that there are three subgroups and that 3 1 (mod 2).

Also, 3 divides 6, the order of S_3 . We can readily check that ={} and ={} where $(x) = x_i^{-1}$, illustrating that they are all conjugate. For instance, ()=-1== (1,3,2)(2,3)(1,2,3)=(1,2)=.

Example

Let us use the Sylow theorems to show that no group of order 15 is simple. Let G have order 15.

We claim that G has a normal subgroup of order 5.

By first Sylow theorem G has at least one subgroup of order 5, and by third Sylow theorem the number of such subgroups is congruent to 1 modulo 5 and divides 15. Since 1, 6, and 11 are the only positive numbers less than 15 that are congruent to 1 modulo 5, and since among these only the number 1 divides 15, we see that G has exactly one subgroup P of order 5.

But for each gG, the inner automorphism ig of G with ig(x)=gxg⁻¹ maps P onto a subgroup gPg⁻¹, again of order 5. Hence we must have gPg⁻¹=P for all g G, so P is a normal subgroup of G. Therefore, G is not simple.

Group Theory

Application of Sylow Theory

Let X be a finite G-set where G is a finite group. Let $X_G = \{xX | gx = x \text{ for all} gG\}$. Then

 $|X| = |X_G| +$, where x_i is an element in the ith orbit in X.
Consider now the special case of above equation, where X=G and the action of G on G is by conjugation, so g G carries x X = G into gxg^{-1} . Then $X_G = \{x G | gxg^{-1} = x \text{ for all } g G\}$

= {x G | xg=gx for all g G}=Z(G), the center of G.

If we let c=|Z(G)| and $n_i=|Gx_i|$ in above equation, then we obtain $|G|=c+n_{c+1}+...+n_r$, where n_i is the number of elements in the ith orbit of G under conjugation by itself.

Note that n_i divides |G| for c+1 i r since we know $|Gx_i|=(G:)$, which is a divisor of |G|.

Definition

The equation $|G|=c+n_{c+1}+$...+n_r, where

c=|Z(G)| and n_i is the number of elements in the ith orbit of G under conjugation by itself, is the class equation of G. Each orbit in G under conjugation by G is a conjugate class in G.

Example

() = -1 = () = -1 =()=-1= ()=-1=(1,2,3)(2,3)(1,3,2)(1,3)=() = -1 = () = -1 =Therefore, the conjugate classes of S₃ are $\{\}, \{\}, \{\}, \{\}.$ The class equation of S_3 is 6 = 1+2+3.

Theorem The center of a finite nontrivial p-group G is nontrivial.

Proof

We have $|G|=c+n_{c+1}+...+n_r$, where n_i is the number of elements in the ith orbit of G under conjugation by itself.

For G, each n_i divides |G| for c+1ir, so p divides each n_i , and p divides |G|. Therefore p divides c. Now eZ(G), so c1. Therefore cp, and there exists some aZ(G) where ae.

Group Theory

Application of Sylow Theory

Lemma

Let G be a group containing normal subgroups H and K such that HK = {e} and H V K = G. Then G is isomorphic to H X K.

Proof

We start by showing that hk=kh for kK and hH. Consider the commutator

 $hkh^{-1}k^{-1} = (hkh^{-1})k^{-1} = h(kh^{-1}k^{-1}).$

Since H and K are normal subgroups of G, the two groupings with parentheses show that hkh⁻¹k⁻¹ is in both K and H.

Since KH={e}, we see that hkh⁻¹k⁻¹=e, so hk=kh.

- Let : H x KG be defined by (h,k) = hk. Then ((h, k)(h', k'))=(hh', kk')=hh'kk'=hkh'k'
- =(h, k) (h', k'), so is a homomorphism.
- If (h, k)=e, then hk=e, so $h = k^{-1}$, and both h and k are in H K. Thus h=k=e, so Ker()={(e, e)} and is one to one.

We know that HK=H V K, and H V K = G by hypothesis.

Thus is onto G, and H x KG.

Group Theory

Application of Sylow Theory

Theorem For a prime number p, every group G of order p² is abelian.

Proof

If G is not cyclic, then every element except e must be of order p.

Let a be such an element. Then the cyclic subgroup <a> of order p does not exhaust G.

Also let bG with b<a>. Then <a>={e}, since an element c in <a> with ce would generate both <a> and , giving <a>=, contrary to construction.

From first Sylow theorem, <a> is normal in some subgroup of order p² of G, that is, normal in all of G. Likewise is normal in G.

Now <a> V is a subgroup of G properly containing <a> and of order dividing p².

Hence <a> V must be all of G.

Thus the hypotheses of last lemma are satisfied, and G is isomorphic to <a> x and therefore abelian.